Assessing the Impact of Contrast-Induced Nephropathy Prevention Protocols in a Tertiary Hospital: A Multidisciplinary Approach

Eyan M. Alsomali[1], Suliman Almojaly[2], Ali S. Aldossari[3], Hessah A. Alqadeeb[4]

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Abstract

Contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) is a serious complication associated with the use of iodinated contrast media during imaging procedures, particularly in patients with pre-existing renal conditions. This study aimed to evaluate the impact of CIN prevention protocols in a tertiary hospital setting, focusing on a multidisciplinary approach involving pharmacists, nurses, and CT technologists. A retrospective cohort design was used to analyze 350 patients who underwent contrast-enhanced imaging. Findings showed that 21.4% of patients developed CIN, with significant associations observed between CIN incidence and factors such as older age, diabetes, hypertension, higher contrast volume, and lower baseline renal function. The study highlights the importance of strict adherence to prevention protocols and emphasizes the role of a multidisciplinary team in optimizing patient outcomes. Future research should focus on improving protocol adherence and exploring additional preventive measures to reduce CIN incidence.

Keywords: Contrast-Induced Nephropathy, CIN Prevention, Iodinated Contrast Media, Multidisciplinary Approach, Renal Function, Imaging Procedures

Introduction

Contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) is a significant complication that can occur following the administration of iodinated contrast media during diagnostic imaging procedures, particularly in patients with pre-existing kidney conditions or other risk factors. The incidence of CIN, characterized by an acute deterioration in renal function, has been linked to increased morbidity, prolonged hospital stays, and higher healthcare costs (Gleeson and Bulugahapitiya, 2004). In a tertiary hospital setting, where complex and frequent imaging procedures are performed, preventing CIN is crucial for improving patient outcomes and optimizing healthcare resources.

Preventative measures for CIN include hydration protocols, minimizing the volume of contrast media, and using low-osmolar or iso-osmolar contrast agents, all of which require coordinated efforts across multiple healthcare disciplines (Stacul et al., 2011). A multidisciplinary approach, involving pharmacists, nurses, and radiology professionals, can be highly effective in ensuring adherence to prevention protocols and mitigating the risk of CIN. Pharmacists play a key role in optimizing the use of medications, such as prophylactic agents, while nurses are essential in managing patient hydration and monitoring renal function, and CT technologists ensure appropriate contrast dosing during imaging.

Despite the existence of CIN prevention protocols, adherence to these protocols can be challenging due to various factors, including patient characteristics, workflow constraints, and communication barriers between healthcare professionals. Therefore, assessing the impact of these prevention protocols through a multidisciplinary lens is crucial to understanding their effectiveness and identifying areas for improvement in clinical practice.

This study aims to evaluate the impact of contrast-induced nephropathy prevention protocols in a tertiary hospital setting, emphasizing the collaborative roles of pharmacists, nurses, and CT technologists. By understanding the challenges and outcomes associated with the implementation of these protocols, this research seeks to provide insights that can enhance patient care and minimize the incidence of CIN.

Literature Review

Contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) is a well-documented adverse effect of iodinated contrast media used in imaging procedures. It is associated with a sudden decline in renal function, often defined as an increase in serum creatinine levels within 48-72 hours following contrast exposure (Solomon et al., 1994). The pathophysiology of CIN is complex and involves direct cytotoxic effects of contrast agents on renal tubular cells, ischemic injury due to altered renal hemodynamics, and oxidative stress (Heyman et al., 2010). Patients with pre-existing renal insufficiency, diabetes, dehydration, and those receiving high doses of contrast media are at an elevated risk for developing CIN (Nash et al., 2002).

Several strategies have been proposed to mitigate the risk of CIN, including adequate hydration, minimizing contrast volume, and using low- or iso-osmolar contrast agents. Volume expansion with intravenous fluids has been widely recognized as one of the most effective methods to prevent CIN, as it helps maintain renal perfusion and dilute the contrast agent, thereby reducing its toxic effects (Au et al., 2014). The use of isotonic saline or sodium bicarbonate infusion has been studied extensively, with both showing efficacy in reducing the incidence of CIN, although the optimal hydration protocol remains a topic of debate (Merten et al., 2004).

The role of prophylactic pharmacological agents in preventing CIN has also been explored, with mixed results. N-acetylcysteine (NAC), an antioxidant, has been one of the most studied agents, and some studies have suggested that it may reduce the risk of CIN by scavenging free radicals and enhancing renal vasodilation (Fishbane,2008) However, other studies have reported inconclusive or minimal benefits, indicating that the effectiveness of NAC in preventing CIN remains uncertain (Tepel et al., 2000). Other agents, such as statins and ascorbic acid, have also been investigated, but further research is needed to establish their role in CIN prevention (Toso et al., 2010).

In recent years, a multidisciplinary approach has been increasingly recognized as a crucial factor in successfully preventing CIN. Collaboration among healthcare professionals, including pharmacists, nurses, and radiology technologists, plays a vital role in ensuring that prevention protocols are adhered to and that patients are adequately prepared for imaging procedures involving contrast media (Toprak&Cirit, 2006). Pharmacists contribute by ensuring appropriate medication use, including prophylactic agents and hydration protocols, while nurses are responsible for patient monitoring and hydration management. Radiology technologists, on the other hand, play a key role in minimizing contrast media volume and selecting the appropriate type of contrast agent (Kooiman et al., 2012).

Despite the availability of prevention strategies, adherence to CIN prevention protocols remains suboptimal in many healthcare settings. Barriers such as workflow challenges, lack of communication between team members, and insufficient awareness of guidelines have been identified as key factors contributing to poor adherence (Yamanaka et al., 2015). Studies have shown that implementing standardized protocols and enhancing communication among healthcare professionals can improve adherence and reduce the incidence of CIN (Weisbord et al., 2018). Education and training programs targeting healthcare providers have also been suggested as effective ways to improve protocol adherence and ultimately reduce the risk of CIN (Lameire et al., 2013).

In conclusion, the prevention of CIN requires a comprehensive approach that includes hydration, minimizing contrast media volume, and potentially using pharmacological agents. A multidisciplinary approach involving pharmacists, nurses, and radiology technologists is essential to ensure the effective implementation of prevention protocols. However, challenges related to protocol adherence and communication among healthcare professionals highlight the need for further research and intervention to optimize CIN prevention strategies in clinical practice.

Methodology

This study was conducted in a tertiary hospital to evaluate the impact of contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) prevention protocols. A retrospective cohort design was used, involving a multidisciplinary team of pharmacists, nurses, and CT technologists who collaborated to implement and assess CIN prevention measures. The study was carried out over a six-month period, and involved the review of medical records for patients who underwent contrast-enhanced imaging procedures during this time frame.

Study Population

The study included adult patients (aged 18 years and older) who underwent computed tomography (CT) imaging with iodinated contrast media in the tertiary hospital. Patients with pre-existing renal dysfunction, defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m^2, were identified as a high-risk group for CIN. Patients with acute kidney injury or those undergoing dialysis at the time of imaging were excluded from the study.

Data Collection

Data were collected from the hospital's electronic health records (EHR) system. The collected data included demographic information (age, gender), medical history (diabetes, hypertension, chronic kidney disease), laboratory results (baseline and post-contrast serum creatinine levels), contrast volume administered, and details regarding the use of CIN prevention measures. Prevention measures included hydration protocols (type and volume of fluids administered), use of pharmacological agents (e.g., N-acetylcysteine), and details of contrast media (type and volume).

Intervention Protocols

The CIN prevention protocols implemented included pre- and post-procedural hydration, using isotonic saline, and limiting the volume of contrast media used based on patient-specific factors such as body weight and renal function. Pharmacists were responsible for reviewing medication orders and recommending the use of prophylactic agents such as N-acetylcysteine when appropriate. Nurses ensured that hydration protocols were followed and monitored patients for signs of fluid overload or other adverse events. CT technologists were trained to use the lowest effective dose of contrast media while ensuring adequate image quality.

Outcome Measures

The primary outcome measure was the incidence of CIN, defined as an increase in serum creatinine of 0.5 mg/dL or a 25% increase from baseline within 48-72 hours following contrast exposure. Secondary outcomes included length of hospital stay, need for renal replacement therapy, and adherence to prevention protocols by the multidisciplinary team.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population. The incidence of CIN was calculated as a proportion of patients who met the criteria for CIN among those who received contrast media. Comparisons between patients who developed CIN and those who did not were conducted using chi-square tests for categorical variables and t-tests for continuous variables. Logistic regression analysis was performed to identify factors associated with the development of CIN, including patient characteristics, contrast volume, and adherence to prevention protocols.

Ethical Considerations

The study was approved by the ethics committee, and all data were handled in accordance with patient confidentiality and data protection regulations. As this was a retrospective study, patient consent was waived by the IRB.

Findings

A total of 350 patients who underwent contrast-enhanced CT imaging during the study period were included in the analysis. Of these, 75 patients (21.4%) met the criteria for CIN. The findings are summarized in the following tables.

Table 1: Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Study Population

Characteristic	CIN Group (n=75)	Non-CIN Group (n=275)	p-value
Age (mean ±SD)	68.4 ±10.2	64.1 ±11.8	0.014
Male (%)	52 (69.3%)	142 (51.6%)	0.007
Diabetes (%)	48 (64.0%)	122 (44.4%)	0.002
Hypertension (%)	58 (77.3%)	163 (59.3%)	0.005
Baseline eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)	45.7 ±12.1	58.3 ±15.2	<0.001

Table 2: Comparison of Contrast Volume and Prevention Measures

Variable	CIN Group (n=75)	Non-CIN Group (n=275)	p-value
Contrast Volume (mL)	125 ±30	110 ±25	0.001
Pre-procedural Hydration (%)	60 (80.0%)	240 (87.3%)	0.124
Use of N-acetylcysteine (%)	42 (56.0%)	175 (63.6%)	0.218

Table 3: Outcomes

Outcome	CIN Group (n=75)	Non-CIN Group (n=275)	p-value
Length of Hospital Stay (days)	9.5 ±4.1	6.2 ±3.5	<0.001
Need for Renal Replacement Therapy (%)	8 (10.7%)	5 (1.8%)	<0.001

The results indicate that patients who developed CIN were significantly older, more likely to have diabetes and hypertension, and had lower baseline eGFR compared to those who did not develop CIN. The mean contrast volume was higher in the CIN group, and the length of hospital stay was significantly longer for patients who developed CIN. Furthermore, the need for renal replacement therapy was significantly greater in the CIN group.

Discussion

The findings of this study highlight the importance of patient-specific risk factors, such as age, diabetes, hypertension, and baseline renal function, in the development of CIN. Despite the use of preventative measures such as pre-procedural hydration and pharmacological agents, CIN occurred in a considerable proportion of patients, particularly those with multiple comorbidities. These results underscore the need for stringent adherence to CIN prevention protocols and individualized risk assessment prior to administering contrast media.

The higher contrast volume observed in the CIN group suggests that careful consideration of contrast dosage is crucial in reducing the risk of CIN. The multidisciplinary approach involving pharmacists, nurses, and CT technologists is vital in optimizing patient care, but further efforts are needed to enhance protocol adherence and reduce CIN incidence. Future studies should explore additional strategies to improve adherence to prevention protocols and evaluate the long-term outcomes of patients who develop CIN.

References

1. Au, T. H., Bruckner, A., Mohiuddin, S. M., & Hilleman, D. E. (2014). The prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, *48*(10), 1332-1342.
2. Fishbane, S. (2008). N-acetylcysteine in the prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, *3*(1), 281-287.
3. Gleeson, T. G., &Bulugahapitiya, S. (2004). Contrast-induced nephropathy. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, *183*(6), 1673-1689.
4. Heyman, S. N., Rosen, S., Khamaisi, M., Idée, J. M., & Rosenberger, C. (2010). Reactive oxygen species and the pathogenesis of radiocontrast-induced nephropathy. *Investigative radiology*, *45*(4), 188-195.
5. Kooiman, J., Pasha, S. M., Zondag, W., Sijpkens, Y. W., van der Molen, A. J., Huisman, M. V., &Dekkers, O. M. (2012). Meta-analysis: serum creatinine changes following contrast enhanced CT imaging. *European journal of radiology*, *81*(10), 2554-2561.
6. Lameire, N., Kellum, J. A., & KDIGO AKI Guideline Work Group. (2013). Contrast-induced acute kidney injury and renal support for acute kidney injury: a KDIGO summary (Part 2). *Critical Care*, *17*, 1-13.

7. Merten, G. J., Burgess, W. P., Gray, L. V., Holleman, J. H., Roush, T. S., Kowalchuk, G. J., ... & Kennedy, T. P. (2004). Prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy with sodium bicarbonate: a randomized controlled trial. *Jama*, *291*(19), 2328-2334.
8. Nash, K., Hafeez, A., & Hou, S. (2002). Hospital-acquired renal insufficiency. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, *39*(5), 930-936.
9. Stacul, F., van der Molen, A. J., Reimer, P., Webb, J. A., Thomsen, H. S., Morcos, S. K., ... & Contrast Media Safety Committee of European Society of Urogenital Radiology (ESUR) www. esur. org. (2011). Contrast induced nephropathy: updated ESUR contrast media safety committee guidelines. *European radiology*, *21*, 2527-2541.
10. Solomon, R., Werner, C., Mann, D., D'Elia, J., & Silva, P. (1994). Effects of saline, mannitol, and furosemide on acute decreases in renal function induced by radiocontrast agents. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *331*(21), 1416-1420
11. Tepel, M., Van Der Giet, M., Schwarzfeld, C., Laufer, U., Liermann, D., & Zidek, W. (2000). Prevention of radiographic-contrast-agent–induced reductions in renal function by acetylcysteine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *343*(3), 180-184.
12. Toso, A., Maioli, M., Leoncini, M., Gallopin, M., Tedeschi, D., Micheletti, C., ... &Bellandi, F. (2010). Usefulness of atorvastatin (80 mg) in prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy in patients with chronic renal disease. *The American journal of cardiology*, *105*(3), 288-292.
13. Toprak, O., &Cirit, M. (2006). Risk factors for contrast-induced nephropathy. *Kidney and Blood Pressure Research*, *29*(2), 84-93.
14. Yamanaka, T., Kawai, Y., Miyoshi, T., Mima, T., Takagaki, K., Tsukuda, S., ... & Ito, H. (2015). Remote ischemic preconditioning reduces contrast-induced acute kidney injury in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a randomized controlled trial. *International journal of cardiology*, *178*, 136-141.
15. Weisbord, S. D., Gallagher, M., Jneid, H., Garcia, S., Cass, A., Thwin, S. S., ... & Palevsky, P. M. (2018). Outcomes after angiography with sodium bicarbonate and acetylcysteine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *378*(7), 603-614.