Optimizing Blood Culture Practices in Tertiary Hospitals: Reducing Contamination Rates and Its Impact on Antibiotic Stewardship through Interprofessional Collaboration

Ahmed R. Alotaibi[1], Manal A. Alonazi[2], Fatimah S. Alotaibi[3]

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Abstract

Background: Blood culture contamination is a persistent issue in tertiary hospitals, often resulting in false-positive results, unnecessary antibiotic usage, and increased healthcare costs. This study investigates the impact of targeted interventions, including standardized protocols and interdisciplinary collaboration, on contamination reduction and antimicrobial stewardship.

Methods: A 12-month prospective interventional study was conducted in a 500-bed tertiary hospital. Interventions included staff training, implementation of aseptic blood collection protocols, and pharmacist-laboratory collaboration. Outcomes assessed included contamination rates, unnecessary antibiotic prescriptions, and associated cost savings.

Results: Blood culture contamination rates decreased by 50%, from 4.0% to 2.0%. Unnecessary antibiotic prescriptions were reduced by 60%, resulting in $3,000 in cost savings. The greatest reduction was observed in contamination due to improper skin preparation, which decreased by 75%.

Conclusions: Targeted interventions significantly reduced blood culture contamination rates and improved antimicrobial stewardship. This study demonstrates the effectiveness of multidisciplinary approaches in enhancing diagnostic accuracy, optimizing antibiotic use, and achieving cost efficiencies in a tertiary care setting.

Keywords: Blood culture contamination**,** Antimicrobial stewardship**,** Tertiary care hospital**,** Multidisciplinary collaboration**,** Healthcare cost reduction**,** Diagnostic accuracy

Introduction

Blood culture contamination remains a critical challenge in healthcare, especially in tertiary hospital settings, where diagnostic accuracy directly influences patient outcomes and resource utilization. Contamination leads to false-positive results that often drive unnecessary antibiotic use, extending hospital stays and escalating healthcare costs (Dawson,2014). Effective reduction strategies not only enhance diagnostic precision but also support antimicrobial stewardship efforts, which are crucial in the fight against antibiotic resistance (Peters et al., 2004).

Various interventions have demonstrated efficacy in minimizing contamination rates. Techniques such as standardized sample collection protocols, enhanced training for staff, and the use of sterile collection

devices have significantly reduced contamination rates in tertiary hospitals (Hall et al., 2004). These measures underscore the importance of collaboration among healthcare professionals, particularly between laboratory technologists and pharmacists, to optimize diagnostic practices and ensure the rational use of antibiotics (Murray,2014).

This study investigates the impact of collaborative approaches in reducing blood culture contamination and improving antibiotic stewardship within a tertiary care hospital. By integrating laboratory diagnostics with pharmacy-driven interventions, this research aims to establish a comprehensive framework for enhancing clinical outcomes and resource efficiency.

Literature Review

1. Blood Culture Contamination: Prevalence and Challenges

Blood cultures are essential diagnostic tools for detecting bloodstream infections; however, contamination remains a significant barrier to their accuracy. Contamination rates in blood cultures range from 2% to 5%, but rates as high as 10% have been reported in some settings, particularly where stringent protocols are not followed (Hall & Lyman, 2006). Contaminants are often introduced during the collection process and can include normal skin flora such as coagulase-negative staphylococci and Corynebacterium species (Murray,2014). These false-positive results complicate clinical management by triggering unnecessary antibiotic treatments, increasing healthcare costs, and prolonging hospital stays (Dawson,2014).

2. Impacts on Antimicrobial Stewardship

The inappropriate use of antibiotics driven by false-positive blood culture results has been linked to the emergence of multidrug-resistant organisms. Antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASPs) aim to address this by promoting the rational use of antibiotics. Studies have shown that up to 30% of antibiotics prescribed in response to contaminated blood cultures are unnecessary, underscoring the importance of reducing contamination to support stewardship goals (Peters et al., 2004)

3. Strategies to Reduce Blood Culture Contamination

Numerous interventions have been developed to tackle contamination in blood cultures:

- **Education and Training**: Enhanced training for phlebotomists and nurses has been shown to significantly reduce contamination rates. For instance, a study by Hall et al. (2004) demonstrated a 60% reduction in contamination rates following the implementation of a staff education program.
- **Collection Protocols**: Adherence to strict aseptic techniques, including the use of chlorhexidine for skin preparation, has been widely recommended (Murray,2014). Innovations such as the introduction of blood culture diversion devices, which divert the first aliquot of blood collected, have further improved outcomes (Dawson,2014).
- **Team-Based Interventions**: Collaborative approaches involving laboratory technologists and pharmacists have also proven effective. Pharmacists play a critical role in reviewing blood culture results and distinguishing between true pathogens and contaminants, thereby guiding appropriate antibiotic use (Peters et al., 2004).

4. Collaborative Models in Tertiary Hospitals

The synergy between laboratory diagnostics and pharmacy interventions has emerged as a key factor in reducing contamination rates and enhancing antimicrobial stewardship. Laboratories focus on implementing quality control measures, while pharmacists use these results to optimize therapy. For example, Murray (2014) highlighted how laboratory-pharmacy collaboration in a tertiary care hospital reduced inappropriate antibiotic use by 25%.

5. Gaps in Research and Future Directions

While many studies focus on individual interventions, fewer have examined integrated, multidisciplinary approaches. Additionally, there is a need for standardized metrics to evaluate the success of contamination reduction strategies and their impact on antimicrobial resistance. Future research should explore the cost-effectiveness of implementing these interventions in resource-limited settings, where tertiary hospitals often face unique challenges.

Methodology

This section outlines the methods and procedures followed in conducting the study on reducing blood culture contamination and its impact on antimicrobial stewardship in a tertiary hospital setting.

Study Design

This was a **prospective interventional study** conducted over a 12-month period at a tertiary care hospital. The study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of a multidisciplinary approach in reducing blood culture contamination rates and its implications for antimicrobial stewardship.

Study Setting

The study was conducted in the hospital's microbiology laboratory, in collaboration with the pharmacy department and various clinical units, including the emergency department, intensive care unit (ICU), and general wards.

Participants

- **Healthcare Professionals**: Phlebotomists, nurses, medical technologists, and pharmacists participated in training sessions and intervention implementation.
- **Patients**: Blood culture samples were obtained from patients of all age groups admitted to the hospital. Patients on antibiotics at the time of sample collection were excluded to reduce confounding variables.

Interventions

The study involved three key interventions:

1. **Staff Training and Education**
   Regular training sessions were conducted for healthcare staff involved in blood sample collection. Training focused on:

- Proper skin disinfection techniques using chlorhexidine.
- The correct method of drawing blood samples to minimize contamination.
- Labeling and transporting blood culture bottles appropriately.

2. **Implementation of Standardized Protocols**
   A strict aseptic blood collection protocol was introduced, which included:
   - A mandatory double-check by phlebotomists for correct disinfection of the puncture site.
   - Use of blood culture diversion devices for patients with a high risk of contamination.
   - Documentation of sample collection processes to ensure compliance.
3. **Pharmacy-Laboratory Collaboration**
   - Laboratory technologists were responsible for reviewing culture results and identifying likely contaminants based on microbiological criteria (e.g., single positive bottle with common skin flora).
   - Pharmacists reviewed flagged results and provided antibiotic recommendations to clinicians, differentiating between true infections and contamination cases.

Data Collection

- **Primary Outcome**: Blood culture contamination rates were recorded and compared pre- and post-intervention.
- **Secondary Outcomes**:
  - Number of unnecessary antibiotic prescriptions avoided due to contamination reduction.
  - Cost savings achieved from reduced antibiotic use and shorter hospital stays.

Data sources included laboratory records, pharmacy logs, and patient electronic health records.

Data Analysis

- Contamination rates were calculated as the percentage of positive blood cultures identified as contaminants out of the total blood cultures processed.
- Pre- and post-intervention contamination rates were compared using a chi-square test for categorical data.
- Antibiotic use was analyzed in defined daily doses (DDD) and compared pre- and post-intervention using paired t-tests.
- Cost savings were estimated based on hospital billing data for antibiotic prescriptions and length of stay.

Ethical Considerations

Approval for the study was obtained from the hospital's ethics committee. Written informed consent was waived for the study since the intervention focused on quality improvement practices without direct patient involvement beyond routine care.

Quality Control Measures

- Periodic audits were conducted to ensure adherence to standardized protocols.
- Any discrepancies in culture result interpretation were reviewed by a multidisciplinary committee comprising microbiologists and pharmacists.

Findings

1. Summary of Key Metrics Pre- and Post-Intervention

Metrics	Pre-Intervention	Post-Intervention	Reduction (%)
Total Blood Cultures Processed	2,000	2,000	0
Positive Blood Cultures	400	380	5
Contaminated Cultures	80	40	50
Contamination Rate (%)	4.0	2.0	50
Unnecessary Antibiotic Prescriptions	50	20	60
Cost Savings from Reduced Antibiotic Use ($)	0	3,000	N/A

Interpretation of Table 1

- **Blood Culture Contamination Rates:** The contamination rate decreased significantly by 50% after the interventions, indicating the effectiveness of improved protocols and training.
- **Positive Blood Cultures:** A 5% reduction in positive blood cultures suggests that the intervention likely reduced false positives caused by contamination.
- **Unnecessary Antibiotic Prescriptions:** The reduction in unnecessary prescriptions aligns with better identification of true bloodstream infections, supporting antimicrobial stewardship.
- **Cost Savings:** The financial savings of $3,000 post-intervention highlight the economic benefits of reducing inappropriate antibiotic use and contamination-related hospital stays.

2. Breakdown of Contamination Sources

Contamination Source	Pre-Intervention (n=80)	Post-Intervention (n=40)	Reduction (%)
Improper Skin Preparation	40	10	75
Poor Sample Handling	30	20	33.3
Equipment/Environment Issues	10	10	0

Interpretation of Table 2

- The largest reduction in contamination was due to improvements in skin preparation, which dropped by 75%, highlighting the impact of training sessions and standardized disinfection protocols.
- Poor sample handling saw a 33.3% reduction, suggesting that educational interventions were partially effective but may require further emphasis.
- Equipment and environmental factors remained unchanged, pointing to the need for additional resources or systemic changes in this area.

3. Antibiotic Usage Analysis

Antibiotic	Prescriptions Pre-Intervention	Prescriptions Post-Intervention	Reduction (%)
Broad-Spectrum	30	10	66.7
Narrow-Spectrum	20	10	50

Interpretation of Table 3

- Broad-spectrum antibiotics saw a 66.7% reduction in usage post-intervention, reflecting enhanced specificity in treatment decisions due to more reliable blood culture results.
- The decrease in narrow-spectrum antibiotics was smaller, at 50%, which suggests these were less influenced by contamination rates.

Discussion

The findings of this study highlight the significant impact of targeted interventions on reducing blood culture contamination rates and improving antimicrobial stewardship in a tertiary hospital setting. The discussion below explores these outcomes, their implications, and opportunities for further improvement.

Reduction in Contamination Rates

The contamination rate dropped from 4.0% pre-intervention to 2.0% post-intervention, representing a 50% reduction. This improvement aligns with prior studies that emphasize the role of standardized protocols and enhanced training in minimizing contamination (Dawson,2014; Hall & Lyman, 2006). Specifically, the introduction of a rigorous skin preparation protocol using chlorhexidine was a major contributor to this outcome, as evidenced by a 75% decrease in contamination caused by improper skin preparation. These findings underscore the importance of continuous education and adherence to best practices.

Impact on Antimicrobial Stewardship

The reduction in unnecessary antibiotic prescriptions by 60% demonstrates the value of reducing false-positive blood cultures. False positives, often caused by contamination, lead to inappropriate antibiotic use, contributing to antimicrobial resistance and increased healthcare costs (Peters et al., 2004). By improving the accuracy of blood culture results, this study supported more judicious antibiotic use, as reflected in the 66.7% reduction in broad-spectrum antibiotic prescriptions. These findings reaffirm the critical role of antimicrobial stewardship programs in promoting rational antibiotic use and combating resistance.

Cost Savings and Resource Utilization

The financial impact of the interventions was notable, with $3,000 saved through reduced antibiotic use and shorter hospital stays. These cost savings not only validate the economic benefits of the interventions but also highlight their scalability and relevance in resource-constrained settings. By demonstrating how contamination reduction can lead to tangible savings, this study provides a strong incentive for other institutions to adopt similar practices.

Analysis of Contamination Sources

The study revealed a varied impact of interventions on different contamination sources. While improper skin preparation saw the greatest improvement (75% reduction), poor sample handling decreased by only 33.3%, and environmental factors remained unchanged. These findings suggest that while educational initiatives were effective for individual behavior changes, systemic issues such as environmental and equipment-related factors require additional attention. Investing in infrastructure and automation may further reduce contamination from these sources.

Broader Implications for Collaborative Models

The success of this study highlights the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration between laboratory technologists and pharmacists. The pharmacy team's involvement in reviewing blood culture results and guiding antibiotic use was instrumental in reducing unnecessary prescriptions. This collaboration serves as a model for integrating diagnostic precision with clinical decision-making, particularly in complex healthcare environments like tertiary hospitals.

Study Limitations

Despite the significant improvements, this study had limitations. The analysis was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, limiting generalizability to other settings. Additionally, environmental contamination sources remained unaddressed due to resource constraints. Future studies should explore scalable solutions for these systemic issues and assess the long-term sustainability of these interventions.

Future Directions

Building on the findings, future research should focus on:

1. Evaluating the cost-effectiveness of adopting blood culture diversion devices across diverse hospital settings.
2. Exploring the role of automation in reducing contamination from equipment and environmental factors.
3. Expanding the interdisciplinary model to include additional healthcare providers, such as infection control specialists, to enhance intervention efficacy.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates the effectiveness of targeted interventions in reducing blood culture contamination rates and their positive impact on antimicrobial stewardship and cost savings. By emphasizing collaboration between laboratory technologists and pharmacists, it provides a replicable framework for quality improvement in tertiary hospitals. Continued focus on systemic changes and broader adoption of these practices will further enhance diagnostic accuracy, patient care, and resource utilization in healthcare settings.

References

1. Dawson, S. (2014). Blood culture contaminants. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, *87*(1), 1-10.
2. Peters, R. P., van Agtmael, M. A., Danner, S. A., Savelkoul, P. H., & Vandenbroucke-Grauls, C. M. (2004). New developments in the diagnosis of bloodstream infections. *The Lancet infectious diseases*, *4*(12), 751-760.
3. Hall, K. K., & Lyman, J. A. (2006). Updated review of blood culture contamination. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *19*(4), 788–802.
4. Murray, P. R. (2014). The clinician and the microbiology laboratory. *Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases*, 191.