

The Role and Impact of Interdisciplinary Support Teams in Primary Care Settings: A Narrative Review

**Maha Mosleh Albugami¹, Amani Abdulmohsen Alshammari²,
Lila Muteb Almutairi³, Sabah Jarrah Al Anazi⁴,
Awatif Mohammed Darwish⁵, Dalal Mohammed Alotaibi⁶,
Aishah Ali Alkhomeas⁷, Sarah Mohammed Alghamdi⁸,
Sarah Abdulhadi AIDosari⁹**

¹Patient Services Technician., ^{2,3}Health Administration Technician, ⁴Technician Health Administration, ⁵Medical Secretary Technician, ⁶social worker, ^{7,8,9}Nurse
King Abdulaziz Medical City Riyadh, Ministry of National Guard.

Paper Publication Date: 15th January 2014

Abstract:

Primary care settings face demands to deliver efficient, coordinated, patient-centered care. Interdisciplinary support teams integrating patient services technicians, health administration technicians, medical secretaries, social workers, and nurses have emerged to enhance care delivery. This narrative review explores team members' roles and evaluates their impact on patient experience, care coordination, quality metrics, and operational efficiency. Evidence suggests teams improve patient satisfaction regarding access, communication, and support. They modestly enhance quality metrics like medication adherence and preventive screening. Operational benefits include higher throughput, reduced wait times, and improved billing. Best practices recommend team meetings, shared documentation, communication protocols, collaborative care planning, consistent education, and performance monitoring. Challenges exist around communication and workflow optimization. However, interdisciplinary teams present opportunities for cohesive, patient-centered delivery and streamlined operations, aligning with promoting interprofessional collaboration to meet evolving needs. Further research on long-term outcomes and cost-effectiveness is warranted, but teams demonstrate potential to enhance primary care's efficiency, quality, and experience.

INTRODUCTION

Primary care forms the foundation of accessible, comprehensive, and coordinated healthcare delivery (Bodenheimer et al., 2002; Starfield et al., 2005). However, these settings face mounting pressures in meeting the growing demands for efficient, high-quality care amidst resource constraints, increasing complexities, and evolving patient needs (Ostbye et al., 2005; Al-Abri et al., 2007; Yarnall et al., 2009). Traditional support staff structures concentrated primarily on administrative tasks like scheduling, recordkeeping, and billing, which are essential yet inadequate to address patients' multifaceted needs for care coordination, psychosocial support, and seamless communication (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Coleman et al., 2009; Naylor et al., 1999).

The concept of interdisciplinary support teams has emerged as a promising approach to enhance patient experience, care coordination, and operational efficiency in primary care (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004). These teams integrate diverse non-clinical roles, including patient services technicians, health administration technicians, medical secretaries, social workers, and nurses, to provide comprehensive support to patients and providers.

Roles and Responsibilities within Interdisciplinary Support Teams

Patient Services Technicians facilitate patient flow by handling intake, scheduling, and addressing initial concerns, contributing to satisfaction and efficiency (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer,

2004). Health Administration Technicians manage records, documentation, billing, and insurance processes, supporting administrative operations (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004). Medical Secretaries coordinate provider workflows, correspondence, and provider-patient interactions, enhancing productivity and care delivery (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004). Social Workers assess psychosocial needs, provide counseling, and connect patients with community resources, potentially impacting outcomes and coordination (Coleman et al., 2009; Naylor et al., 1999). Nurses assist with care planning, education, and discharge coordination, ensuring continuity and satisfaction (Bodenheimer et al., 2002; Naylor et al., 1999).

Impact on Patient Experience and Satisfaction

Interdisciplinary teams leverage complementary skills to address multifaceted needs, leading to improved access, communication, and comprehensive support (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004). The involvement of social workers contributes to holistic, patient-centered experiences (Coleman et al., 2009; Naylor et al., 1999). Patients report higher satisfaction with care access, communication, and emotional support in clinics with these teams (Anderson et al., 2007; Bodenheimer et al., 2009).

Impact on Care Coordination and Quality Metrics

Collaborative approaches involving nurses in planning and discharge have been linked to better medication adherence, preventive screening, and chronic disease management (Bodenheimer et al., 2002; Naylor et al., 1999). Addressing psychosocial determinants through social workers may indirectly impact quality by facilitating resource access and engagement (Coleman et al., 2009; Naylor et al., 1999). While improvements may be modest, interdisciplinary teams demonstrate potential to enhance coordination and contribute to better health outcomes (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004).

Impact on Operational Efficiency

Interdisciplinary teams facilitate streamlined workflows, efficient task delegation, higher throughput, reduced wait times, improved billing, and potentially higher provider productivity (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004). By delegating administrative tasks, providers can focus more on direct patient care and clinical decision-making (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004).

Best Practices for Interdisciplinary Support Teams

Effective collaboration, role delineation, and communication protocols optimize team functioning. Best practices include:

1. Interdisciplinary Communication Strategies (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004):
 - Regular team meetings/huddles for coordination and information sharing
 - Shared documentation platforms/EHRs accessible to all members
 - Established protocols and escalation pathways for urgent cases
2. Task Delegation and Workflow Optimization (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004):
 - Clear roles and responsibilities to avoid duplication
 - Cross-training and task-sharing for flexibility and coverage
 - Continuous process improvement to streamline workflows
3. Collaborative Care Planning (Bodenheimer et al., 2002; Coleman et al., 2009; Naylor et al., 1999):
 - Involvement of all relevant members in planning and decision-making
 - Shared care plans accessible to the team, promoting continuity
 - Incorporation of patient preferences and values
4. Patient Education and Engagement (Bodenheimer et al., 2002; Coleman et al., 2009; Naylor et al., 1999):
 - Consistent messaging and reinforcement across members
 - Active involvement in self-management and shared decision-making
 - Comprehensive, tailored educational materials

Challenges and Opportunities

Challenges include effective communication, role clarity, training, workflow optimization, and resource allocation (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004). Opportunities involve optimizing strategies for communication, delegation, planning, education, and performance monitoring to leverage teams' potential for cohesive, streamlined care delivery (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004).

Implications and Future Directions

Findings have implications for implementing interdisciplinary teams to enhance experience, coordination, and efficiency, aligning with patient-centered care principles (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004). Workforce training should equip staff with collaboration, communication, and teamwork skills (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004). Reimbursement models supporting diverse roles can incentivize adoption (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004). Continuous improvement processes should monitor performance and identify areas for optimization (Bodenheimer et al., 2009).

Future research should explore generalizability across settings, long-term impacts on outcomes, cost-effectiveness, and sustainability of effects on care delivery and operations (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004). Longitudinal studies are needed to examine the long-term implications of these teams (Bodenheimer et al., 2009; Grumbach & Bodenheimer, 2004).

CONCLUSION

Interdisciplinary support teams represent a promising approach to enhancing patient experience, care coordination, and operational efficiency by leveraging diverse roles' complementary skills. Effective collaboration, communication, delegation, planning, and monitoring optimize effectiveness. While challenges exist, the potential benefits warrant consideration to deliver cohesive, patient-centered care while optimizing operations. These teams align with providing accessible, comprehensive, coordinated care to address evolving needs and complexities in primary care.

REFERENCES:

1. Al-Abri R. (2007). Managing change in healthcare. *Oman medical journal*, 22(3), 9–10.
2. Anderson, R. T., Camacho, F. T., & Balkrishnan, R. (2007). Willing to wait?: the influence of patient wait time on satisfaction with primary care. *BMC health services research*, 7, 31. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-7-31>
3. Bodenheimer, T., Wagner, E. H., & Grumbach, K. (2002). Improving primary care for patients with chronic illness. *JAMA*, 288(14), 1775–1779. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.288.14.1775>
4. Bodenheimer, T., Chen, E., & Bennett, H. D. (2009). Confronting the growing burden of chronic disease: can the U.S. health care workforce do the job?. *Health affairs (Project Hope)*, 28(1), 64–74. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.28.1.64>
5. Coleman, K., Austin, B. T., Brach, C., & Wagner, E. H. (2009). Evidence on the Chronic Care Model in the new millennium. *Health affairs (Project Hope)*, 28(1), 75–85. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.28.1.75>
6. Grumbach, K., & Bodenheimer, T. (2004). Can health care teams improve primary care practice?. *JAMA*, 291(10), 1246–1251. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.291.10.1246>
7. Naylor, M. D., Broton, D., Campbell, R., Jacobsen, B. S., Mezey, M. D., Pauly, M. V., & Schwartz, J. S. (1999). Comprehensive discharge planning and home follow-up of hospitalized elders: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*, 281(7), 613–620. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.281.7.613>
8. Ostbye, T., Yarnall, K. S., Krause, K. M., Pollak, K. I., Gradison, M., & Michener, J. L. (2005). Is there time for management of patients with chronic diseases in primary care?. *The Annals of Family Medicine*, 3(3), 209-214. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.310>
9. Starfield, B., Shi, L., & Macinko, J. (2005). Contribution of primary care to health systems and health. *The Milbank quarterly*, 83(3), 457–502. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0009.2005.00409.x>
10. Yarnall, K. S., Østbye, T., Krause, K. M., Pollak, K. I., Gradison, M., & Michener, J. L. (2009). Family physicians as team leaders: "time" to share the care. *Preventing chronic disease*, 6(2), A59.

