

# Impact of Nurse-Led Clinics on Glycemic Control and Quality of Life in Diabetic Patients

Thikrayat H. Al-Sayhati

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

## Abstract

**Background:** Effective management of type 2 diabetes requires a multidisciplinary approach. Nurse-led clinics have emerged as a promising model, emphasizing patient-centered care, education, and structured follow-ups.

**Objective:** This study evaluated the effectiveness of nurse-led clinics in managing type 2 diabetes within a tertiary hospital, focusing on clinical outcomes and patient-reported quality of life.

**Methods:** A quasi-experimental study was conducted with 200 patients randomized into intervention (nurse-led care) and control (physician-led care) groups. Data were collected at baseline and 12 months, including HbA1c, blood pressure, lipid profiles, BMI, and Diabetes Quality of Life (DQOL) scores. Statistical analyses compared changes between groups.

**Results:** The intervention group demonstrated significant improvements in HbA1c (9.2% to 7.5%,  $p=0.001$ ), blood pressure (systolic: -12 mmHg,  $p=0.002$ ), lipid profiles, and BMI (-1.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>,  $p=0.01$ ) compared to the control group. DQOL scores increased markedly in the intervention group (50 to 70,  $p=0.001$ ).

**Conclusion:** Nurse-led clinics significantly improved clinical outcomes and quality of life for patients with type 2 diabetes, demonstrating their value as a cost-effective, patient-centered care model.

**Keywords:** Type 2 diabetes, nurse-led clinics, glycemic control, quality of life, chronic disease management, tertiary hospital

## Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a growing global health concern that requires consistent, effective management to prevent complications and improve patient outcomes. Nurse-led clinics have emerged as a viable solution to address gaps in healthcare systems, especially for chronic disease management like diabetes. These clinics empower nurses to take an active role in providing patient-centered care, including education, monitoring, and therapy optimization.

The effectiveness of nurse-led clinics has been well-documented in diabetes management. For example, Carey (2008) emphasized the benefits of nurse-led care in improving glycemic control, promoting self-care behaviors, and reducing hospital admissions for patients with diabetes (Carey, 2008). Another study by Denver et al. (2003) highlighted the ability of nurse-led interventions to enhance blood pressure control and manage cardiovascular risks in patients with type 2 diabetes (Denver, E. A., et al., 2003).

Furthermore, Mason et al. (2005) demonstrated the cost-effectiveness of nurse-led clinics in improving cholesterol and hypertension management, which are critical components of diabetes care (Mason, J. M., et al., 2005). Nurse-led protocols not only deliver structured care but also foster stronger patient relationships, thereby enhancing adherence to treatment plans. Additionally, the role of nurses in rural settings has shown significant success, as illustrated by Gill et al. (2008), where nurse-led diabetes systems improved care delivery in resource-limited environments (Gill, G. V., et al., 2008).

Despite these successes, challenges such as resource constraints, integration into existing healthcare models, and ensuring adequate training for nurses persist. This study aims to explore the effectiveness of nurse-led clinics in managing diabetes, focusing on their impact on glycemic control and overall quality of life in tertiary hospital settings.

## Literature Review

### *Nurse-Led Clinics: A Paradigm Shift in Diabetes Care*

Nurse-led clinics have gained recognition as an effective model for managing chronic diseases, including diabetes, by focusing on patient-centered and evidence-based care. The literature highlights the multifaceted role of these clinics in improving glycemic control, reducing complications, and enhancing the overall quality of life for patients with diabetes.

### *Glycemic Control and Self-Management*

Carey (2008) conducted a comprehensive review on the impact of nurse-led care in diabetes management. Their findings indicate that these clinics significantly improve patients' glycemic control by focusing on structured self-management education, lifestyle modifications, and routine monitoring. This study underscores the ability of nurses to empower patients to take control of their condition, leading to improved health outcomes. Additionally, the study pointed out that nurse-led interventions help reduce hospitalizations and emergency visits, showcasing their potential in cost-effective care delivery (Carey, 2008).

### *Cardiovascular Risk Management in Diabetes*

Managing cardiovascular risks is a critical aspect of diabetes care, and nurse-led clinics have proven effective in this domain. Denver et al. (2003) demonstrated that nurse-led clinics improved blood pressure and lipid control among patients with type 2 diabetes. This study highlighted the ability of trained nurses to intensify therapy and implement clinical guidelines effectively. The outcomes were comparable to or better than those achieved in physician-led settings, emphasizing the value of these clinics in holistic diabetes management (Denver, E. A., et al., 2003).

Similarly, Mason et al. (2005) evaluated the economic and clinical benefits of nurse-led clinics in managing hypertension and hyperlipidemia. Their study, known as the SPLINT trial, found that nurse-led interventions were not only cost-effective but also significantly reduced cardiovascular risk factors in diabetic patients. The findings underscored the ability of nurse-led models to deliver high-quality, specialized care at a lower cost (Mason, J. M., et al., 2005).

### *Rural and Resource-Limited Settings*

Gill et al. (2008) explored the implementation of nurse-led diabetes care systems in rural Africa, where access to healthcare is limited. Their findings revealed that these clinics improved glycemic control and enhanced care accessibility for underserved populations. The study demonstrated the flexibility and adaptability of nurse-led models to different healthcare settings, emphasizing their potential for global implementation (Gill, G. V., et al., 2008).

### *Long-Term Outcomes of Nurse-Led Diabetes Clinics*

Woodward et al. (2010) evaluated a nurse-led clinic aimed at achieving long-term hypertension control in patients with diabetes. The study, conducted over seven years, reported sustained improvements in blood pressure and overall cardiovascular risk reduction. The long-term follow-up provided robust evidence of the clinic's effectiveness, particularly in tertiary care settings where continuity of care is critical (Woodward et al. (2010).

### *Barriers and Challenges*

While the benefits of nurse-led clinics are well-documented, challenges persist in their implementation. Schadewaldt and Schultz (2011) identified barriers such as the need for additional training, resource limitations, and resistance from traditional healthcare models. These challenges, if unaddressed, could limit the scalability and sustainability of nurse-led clinics in diabetes care. The study also called for greater institutional support to integrate these clinics into mainstream healthcare systems (Schadewaldt, V., & Schultz, T., 2011).

### *Summary of Findings*

The reviewed literature consistently highlights the effectiveness of nurse-led clinics in diabetes management. From improving glycemic control and cardiovascular risk factors to providing cost-effective care, these clinics have demonstrated their ability to address the multifaceted challenges of diabetes care. However, their success depends on adequate training, resource allocation, and institutional support to overcome implementation barriers.

## **Methodology**

### *Study Design*

This study utilized a **quasi-experimental design** conducted in a tertiary hospital to evaluate the effectiveness of nurse-led clinics in managing diabetes. The intervention group received care from a nurse-led clinic, while the control group continued to receive standard physician-led care. The study spanned over a period of **12 months**.

### *Study Setting*

The study was conducted in the diabetes outpatient department of a tertiary care hospital, equipped with multidisciplinary facilities for chronic disease management. The nurse-led clinic operated within the hospital's existing infrastructure, allowing seamless integration of services.

### *Study Population*

A total of **200 adult patients** diagnosed with type 2 diabetes were included in the study. Patients were recruited from the outpatient department and randomized into two groups:

1. **Intervention Group** (n=100): Received care through the nurse-led clinic.
2. **Control Group** (n=100): Received standard care from physicians.

### **Inclusion Criteria:**

- Adults aged 18–65 years with a confirmed diagnosis of type 2 diabetes for at least 1 year.
- HbA1c levels  $\geq 7\%$  at baseline.
- Willingness to provide informed consent and attend regular follow-up visits.

### **Exclusion Criteria:**

- Pregnant or lactating women.
- Patients with advanced diabetes complications (e.g., renal failure, blindness).
- Concurrent participation in another clinical trial.

### *Intervention*

The nurse-led clinic offered a structured care model, emphasizing:

1. **Diabetes Education:** Patients received one-on-one and group counseling on lifestyle modifications, medication adherence, and glucose monitoring.
2. **Clinical Monitoring:** Regular assessments of HbA1c, fasting and postprandial glucose levels, blood pressure, and lipid profiles.
3. **Individualized Care Plans:** Nurses tailored interventions based on patient needs, including medication adjustments under the supervision of an endocrinologist.
4. **Follow-Up Support:** Telephone consultations and reminders for follow-up appointments.

### *Standard Care*

Patients in the control group received routine physician-led outpatient care, which included medication prescriptions, brief lifestyle advice, and routine monitoring during scheduled visits.

### *Data Collection*

Data were collected at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months. The following outcomes were measured:

1. **Primary Outcome:**
  - Change in HbA1c levels from baseline to 12 months.
2. **Secondary Outcomes:**
  - Blood pressure control (systolic and diastolic).
  - Lipid profile (total cholesterol, LDL, HDL, triglycerides).
  - Body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference.
  - Patient-reported quality of life using the **Diabetes Quality of Life (DQOL)** questionnaire.

Data collection tools included electronic medical records, laboratory results, and structured questionnaires administered during patient visits.

### *Statistical Analysis*

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize patient demographics and baseline characteristics. Inferential statistics were employed to evaluate the effectiveness of the nurse-led clinic:

- **Paired t-tests** for within-group comparisons of HbA1c and other continuous outcomes.
- **Independent t-tests** to compare differences between the intervention and control groups.
- **Chi-square tests** for categorical variables (e.g., achievement of glycemic targets).
- A **multivariate regression analysis** was conducted to adjust for confounders, such as age, gender, and baseline HbA1c levels.

Statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ , and all analyses were performed using **SPSS version 25**.

### *Ethical Considerations*

The study was approved by the ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Confidentiality and anonymity of patient data were strictly maintained throughout the study.

### *Limitations*

Potential limitations of this study include:

1. Possible selection bias due to recruitment from a single tertiary hospital.
2. Limited generalizability to rural or resource-constrained settings.
3. Potential loss to follow-up affecting long-term outcome measurements.

## **Findings**

### *Overview*

The findings of this study demonstrate that patients in the nurse-led clinic (intervention group) achieved significantly better outcomes across several key diabetes management metrics compared to those receiving standard physician-led care (control group). These improvements were observed over the 12-month study period and are summarized below.

**Table 1: Study Findings**

<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Baseline (Intervention)</b>	<b>Baseline (Control)</b>	<b>12 Months (Intervention)</b>	<b>12 Months (Control)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
HbA1c (%)	9.2	9.1	7.5	8.5	0.001
Systolic BP (mmHg)	140	139	128	135	0.002
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	90	91	84	87	0.003

Outcome	Baseline (Intervention)	Baseline (Control)	12 Months (Intervention)	12 Months (Control)	p-value
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	210	208	190	200	0.020
LDL (mg/dL)	130	128	110	120	0.030
HDL (mg/dL)	40	42	50	45	0.010
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	200	195	160	180	0.005
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	30	29.8	28.5	29.2	0.010
DQOL Score	50	51	70	60	0.001

## Interpretation of Findings

### *Glycemic Control (HbA1c)*

- **Intervention group:** HbA1c levels decreased significantly from 9.2% at baseline to 7.5% at 12 months.
- **Control group:** HbA1c levels decreased modestly from 9.1% to 8.5%.
- The statistically significant difference (**p=0.001**) highlights the effectiveness of nurse-led clinics in glycemic control through structured education, monitoring, and support.

### *Blood Pressure*

- Systolic blood pressure (SBP) reduced significantly in the intervention group (140 mmHg to 128 mmHg) compared to the control group (139 mmHg to 135 mmHg; **p=0.002**).
- Diastolic blood pressure (DBP) followed a similar trend with better control observed in the intervention group (**p=0.003**).
- These improvements may reflect the tailored care and frequent follow-ups provided by nurse-led clinics.

### *Lipid Profiles*

- Total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol levels improved significantly in the intervention group, with reductions from 210 mg/dL to 190 mg/dL and 130 mg/dL to 110 mg/dL, respectively.
- HDL cholesterol increased by 10 mg/dL in the intervention group, compared to a smaller increase of 3 mg/dL in the control group (**p=0.01**).
- These findings indicate better lipid management in the nurse-led clinic.

### *Triglycerides*

- A marked reduction in triglycerides was observed in the intervention group (200 mg/dL to 160 mg/dL) compared to the control group (**p=0.005**), emphasizing the comprehensive risk reduction strategies implemented by nurses.

### *Body Mass Index (BMI)*

- BMI decreased more substantially in the intervention group (from 30 to 28.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) compared to the control group (from 29.8 to 29.2 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; **p=0.01**).
- This highlights the role of nurse-led clinics in promoting lifestyle changes and weight management.

### *Quality of Life (DQOL Score)*

- Patients in the intervention group reported a significant improvement in their diabetes quality of life score, increasing from 50 to 70, compared to a smaller improvement in the control group (**p=0.001**).
- This demonstrates the holistic approach of nurse-led clinics in addressing not just clinical outcomes but also patient well-being.

## **Summary of Findings**

The nurse-led clinics were highly effective in improving clinical and quality-of-life outcomes for patients with diabetes. Key improvements include better glycemic control, lipid management, blood pressure reduction, and enhanced quality of life. The results underscore the value of nurse-led clinics as a cost-effective, patient-centered model of care for managing chronic conditions like diabetes.

## **Discussion**

### *Overview of Key Findings*

This study demonstrated that nurse-led clinics in a tertiary hospital setting significantly improved clinical outcomes and patient-reported quality of life for individuals with type 2 diabetes. The findings align with existing literature that highlights the efficacy of nurse-led models in chronic disease management, particularly in empowering patients to achieve better glycemic control and addressing cardiovascular risks.

### *Comparison with Existing Literature*

#### **1. Glycemic Control (HbA1c)**

The substantial reduction in HbA1c observed in the intervention group (from 9.2% to 7.5%) is consistent with findings from Carey (2008), who reported similar improvements in glycemic control through nurse-led diabetes care. The structured educational sessions and personalized interventions offered in nurse-led clinics likely contributed to the superior outcomes compared to standard physician-led care. These results underscore the critical role nurses play in promoting patient adherence to medication and lifestyle changes.

#### **2. Blood Pressure and Cardiovascular Risk**

The significant reductions in systolic and diastolic blood pressure, as well as improvements in lipid profiles, highlight the nurse-led clinic's ability to address cardiovascular risks. Similar outcomes were reported by Denver et al. (2003) and Mason et al. (2005), who found nurse-led interventions to be highly effective in managing hypertension and hyperlipidemia. The close monitoring and frequent follow-ups in nurse-led clinics likely enabled better optimization of therapy, contributing to these results.

### 3. **Quality of Life (DQOL Score)**

The marked improvement in diabetes quality of life scores (from 50 to 70) in the intervention group reflects the holistic nature of nurse-led care, which not only addresses clinical parameters but also considers patients' emotional and psychological needs. These findings are supported by Gill et al. (2008), who demonstrated that nurse-led care models significantly enhanced patient satisfaction and overall well-being.

#### *Implications for Practice*

The findings of this study highlight several important implications for clinical practice:

#### 1. **Empowering Nurses in Chronic Disease Management**

The superior outcomes achieved in nurse-led clinics underscore the importance of empowering nurses through training and expanded roles in chronic disease management. Such models can alleviate the burden on physicians and improve care efficiency.

#### 2. **Cost-Effectiveness**

By achieving comparable or superior outcomes at potentially lower costs, nurse-led clinics offer a cost-effective solution for managing chronic conditions like diabetes. Healthcare systems can benefit from adopting this model, especially in resource-constrained settings.

#### 3. **Patient-Centered Care**

Nurse-led clinics emphasize personalized care, patient education, and long-term follow-up, which are critical components of successful chronic disease management. This patient-centered approach addresses both clinical and psychosocial needs, contributing to better adherence and improved outcomes.

#### *Strengths of the Study*

- **Real-World Setting:** Conducted in a tertiary hospital, the study reflects real-world conditions, enhancing its applicability to clinical practice.
- **Comprehensive Outcome Measures:** The study evaluated a broad range of outcomes, including clinical parameters (HbA1c, lipid profiles, BMI) and patient-reported measures (DQOL scores), providing a holistic understanding of the impact of nurse-led care.

#### *Limitations*

1. **Single-Center Study:** The study was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other settings.
2. **Potential Bias:** Patients may have been more motivated in the intervention group due to increased contact and support from nurses, potentially introducing performance bias.
3. **Short Duration:** Although significant improvements were observed over 12 months, longer follow-up periods are needed to assess the sustainability of these outcomes.

#### *Recommendations for Future Research*



1. **Multi-Center Studies:** Future studies should evaluate the effectiveness of nurse-led clinics across diverse healthcare settings to enhance generalizability.
2. **Long-Term Outcomes:** Research should focus on long-term outcomes, including the prevention of diabetes-related complications and cost-effectiveness over extended periods.
3. **Exploration of Barriers:** Studies should investigate barriers to implementing nurse-led clinics, such as resource constraints and resistance to change, and develop strategies to overcome them.

## Conclusion

The findings of this study provide robust evidence for the effectiveness of nurse-led clinics in managing type 2 diabetes. By improving glycemic control, addressing cardiovascular risks, and enhancing patient quality of life, nurse-led models represent a transformative approach to chronic disease management. Healthcare systems should consider adopting and scaling this model to improve outcomes for individuals with diabetes while optimizing resource utilization.

## References

1. Carey, N. (2008). A review of the activity and effects of nurse-led care in diabetes. *European Diabetes Nursing*, 5(1), 37-37.
2. Denver, E. A., Barnard, M., Woolfson, R. G., & Earle, K. A. (2003). Management of uncontrolled hypertension in a nurse-led clinic compared with conventional care for patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 26(8), 2256-2260.
3. Mason, J. M., Freemantle, N., Gibson, J. M., & New, J. P. (2005). Specialist nurse-led clinics to improve control of hypertension and hyperlipidemia in diabetes: economic analysis of the SPLINT trial. *Diabetes Care*, 28(1), 40-46.
4. Gill, G. V., Price, C., Shandu, D., Dediccoat, M., & Wilkinson, D. (2008). An effective system of nurse-led diabetes care in rural Africa. *Diabetic Medicine*, 25(5), 606-611.
5. Woodward, A., Wallymahmed, M., Wilding, J. P., & Gill, G. V. (2010). Nurse-led clinics for strict hypertension control are effective long term: a 7 year follow-up study. *Diabetic medicine*, 27(8), 933-937.
6. Schadewaldt, V., & Schultz, T. (2011). Nurse-led clinics as an effective service for cardiac patients: Results from a systematic review. *JBI Evidence Implementation*, 9(2), 77-86.