# **Evaluating the Outcomes of Combined Strength and Flexibility Training in the Rehabilitation of Rotator Cuff Injuries: A Comparative Study**

Fahdah A. Aljamaan<sup>1</sup>, Anoud O. Alanezi<sup>2</sup>, Reem M. Aldosary<sup>3</sup>, Eman A. Almutairi<sup>4</sup>, Areej M. M. Al-Abdulrahman<sup>5</sup>, Shorouk A. Aljarallah<sup>6</sup>

Physical Therapy Health affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

## **Abstract**

**Background:** Rotator cuff injuries are common and often require comprehensive rehabilitation strategies. This study evaluates the effectiveness of combining strength and flexibility training compared to strength training alone in improving outcomes for rotator cuff injuries.

**Objective:** To determine the impact of integrated strength and flexibility exercises on pain reduction, range of motion (ROM), functional outcomes, and muscle strength in patients with rotator cuff injuries.

**Methods:** A randomized controlled trial was conducted with 50 participants, divided into an intervention group (n=25) receiving combined strength and flexibility training and a control group (n=25) receiving only strength training. Outcomes were assessed at baseline, 6 weeks, and 12 weeks using pain scores (VAS), ROM measurements, Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (DASH) scores, and isometric muscle strength tests.

**Results:** The intervention group showed significant improvements in pain reduction (VAS), ROM (flexion, abduction, external rotation, internal rotation), functional outcomes (DASH scores), and muscle strength (external and internal rotators) compared to the control group at both 6 and 12 weeks.

**Conclusion:** Integrating flexibility training with strength exercises significantly enhances recovery in rotator cuff injury rehabilitation, providing superior outcomes in pain management, ROM, functional ability, and muscle strength compared to strength training alone.

Keywords: Rotator cuff injuries, strength training, flexibility training, rehabilitation, pain reduction, range of motion, functional outcomes, muscle strength.

## Introduction

Rotator cuff injuries are prevalent, particularly among older adults and athletes. In individuals over 60 years old, the prevalence of rotator cuff tears can be as high as 22%, making these injuries a significant cause of shoulder pain and disability (Milgrom et al., 1995; Teunis et al., 2014). The rotator cuff is composed of four muscles—supraspinatus, infraspinatus, teres minor, and subscapularis—that are essential for shoulder stability and mobility (Gumina et al., 2017). Damage to any of these muscles or their associated tendons can result in pain, reduced range of motion (ROM), and diminished shoulder function, often necessitating rehabilitation to restore normal function and prevent chronic issues (Kuhn et al., 2013).

Physical therapy is a key component of rotator cuff rehabilitation, often serving as the primary intervention for both non-surgical and post-surgical management (McClure et al., 2001). Traditionally, strength training has been a cornerstone of rehabilitation programs for rotator cuff injuries, with exercises designed to restore muscle power and joint stability (Cools et al., 2015). Strengthening the rotator cuff muscles, particularly the supraspinatus and infraspinatus, is crucial for improving shoulder function and preventing further injury (Moosmayer et al., 2010). However, flexibility training has also gained attention as an important aspect of rehabilitation, particularly in addressing the stiffness and restricted ROM often associated with rotator cuff injuries (Burger et al., 2016).

Despite the recognized benefits of both strength and flexibility training, there is limited research exploring the combined effect of these two modalities in the rehabilitation of rotator cuff injuries. It has been

hypothesized that integrating both strength and flexibility exercises could yield superior outcomes by addressing both muscle weakness and joint stiffness simultaneously. Studies such as those by Escamilla et al. (2009) have suggested that rehabilitation programs incorporating both strength and flexibility exercises can lead to significant improvements in pain, ROM, and overall shoulder function compared to strength training alone.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the outcomes of combined strength and flexibility training in the rehabilitation of patients with rotator cuff injuries. Specifically, this research will assess improvements in pain levels, ROM, muscle strength, and overall shoulder function. By comparing the outcomes of this combined approach with traditional rehabilitation methods, this study seeks to provide evidence-based recommendations for optimizing rotator cuff rehabilitation protocols.

# **Literature Review**

Prevalence and Impact of Rotator Cuff Injuries: Rotator cuff injuries are among the most common musculoskeletal conditions, particularly affecting older adults and individuals engaged in repetitive overhead activities. Research indicates that the prevalence of rotator cuff tears increases with age, with approximately 22% of individuals over the age of 60 affected (Milgrom et al., 1995). These injuries can result in significant pain, loss of shoulder function, and decreased quality of life, often leading to long-term disability if left untreated (Teunis et al., 2014). The rotator cuff, consisting of four muscles—supraspinatus, infraspinatus, teres minor, and subscapularis—plays a critical role in shoulder movement and stability (Gumina et al., 2017). Given its complex structure and function, injuries to the rotator cuff require targeted rehabilitation strategies to restore normal shoulder mechanics.

The Role of Strength Training in Rotator Cuff Rehabilitation: Strength training has long been a cornerstone of rotator cuff rehabilitation programs. Studies have consistently shown that strengthening exercises targeting the rotator cuff muscles can improve shoulder function and reduce pain (Kuhn et al., 2013). For instance, McClure et al. (2001) demonstrated that strengthening the rotator cuff muscles, particularly the supraspinatus and infraspinatus, is essential for stabilizing the shoulder joint and restoring normal movement patterns. This is especially important in patients recovering from rotator cuff tears or surgeries, where the primary goal is to regain muscle strength and prevent re-injury. Strength training typically involves isotonic and isometric exercises that target specific muscle groups to enhance joint stability and functional capacity (Cools et al., 2015).

The Importance of Flexibility Training in Rehabilitation: While strength training is crucial for shoulder rehabilitation, flexibility training has also gained attention as a key component of comprehensive rehabilitation programs. Flexibility exercises aim to restore the range of motion (ROM) in the shoulder joint, which is often restricted following a rotator cuff injury due to pain, inflammation, and muscle stiffness (Burger et al., 2016). Flexibility training typically involves stretching exercises that target the shoulder's ligaments, tendons, and muscles to improve joint mobility and reduce stiffness. Research has shown that flexibility training, when incorporated into rehabilitation protocols, can significantly enhance shoulder function and reduce pain levels (McClure et al., 2001). However, despite its recognized benefits, flexibility training has often been underemphasized compared to strength training in rotator cuff rehabilitation programs.

Combined Strength and Flexibility Training: An Integrated Approach: There is increasing interest in combining strength and flexibility training in rotator cuff rehabilitation to maximize outcomes. This integrated approach addresses both muscle weakness and joint stiffness, which are commonly associated with rotator cuff injuries. Escamilla et al. (2009) explored the effectiveness of combined strength and flexibility training in patients with rotator cuff injuries and found that this approach led to significant improvements in pain reduction, ROM, and overall shoulder function. The study highlighted that integrating flexibility exercises into strength-based programs enhances the rehabilitation process by allowing patients to regain functional shoulder movement more effectively than with strength training alone.

Additionally, other studies have emphasized the benefits of combining strength and flexibility exercises in various rehabilitation protocols. For example, Gumina et al. (2017) demonstrated that patients who underwent a combined rehabilitation program experienced greater improvements in functional outcomes compared to those who only focused on strength training. This suggests that flexibility exercises may play a crucial role in

addressing the multifactorial nature of rotator cuff injuries, which involve both muscular and joint-related impairments.

Gaps in the Literature and the Need for Further Research: Despite the promising results from studies on combined strength and flexibility training, the evidence remains limited, and more research is needed to establish the optimal protocols for integrating these approaches in rotator cuff rehabilitation. While some studies have shown superior outcomes with combined training, others have not found significant differences compared to strength training alone (Moosmayer et al., 2010). This discrepancy underscores the need for more rigorous, controlled studies that can further clarify the role of flexibility training in enhancing rehabilitation outcomes for rotator cuff injuries.

Moreover, research should explore the specific timing and intensity of combined strength and flexibility training to determine how these variables influence rehabilitation outcomes. Escamilla et al. (2009) suggested that the sequence in which strength and flexibility exercises are performed could impact the effectiveness of the rehabilitation program. However, more studies are required to provide concrete evidence on the best practices for combining these two modalities in a structured rehabilitation program.

# Methodology

**Study Design:** This research employed a randomized controlled trial (RCT) design to evaluate the outcomes of combined strength and flexibility training on rotator cuff injuries. The study took place over a six-month period at a rehabilitation department in a tertiary hospital. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board, and all participants provided written informed consent.

**Participants :** A total of 50 participants with diagnosed rotator cuff injuries were recruited for this study. Participants were aged between 18 and 65 years, with a confirmed diagnosis of a rotator cuff tear (either partial or full-thickness) via MRI, and had experienced symptoms for at least six weeks. Exclusion criteria included previous rotator cuff surgery, severe osteoarthritis, neurological disorders, or any other shoulder pathology that could interfere with rehabilitation.

Participants were randomly assigned to one of two groups:

- Intervention Group (n = 25): Received a combined strength and flexibility training program.
- Control Group (n = 25): Received a strength-only training program.

Randomization was performed using a computer-generated sequence, with allocation concealment ensured through sealed, opaque envelopes.

**Intervention Protocol:** The intervention lasted 12 weeks, during which participants attended supervised rehabilitation sessions three times per week. The intervention group participated in a combined strength and flexibility training program, while the control group engaged in strength training alone. Both groups were also provided with home exercise programs to supplement their supervised sessions.

- Strength Training: Both groups followed an identical progressive resistance exercise protocol that targeted the rotator cuff muscles (supraspinatus, infraspinatus, teres minor, and subscapularis) and scapular stabilizers. Exercises included shoulder abduction, external rotation, internal rotation, and scapular retraction using resistance bands and dumbbells. Resistance was progressively increased every two weeks based on individual progress assessments.
- **Flexibility Training:** The intervention group additionally performed flexibility exercises targeting shoulder range of motion (ROM). These exercises included passive and active stretching of the shoulder in flexion, abduction, and rotation to improve joint mobility and reduce stiffness. Flexibility training was incorporated both in the supervised sessions and in the home exercise regimen.

Adherence to the home exercise program was tracked through exercise logs, and participants received regular feedback from the rehabilitation team.

#### **Outcome Measures**

Primary and secondary outcome measures were assessed at baseline, 6 weeks, and 12 weeks post-intervention. The primary outcomes included pain, range of motion (ROM), and functional outcomes. Secondary outcomes included muscle strength and patient satisfaction.

- 1. **Pain:** Pain levels were assessed using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS), a validated tool for measuring pain intensity.
- 2. **Range of Motion (ROM):** ROM was measured using a goniometer for shoulder flexion, abduction, external rotation, and internal rotation. A blinded physiotherapist who was not involved in administering the intervention conducted the measurements.
- 3. **Functional Outcomes:** Shoulder function was evaluated using the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (DASH) score, a validated questionnaire assessing upper extremity function and its impact on daily activities.
- 4. **Muscle Strength:** Isometric muscle strength of the rotator cuff muscles was measured using a handheld dynamometer.
- 5. **Patient Satisfaction:** Satisfaction with the rehabilitation process was assessed using a custom questionnaire focusing on perceived improvement, ease of adherence to the exercise program, and overall satisfaction.

# **Data Analysis**

Data were analyzed using SPSS software (version 26.0). Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables, with between-group comparisons performed using independent t-tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. A repeated measures ANOVA was conducted to assess changes in outcomes over time (baseline, 6 weeks, and 12 weeks) and to examine the interaction effects between time and group.

A significance level of p < 0.05 was set for all statistical analyses, with effect sizes calculated to determine the magnitude of the differences between the intervention and control groups. Missing data were managed using the intention-to-treat principle, with multiple imputation employed for incomplete datasets.

**Ethical Considerations:** The study followed ethical guidelines for human research, with all participants informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without any negative consequences. Confidentiality of participant data was maintained throughout the study.

# **Findings**

This section presents the findings of the study, which evaluated the outcomes of combined strength and flexibility training on rotator cuff injuries. The results are categorized into three main areas: pain reduction, range of motion (ROM) improvements, and functional outcomes. Statistical analyses were conducted to compare the intervention and control groups at baseline, 6 weeks, and 12 weeks post-intervention.

**1. Pain Reduction:** Pain levels were measured using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS). The intervention group showed a more significant reduction in pain scores compared to the control group at both 6 and 12 weeks.

Table 1: Me	an Pain Scores (V	VAS) at Baseline,	6 Weeks, ar	nd 12 Weeks

	· ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Time Point	_	Control Group (n=25)	p-value
	(n=25)		
Baseline	$7.8 \pm 1.2$	7.7 ±1.3	0.78
6 Weeks	4.3 ±1.1	5.8 ±1.2	0.03*
12 Weeks	2.1 ±0.9	4.6 ±1.0	<0.01*

<sup>\*</sup>Statistically significant at p < 0.05

As shown in Table 1, there was a statistically significant reduction in pain at 6 weeks (p = 0.03) and at 12 weeks (p < 0.01) for the intervention group compared to the control group.

**2. Range of Motion (ROM):** ROM was measured using a goniometer for shoulder flexion, abduction, external rotation, and internal rotation. The intervention group demonstrated more significant improvements in shoulder ROM than the control group across all measurements.

Table 2: Mean Range of Motion (ROM) in Degrees at Baseline, 6 Weeks, and 12 Weeks

ROM Measure Time Point Intervention Control Group p-value				
Time Tomic		_	p-value	
	Group (n=25)	(n=25)		
Baseline	$105.6 \pm 15.3$	$106.2 \pm 14.8$	0.82	
6 Weeks	$135.2 \pm 12.7$	$118.9 \pm 13.2$	0.01*	
12 Weeks	152.3 ±10.4	130.1 ±11.5	<0.01*	
Baseline	98.7 ±13.9	99.3 ±14.2	0.87	
6 Weeks	125.4 ±13.1	111.8 ±12.9	0.02*	
12 Weeks	142.8 ±12.3	124.2 ±12.7	<0.01*	
Baseline	38.5 ±9.2	38.9 ±9.4	0.79	
6 Weeks	50.1 ±8.5	44.3 ±8.7	0.04*	
12 Weeks	62.7 ±7.8	51.2 ±8.1	<0.01*	
Baseline	43.6 ±8.3	44.0 ±8.7	0.84	
6 Weeks	54.8 ±7.4	48.6 ±7.8	0.03*	
12 Weeks	66.1 ±6.9	54.7 ±7.1	<0.01*	
	Time Point  Baseline 6 Weeks 12 Weeks Baseline 6 Weeks 12 Weeks Baseline 6 Weeks 12 Weeks Baseline 6 Weeks 6 Weeks 12 Weeks 12 Weeks	Time Point       Intervention Group (n=25)         Baseline $105.6 \pm 15.3$ 6 Weeks $135.2 \pm 12.7$ 12 Weeks $152.3 \pm 10.4$ Baseline $98.7 \pm 13.9$ 6 Weeks $125.4 \pm 13.1$ 12 Weeks $142.8 \pm 12.3$ Baseline $38.5 \pm 9.2$ 6 Weeks $50.1 \pm 8.5$ 12 Weeks $62.7 \pm 7.8$ Baseline $43.6 \pm 8.3$ 6 Weeks $54.8 \pm 7.4$	Time PointIntervention Group (n=25)Control (n=25)Baseline $105.6 \pm 15.3$ $106.2 \pm 14.8$ 6 Weeks $135.2 \pm 12.7$ $118.9 \pm 13.2$ 12 Weeks $152.3 \pm 10.4$ $130.1 \pm 11.5$ Baseline $98.7 \pm 13.9$ $99.3 \pm 14.2$ 6 Weeks $125.4 \pm 13.1$ $111.8 \pm 12.9$ 12 Weeks $142.8 \pm 12.3$ $124.2 \pm 12.7$ Baseline $38.5 \pm 9.2$ $38.9 \pm 9.4$ 6 Weeks $50.1 \pm 8.5$ $44.3 \pm 8.7$ 12 Weeks $62.7 \pm 7.8$ $51.2 \pm 8.1$ Baseline $43.6 \pm 8.3$ $44.0 \pm 8.7$ 6 Weeks $54.8 \pm 7.4$ $48.6 \pm 7.8$	

<sup>\*</sup>Statistically significant at p < 0.05

Table 2 shows significant improvements in shoulder ROM for the intervention group in flexion, abduction, external rotation, and internal rotation compared to the control group at both 6 and 12 weeks.

**3. Functional Outcomes:** Shoulder function was evaluated using the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (DASH) score. The intervention group exhibited greater improvements in functional outcomes compared to the control group.

Table 3: Mean DASH Scores at Baseline, 6 Weeks, and 12 Weeks

Time Point	Intervention Group	Control Group (n=25)	p-value
	(n=25)		
Baseline	65.8 ±9.6	66.1 ±9.8	0.91
6 Weeks	45.2 ±8.7	52.6 ±9.1	0.04*
12 Weeks	28.5 ±7.3	41.2 ±7.9	<0.01*

<sup>\*</sup>Statistically significant at p < 0.05

As shown in Table 3, the intervention group showed significantly lower DASH scores (indicating better function) at both 6 weeks (p = 0.04) and 12 weeks (p < 0.01) compared to the control group.

**4. Muscle Strength:** Isometric muscle strength of the rotator cuff muscles was assessed using a handheld dynamometer. The intervention group showed greater gains in muscle strength compared to the control group.

Table 4: Mean Isometric Muscle Strength (kg) at Baseline, 6 Weeks, and 12 Weeks

Muscle	Time Point	Intervention	Control	p-value
Group		Group (n=25)	Group (n=25)	
External	Baseline	5.1 ±1.3	5.0 ±1.2	0.85
Rotators				
	6 Weeks	7.2 ±1.1	6.3 ±1.0	0.03*
	12 Weeks	9.1 ±1.0	$7.4 \pm 1.1$	<0.01*
Internal	Baseline	6.0 ±1.2	6.1 ±1.1	0.78
Rotators				

6 Weeks	8.0 ±1.0	$7.2 \pm 1.1$	0.04*
12 Weeks	$9.8 \pm 0.9$	$8.1 \pm 1.0$	<0.01*

\*Statistically significant at p < 0.05

As demonstrated in Table 4, the intervention group experienced significantly greater improvements in isometric muscle strength for both external and internal rotators at 6 and 12 weeks compared to the control group.

## **Discussion**

The findings of this study demonstrate that integrating flexibility training with strength exercises in the rehabilitation of rotator cuff injuries yields superior outcomes compared to strength training alone. Significant improvements were observed in pain reduction, range of motion (ROM), functional outcomes, and muscle strength in the intervention group, highlighting the importance of a combined approach to rehabilitation.

**Pain Reduction:** The intervention group experienced a significant reduction in pain levels compared to the control group, as measured by the Visual Analog Scale (VAS). This finding aligns with research suggesting that flexibility training can alleviate pain by reducing muscle tension and improving circulation (Stathokostas et al., 2012). The significant pain reduction observed at 6 and 12 weeks in the intervention group supports the effectiveness of combining flexibility exercises with strength training for pain management in rotator cuff injuries.

Range of Motion (ROM): Significant improvements in shoulder ROM were observed in the intervention group, particularly in shoulder flexion, abduction, external rotation, and internal rotation. Flexibility exercises are known to increase joint mobility and reduce stiffness, which can enhance ROM (Keays et al., 2007). These findings are consistent with studies emphasizing the role of flexibility training in improving shoulder kinematics and ROM (Morton et al., 2011). The results indicate that addressing flexibility alongside strength training is crucial for optimal recovery from rotator cuff injuries.

**Functional Outcomes:** Functional outcomes, as assessed by the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (DASH) score, showed greater improvements in the intervention group compared to the control group. This suggests that combined strength and flexibility training has a more significant impact on overall shoulder function. Previous research supports this, showing that flexibility exercises can enhance functional recovery by improving ROM, reducing pain, and facilitating better movement patterns (Locks et al., 2012). Our findings reinforce the importance of incorporating flexibility training into rehabilitation programs to enhance patients' ability to perform daily activities and improve their quality of life.

**Muscle Strength:** While both groups showed improvements in muscle strength, the intervention group achieved greater gains. This indicates that flexibility training complemented strength development without hindering it. Research has shown that flexibility exercises can facilitate strength gains by allowing muscles to work through a full range of motion, leading to more effective strengthening (Locks et al., 2012). The significant increase in muscle strength observed in the intervention group supports the hypothesis that combined strength and flexibility training can optimize muscle function and recovery.

Clinical Implications: The findings of this study have important implications for clinical practice. Rotator cuff injuries are common and can lead to significant pain, disability, and reduced quality of life if not properly managed. This study suggests that rehabilitation programs should not focus solely on strength training but should also incorporate flexibility exercises to maximize recovery outcomes. Clinicians should design comprehensive rehabilitation protocols that address both strength and flexibility to enhance patient outcomes. Limitations and Future Research: Despite the promising results, this study has several limitations. The sample size of 50 participants, while sufficient to detect significant differences, may limit the generalizability of the findings. Future research should aim to replicate this study with larger sample sizes and across different populations to validate the results. Additionally, the study only followed participants for 12 weeks; longer-term follow-up is needed to assess the sustained impact of combined strength and flexibility training on rotator cuff injuries. Further research could also explore the specific types of flexibility exercises that are most effective in improving outcomes for rotator cuff rehabilitation.

#### **Conclusion**

This study provides evidence that combining strength and flexibility training in the rehabilitation of rotator cuff injuries leads to better outcomes in pain reduction, range of motion, functional ability, and muscle strength compared to strength training alone. These findings support the incorporation of flexibility exercises into rehabilitation programs for rotator cuff injuries, highlighting the need for a comprehensive approach to optimizing recovery. Future research should continue to investigate the long-term effects of combined rehabilitation strategies and explore variations in exercise protocols to further improve patient outcomes.

## **References:**

- 1. Burger, M., Africa, C., Droomer, K., Norman, A., Pheiffe, C., Gericke, A., ... & Miszewski, N. (2016). Effect of corticosteroid injections versus physiotherapy on pain, shoulder range of motion and shoulder function in patients with subacromial impingement syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *South African Journal of Physiotherapy*, 72(1), 1-9.
- 2. Cools, A. M., Johansson, F. R., Borms, D., & Maenhout, A. (2015). Prevention of shoulder injuries in overhead athletes: a science-based approach. *Brazilian journal of physical therapy*, *19*, 331-339.
- 3. Escamilla, R. F., Yamashiro, K., Paulos, L., & Andrews, J. R. (2009). Shoulder muscle activity and function in common shoulder rehabilitation exercises. *Sports medicine*, *39*, 663-685.
- 4. Gumina, S., & Carbone, S. (2017). The impact of aging on rotator cuff tear size. *Rotator Cuff Tear: Pathogenesis, Evaluation and Treatment*, 69-70.
- 5. Keays, K. S., Harris, S. R., Lucyshyn, J. M., & MacIntyre, D. L. (2008). Effects of Pilates exercises on shoulder range of motion, pain, mood, and upper-extremity function in women living with breast cancer: a pilot study. *Physical therapy*, 88(4), 494-510.
- 6. Kuhn, J. E., Dunn, W. R., Sanders, R., An, Q., Baumgarten, K. M., Bishop, J. Y., ... & MOON Shoulder Group. (2013). Effectiveness of physical therapy in treating atraumatic full-thickness rotator cuff tears: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery*, 22(10), 1371-1379.
- 7. Locks, R. R., Costa, T. C., Koppe, S., Yamaguti, A. M., Garcia, M. C., & Gomes, A. R. (2012). Effects of strength and flexibility training on functional performance of healthy older people. *Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy*, *16*, 184-190.
- 8. McClure, P. W., Michener, L. A., Sennett, B. J., & Karduna, A. R. (2001). Direct 3-dimensional measurement of scapular kinematics during dynamic movements in vivo. *Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery*, 10(3), 269-277.
- 9. Milgrom, C., Schaffler, M., Gilbert, S., & van Holsbeeck, M. (1995). Rotator-cuff changes in asymptomatic adults. The effect of age, hand dominance and gender. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume*, 77(2), 296-298.
- 10. Moosmayer, S., Lund, G., Seljom, U., Svege, I., Hennig, T., Tariq, R., & Smith, H. J. (2010). Comparison between surgery and physiotherapy in the treatment of small and medium-sized tears of the rotator cuff: a randomised controlled study of 103 patients with one-year follow-up. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume*, 92(1), 83-91.
- 11. Morton, S. K., Whitehead, J. R., Brinkert, R. H., & Caine, D. J. (2011). Resistance training vs. static stretching: effects on flexibility and strength. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 25(12), 3391-3398.
- 12. Stathokostas, L., Little, R. M., Vandervoort, A. A., & Paterson, D. H. (2012). Flexibility training and functional ability in older adults: a systematic review. *Journal of aging research*, 2012(1), 306818.
- 13. Teunis, T., Lubberts, B., Reilly, B. T., & Ring, D. (2014). A systematic review and pooled analysis of the prevalence of rotator cuff disease with increasing age. *Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery*, 23(12), 1913-1921.