The Impact of Nurse Staffing Ratios on Patient Outcomes in Tertiary Hospitals: A Correlational Study

Thikrayat H. Al-Sayhati

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Abstract

Background: Nurse staffing ratios are critical determinants of patient outcomes in tertiary hospitals. Inadequate staffing can lead to increased mortality, hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), longer lengths of stay (LOS), and higher readmission rates.

Objective: To analyze the relationship between nurse-to-patient ratios and patient outcomes in a tertiary hospital setting.

Methods: A retrospective observational study was conducted using data from 15,000 patient admissions over one year. Nurse staffing levels, patient demographics, and outcomes, including mortality, HAIs, LOS, and readmissions, were analyzed using multivariate regression models.

Results: Higher nurse-to-patient ratios (1:8 or more) were significantly associated with increased mortality (OR 2.90; 95% CI 2.15–3.92), HAIs (OR 2.10; 95% CI 1.62–2.75), longer LOS, and higher readmission rates ($p < 0.001$). Critical care units were disproportionately affected, with mortality rates three times higher in units with inadequate staffing.

Conclusion: Adequate nurse staffing is essential for improving patient outcomes and hospital efficiency. Targeted staffing policies in high-acuity units are urgently needed to mitigate risks associated with insufficient nursing resources.

Keywords: Nurse staffing ratios, patient outcomes, tertiary hospital, mortality, hospital-acquired infections, length of stay, readmissions.

Introduction

The relationship between nurse staffing ratios and patient outcomes has been a crucial subject in healthcare research, particularly in tertiary hospitals where the complexity of patient care is high. Studies conducted have consistently demonstrated the critical role of adequate staffing levels in improving patient safety, reducing hospital-acquired infections, and decreasing mortality rates. Needleman et al. (2002) first established that lower nurse-to-patient ratios significantly improved patient outcomes, including reduced failure-to-rescue rates and shorter hospital stays.

Subsequent research by Aiken et al. (2003) expanded on these findings, revealing that each additional patient per nurse increases the likelihood of in-hospital mortality by 7%. These results have been corroborated across various healthcare systems globally, emphasizing the universal importance of optimal

staffing levels. Kim et al. (2016) further identified the economic implications of nurse staffing variations, demonstrating that insufficient staffing not only worsens patient outcomes but also increases healthcare costs due to prolonged hospital stays and readmissions.

Despite these findings, many healthcare institutions continue to face challenges in implementing appropriate nurse staffing policies due to financial constraints and workforce shortages. This study aims to explore the correlation between nurse staffing ratios and patient outcomes in a tertiary hospital setting, providing evidence-based recommendations to enhance patient care and healthcare system efficiency.

Literature Review

Introduction to Nurse Staffing Ratios and Patient Outcomes

The correlation between nurse staffing levels and patient outcomes has been a key focus of healthcare research. Adequate nurse staffing is not only a determinant of patient safety but also a critical factor influencing healthcare quality and efficiency. Various studies have consistently emphasized the need for optimal staffing to improve outcomes such as mortality rates, infection rates, and patient satisfaction.

Impact of Nurse Staffing on Patient Mortality

Research has consistently demonstrated that lower nurse-to-patient ratios are associated with reduced mortality rates. Needleman et al. (2002) conducted a landmark study revealing that hospitals with higher nurse staffing levels experienced significantly lower rates of failure-to-rescue and in-hospital deaths. Similarly, Aiken et al. (2003) showed that each additional patient added to a nurse's workload increased the odds of mortality by 7%. These findings have been replicated in various settings, reinforcing the vital role of sufficient nurse staffing in reducing preventable deaths.

Nurse Staffing and Quality of Care

Quality of care is another critical dimension affected by nurse staffing ratios. Studies by Blegen et al. (1998) demonstrated that higher staffing levels are associated with fewer adverse events, including falls and medication errors. Additionally, Kim et al. (2016) highlighted that insufficient staffing levels lead to longer hospital stays and higher readmission rates, thus increasing the burden on healthcare systems. This underscores the need for staffing policies that align nurse resources with patient acuity and complexity.

Economic Implications of Nurse Staffing

The economic impact of nurse staffing has also been explored extensively. The work of Twigg et al. (2013) found that improved nurse staffing levels lead to reduced healthcare costs by preventing complications and shortening hospital stays. Conversely, under-staffing was linked to increased financial strain on hospitals due to higher rates of readmissions and extended patient stays (Cho et al., 2003). These studies provide strong evidence that investing in adequate nurse staffing is not only beneficial for patient outcomes but also cost-effective.

Staffing Levels in Tertiary Hospitals

Tertiary hospitals, characterized by their high patient acuity and complexity, are particularly susceptible to

the effects of staffing variations. Research by Duffield et al. (2011) highlighted that tertiary hospitals with higher nurse staffing levels had better patient outcomes compared to those with lower staffing levels. These findings emphasize the critical need for targeted staffing policies in tertiary care settings to mitigate the risks associated with complex patient populations.

Challenges in Implementing Optimal Staffing Ratios

Despite the evidence supporting optimal nurse staffing, many healthcare institutions face challenges in achieving this goal. Financial constraints, workforce shortages, and administrative policies often impede the implementation of adequate staffing levels (Penoyer, 2010). Additionally, regional disparities in staffing policies further exacerbate the issue, as highlighted by Griffiths et al. (2016), who noted significant variations in staffing standards across different healthcare systems.

Summary of Findings

The literature unequivocally demonstrates the importance of nurse staffing ratios in ensuring patient safety, enhancing care quality, and reducing healthcare costs. While the evidence strongly supports the need for optimal staffing, challenges such as resource limitations and policy inconsistencies must be addressed to achieve sustainable improvements in staffing practices.

Methodology

Study Design

This study employed a retrospective observational design to examine the correlation between nurse staffing ratios and patient outcomes in a tertiary hospital. Data was collected over a one-year period from hospital administrative records, patient medical records, and staffing logs. The research aimed to identify patterns and relationships between nurse staffing levels and key patient outcome metrics.

Setting

The study was conducted at a tertiary hospital, a 1,200-bed tertiary hospital. The hospital provides a wide range of specialized services, including critical care, surgical, medical, and pediatric units, making it an ideal setting for evaluating the impact of nurse staffing on patient outcomes.

Participants

The study included all inpatients admitted to the hospital during the study period. Key inclusion criteria were:

1. Patients aged 18 years or older.
2. Admitted to general medical, surgical, or critical care units.
3. Length of stay greater than 24 hours.

Exclusion criteria included:

1. Patients admitted to outpatient or day-care units.
2. Patients with incomplete medical records or missing staffing data.

Data Collection

1. Nurse Staffing Data

Nurse staffing data was obtained from the hospital's staffing management system. Variables included:

- Nurse-to-patient ratios for each shift (morning, evening, and night).
- Total hours worked by nursing staff per unit.
- Overtime and agency staffing.

2. Patient Outcomes Data

Patient outcomes were extracted from the hospital's electronic medical records (EMR). Key metrics included:

- In-hospital mortality.
- Hospital-acquired infection rates (e.g., bloodstream infections, urinary tract infections, and ventilator-associated pneumonia).
- Length of stay (LOS).
- Readmission rates within 30 days of discharge.

3. Covariates

Covariates included patient demographics (age, gender), comorbidities (measured using the Charlson Comorbidity Index), and unit-level factors such as patient acuity and admission type (elective vs. emergency).

Data Analysis

1. Statistical Methods

- Descriptive statistics were used to summarize nurse staffing levels and patient outcomes.
- Bivariate analyses (e.g., chi-square tests and t-tests) compared outcomes across different staffing levels.
- Multivariate regression models were employed to adjust for confounders and evaluate the independent association between nurse staffing and patient outcomes.
- Logistic regression was used for binary outcomes (e.g., mortality, infection rates), while linear regression was applied to continuous outcomes (e.g., LOS).

2. Sensitivity Analyses

To ensure robustness, sensitivity analyses were conducted:

- Stratified analyses by unit type (e.g., critical care vs. general wards).
- Exclusion of outliers (e.g., extremely long LOS or unusually high staffing ratios).

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the hospital's ethics committee. Data anonymity and confidentiality were strictly maintained by assigning unique identifiers to patients and staff. No identifiable personal information was included in the analysis.

Results Presentation

Findings were reported in terms of:

1. Descriptive statistics summarizing staffing levels and patient outcomes.
2. Regression coefficients to quantify the relationship between staffing levels and outcomes.
3. Adjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for binary outcomes.

Findings

The analysis of nurse staffing levels and patient outcomes in a tertiary hospital yielded the following key insights:

Descriptive Statistics

The study included data from **15,000 patient admissions** across general medical, surgical, and critical care units. The average nurse-to-patient ratio was **1:6** during morning shifts, **1:8** during evening shifts, and **1:10** during night shifts.

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of the Study Population

Variable	**Mean (SD) / Percentage**
Total admissions	15,000
Age (years)	65.2 (±14.1)
Gender (Male)	52%
Comorbidities (Charlson Index ≥ 3)	35%
Average Length of Stay (LOS, days)	8.5 (±5.2)
Mortality Rate	2.8%
Hospital-acquired infections (HAIs)	7.5%

Bivariate Analysis

The comparison of outcomes across nurse staffing levels revealed significant differences in mortality rates, infection rates, and length of stay.

Table 2: Patient Outcomes by Nurse-to-Patient Ratios

Outcome	**Low Ratio (1:4)**	**Moderate Ratio (1:6)**	**High Ratio (1:8 or more)**	**p-value**

Outcome	Low Ratio (1:4)	Moderate Ratio (1:6)	High Ratio (1:8 or more)	p-value
Mortality Rate (%)	1.5	2.7	4.2	<0.001
Infection Rate (%)	5.0	7.4	10.2	<0.001
Average LOS (days)	6.5	8.2	10.1	<0.001
Readmission Rate (%)	12.4	15.7	19.6	<0.001

Multivariate Regression Analysis

Multivariate logistic regression revealed that higher nurse-to-patient ratios were independently associated with worse patient outcomes, even after adjusting for patient demographics, comorbidities, and unit type.

Table 3: Adjusted Odds Ratios (OR) for Patient Outcomes

Outcome	OR (95% CI) for Moderate Ratio (1:6)	OR (95% CI) for High Ratio (1:8 or more)	p-value
Mortality	1.75 (1.30–2.35)	2.90 (2.15–3.92)	<0.001
Infection Rate	1.50 (1.12–2.01)	2.10 (1.62–2.75)	<0.001
Readmission Rate	1.35 (1.10–1.65)	1.80 (1.40–2.32)	<0.001

Sensitivity Analysis

Stratified analysis by unit type demonstrated that the effects of nurse staffing were most pronounced in critical care units, where high nurse-to-patient ratios were associated with a **3.5-fold increase** in mortality and a **2.8-fold increase** in infection rates compared to low ratios.

Table 4: Mortality Rates by Unit Type and Staffing Ratios

Unit Type	Low Ratio (1:2)	Moderate Ratio (1:4)	High Ratio (1:6)	p-value
Critical Care	5.5%	10.2%	18.4%	<0.001
General Medical/Surgical	1.2%	2.3%	3.8%	<0.001

Summary of Findings

The findings demonstrate a strong correlation between nurse-to-patient ratios and patient outcomes in a tertiary hospital setting:

1. Higher staffing ratios (more patients per nurse) are associated with increased mortality, infection rates, length of stay, and readmission rates.
2. Critical care units are disproportionately affected by high nurse-to-patient ratios, highlighting the need for targeted staffing policies in these units.
3. Adjusting staffing ratios could potentially reduce preventable mortality and healthcare costs.

Discussion

Summary of Findings

This study provides compelling evidence that nurse-to-patient ratios significantly influence patient outcomes in tertiary hospital settings. Higher nurse staffing ratios (more patients per nurse) were associated with increased mortality rates, higher incidences of hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), longer lengths of stay (LOS), and higher readmission rates. The findings align with existing literature, such as Aiken et al. (2003) and Needleman et al. (2002), which highlight the critical role of nurse staffing in ensuring patient safety and quality care.

Interpretation of Results

The study findings emphasize that inadequate staffing compromises patient safety and care quality. Patients in units with higher nurse-to-patient ratios experienced nearly three times the risk of mortality and over twice the risk of infections compared to those in units with optimal staffing. These outcomes are likely due to increased workload on nurses, resulting in reduced attention to individual patients, delayed interventions, and diminished compliance with infection control protocols.

In critical care units, the impact of staffing ratios was particularly pronounced. This is consistent with prior research, such as Duffield et al. (2011), which noted that critically ill patients are especially vulnerable to adverse events when nursing resources are stretched thin. The high complexity and acuity in these units necessitate greater nurse attention, and staffing deficiencies can have catastrophic consequences.

Economic Implications

Beyond clinical outcomes, the findings highlight the economic burden of inadequate staffing. Increased LOS and readmissions place additional strain on hospital resources, aligning with the work of Twigg et al. (2013), who demonstrated that improving staffing levels reduces healthcare costs. Investing in adequate staffing could not only enhance patient care but also improve hospital efficiency by reducing preventable complications and readmissions.

Strengths and Limitations

Strengths:

1. The study used a robust dataset from a large tertiary hospital, enhancing the generalizability of findings to similar healthcare settings.
2. Multivariate analysis adjusted for confounders, ensuring that observed associations were not driven by patient demographics or comorbidities.
3. Sensitivity analyses provided insights into the differential impacts of staffing ratios across unit types.

Limitations:

1. The study's retrospective design limits causal inferences; while associations are robust, definitive cause-and-effect relationships cannot be established.

2. Data were obtained from a single tertiary hospital, potentially limiting generalizability to other healthcare settings with different staffing models.
3. Variability in nurse training and experience, which may influence patient outcomes, was not captured in the dataset.

Implications for Practice

The study underscores the critical need for evidence-based staffing policies in tertiary hospitals. Policymakers and hospital administrators must prioritize investments in nursing resources to ensure optimal staffing ratios, particularly in high-acuity units. Strategies such as employing additional permanent staff, reducing reliance on temporary or agency nurses, and leveraging technology to optimize workload distribution can mitigate staffing challenges.

Future Research

Further studies are needed to:

1. Explore the impact of nurse training, experience, and skill mix on patient outcomes alongside staffing ratios.
2. Evaluate the cost-effectiveness of different staffing models, considering variations in hospital budgets and resources.
3. Investigate long-term patient outcomes, including quality of life post-discharge, in relation to staffing ratios.

Conclusion

This study adds to the growing body of evidence linking nurse staffing ratios to patient outcomes. Adequate staffing is essential not only for improving clinical outcomes but also for enhancing hospital efficiency and patient satisfaction. Addressing staffing deficiencies through evidence-based policies can significantly advance the quality of healthcare delivery in tertiary hospitals.

References

1. Needleman, J., Buerhaus, P., Mattke, S., Stewart, M., & Zelevinsky, K. (2002). Nurse-staffing levels and the quality of care in hospitals. *New England Journal of Medicine, 346*(22), 1715-1722.
2. Aiken, L. H., Clarke, S. P., Sloane, D. M., Sochalski, J., & Silber, J. H. (2003). Hospital nurse staffing and patient mortality, nurse burnout, and job dissatisfaction. *JAMA, 288*(16), 1987-1993.
3. Kim, Y., Kim, S. H., & Ko, Y. (2016). Effect of nurse staffing variation and hospital resource utilization. *Nursing & Health Sciences, 18*(2), 234-241.
4. Blegen, M. A., Goode, C. J., & Reed, L. (1998). Nurse staffing and patient outcomes. *Nursing Research, 60*(5), 303-310.
5. Twigg, D., Geelhoed, E., Bremner, A., & Duffield, C. (2013). The economic benefits of increased levels of nursing staff. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 69*(10), 2252-2259.
6. Cho, S. H., Ketefian, S., Barkauskas, V. H., & Smith, D. G. (2003). The effects of nurse staffing on adverse events, morbidity, mortality, and medical costs. *Nursing Research, 58*(2), 74-84.
7. Duffield, C., Roche, M. A., O'Brien-Pallas, L., & Catling-Paull, C. (2011). Staffing, skill mix and the model of care. *Journal of Clinical Nursing, 20*(5-6), 847-857.

8. Griffiths, P., Ball, J., Murrells, T., Jones, S., & Rafferty, A. M. (2016). Registered nurse, healthcare support worker, staffing levels and mortality in English hospital trusts: A cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*, *6*(2), e008751.
9. Penoyer, D. A. (2010). Nurse staffing and patient outcomes in critical care: a concise review. *Critical care medicine*, *38*(7), 1521-1528.