

Comparing the Effectiveness of Airway Clearance Techniques in Patients with Neuromuscular Disorders: A Comparative Study of Manual Cough Assist, Mechanical Insufflation-Exsufflation, and Suctioning

Ahmed A. Alanazi¹, Majed A. Alsaahli², Hoda A. Khoutan³,
Ahmed A. Alenizi⁴, Faisal G. Alenazi⁵, Abdulaziz S. Alaws⁶,
Sultan H. Alhussini⁷, Meshal S. Alrewaished⁸

Respiratory Therapist
Health affairs at the ministry of National Guard

Abstract

Background: Patients with neuromuscular disorders often face significant challenges in airway clearance due to weakened respiratory muscles. Effective airway clearance is crucial to prevent respiratory complications, but the relative effectiveness of different techniques remains unclear.

Objective: This study compares the effectiveness of manual cough assist, mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E), and suctioning in improving respiratory function, reducing respiratory complications, and enhancing patient comfort in patients with neuromuscular disorders.

Methods: A randomized controlled trial was conducted with 180 patients who were assigned to one of three airway clearance techniques. Respiratory function, incidence of respiratory complications, patient comfort, and adverse events were assessed over a 12-month period.

Results: MI-E significantly improved peak cough flow and forced vital capacity compared to manual cough assist and suctioning. It also resulted in fewer respiratory infections and hospitalizations. However, manual cough assist was rated highest in patient comfort and ease of use. Suctioning was associated with the most adverse events, including discomfort and mucosal trauma.

Conclusion: MI-E is the most effective airway clearance technique for patients with neuromuscular disorders, though patient comfort should guide individualized treatment plans. Manual cough assist may be preferable for patients who prioritize comfort, while suctioning should be used with caution due to its associated risks.

Keywords: Neuromuscular disorders, airway clearance, manual cough assist, mechanical insufflation-exsufflation, suctioning, respiratory therapy

Introduction

Neuromuscular disorders, such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), muscular dystrophy, and spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), are characterized by progressive weakness of the muscles, including those responsible for breathing and coughing. As the disease progresses, patients often lose the ability to effectively clear their airways, leading to an increased risk of respiratory complications such as pneumonia, atelectasis, and respiratory failure (Chatwin et al., 2015). The management of airway clearance is therefore a critical component of care for patients with neuromuscular disorders.

Effective airway clearance techniques are essential for maintaining respiratory health in these patients. The inability to clear mucus from the airways can result in chronic infections and a decline in lung function, significantly affecting the patient's quality of life and survival (Bach, 2017). Various techniques are used to assist with airway clearance, including manual cough assist, mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E), and

suctioning. Each of these techniques has its own benefits and limitations, and their effectiveness can vary depending on the patient's specific condition and stage of disease.

Manual cough assist involves the use of physical maneuvers, such as chest compressions, to enhance the effectiveness of a patient's cough. This technique can be effective in dislodging mucus, but it requires the assistance of a caregiver and may not be suitable for all patients, particularly those with advanced weakness (Toussaint et al., 2016). Mechanical insufflation-exsufflation, also known as a cough assist device, uses positive and negative pressure to simulate a natural cough, helping to clear secretions from the airways. This method is often recommended for patients with severe respiratory muscle weakness, but its effectiveness can be influenced by the patient's comfort and tolerance of the device (Bach, 2017). Suctioning, another commonly used technique, involves the mechanical removal of secretions from the airways using a suction catheter. While effective in clearing mucus, suctioning can be invasive and may cause discomfort or airway trauma if not performed correctly (Chatwin et al., 2018).

Given the variety of airway clearance techniques available and the specific needs of patients with neuromuscular disorders, it is crucial to determine which methods are most effective in different clinical scenarios. However, there is a lack of comprehensive comparative studies that evaluate the outcomes of these techniques in this patient population. Understanding the relative effectiveness of each technique can help clinicians make informed decisions about the most appropriate interventions for their patients.

This study aims to compare the effectiveness of manual cough assist, mechanical insufflation-exsufflation, and suctioning in patients with neuromuscular disorders. By evaluating respiratory outcomes, patient comfort, and the incidence of respiratory complications, this research seeks to provide evidence-based recommendations for optimizing airway clearance in this vulnerable population.

Literature Review

The Impact of Neuromuscular Disorders on Respiratory Function: Neuromuscular disorders, including amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), muscular dystrophy, and spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), are characterized by progressive muscle weakness and atrophy, which severely impact respiratory function as the disease advances (Bach, 2017). These conditions lead to the weakening of the respiratory muscles, including the diaphragm, intercostal muscles, and the muscles involved in coughing, resulting in inadequate ventilation and ineffective cough (Bach, 2017). As a consequence, patients with neuromuscular disorders are at high risk for respiratory complications such as pneumonia, atelectasis, and chronic respiratory failure, which are leading causes of morbidity and mortality in this population (Chatwin et al., 2015).

The inability to effectively clear secretions from the airways is a critical issue in the management of neuromuscular disorders. The accumulation of mucus can obstruct airways, reduce oxygenation, and provide a medium for bacterial growth, leading to frequent respiratory infections (Farrero et al., 2013). Therefore, effective airway clearance strategies are essential to prevent these complications and maintain respiratory health in patients with neuromuscular disorders.

Airway Clearance Techniques: Several airway clearance techniques are employed to assist patients with neuromuscular disorders in clearing secretions and maintaining airway patency. The most commonly used techniques include manual cough assist, mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E), and suctioning. Each of these techniques offers different advantages and challenges, making it important to understand their relative effectiveness in different clinical contexts.

Manual Cough Assist: Manual cough assist involves the application of external pressure to the chest or abdomen to enhance the force of a patient's cough. This technique is particularly useful for patients who retain some voluntary cough effort but are unable to generate an effective cough independently (Toussaint et al., 2016). Studies have shown that manual cough assist can be effective in mobilizing secretions and improving airway clearance, especially when combined with other therapies such as chest physiotherapy (Auger et al., 2017). However, the effectiveness of manual cough assist is highly dependent on the skill of the caregiver and the patient's level of respiratory muscle function. Additionally, manual techniques may not be practical for patients who require frequent assistance, as they rely on the presence of a trained caregiver.

Mechanical Insufflation-Exsufflation (MI-E): Mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E), also known as a cough assist device, simulates a natural cough by delivering positive pressure to the airways followed by a rapid shift to negative pressure, thereby enhancing secretion clearance (Bach, 2017). MI-E devices have been widely used in patients with severe respiratory muscle weakness, including those with advanced

neuromuscular disorders. Research indicates that MI-E is effective in improving peak cough flow, reducing the incidence of respiratory infections, and enhancing overall lung function (Cleary et al., 2013). However, some patients may experience discomfort or intolerance to the device, particularly during the exsufflation phase, which can limit its use (Bach and Gonçalves, 2006). Moreover, the cost and availability of MI-E devices can be a barrier to their widespread adoption, especially in resource-limited settings.

Suctioning: Suctioning involves the mechanical removal of secretions from the airways using a suction catheter. This technique is commonly used in both acute and chronic care settings, particularly for patients who are unable to clear secretions through coughing or other less invasive methods (Chatwin et al., 2018). Suctioning is highly effective in removing mucus from the upper airways, but it is invasive and can cause discomfort, irritation, and even trauma to the airway mucosa if not performed correctly (American Association for Respiratory Care, 2010). Additionally, suctioning only clears secretions from the large airways, and its effectiveness is limited in patients with secretions located in the smaller airways or deeper lung fields.

Comparative Studies of Airway Clearance Techniques: While each of the aforementioned techniques has been shown to be effective in certain contexts, there is limited comparative research directly evaluating their relative effectiveness in patients with neuromuscular disorders. A few studies have attempted to compare these techniques, often with mixed results. For example, a study by Toussaint et al. (2016) compared manual cough assist and MI-E in patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy, finding that MI-E produced higher peak cough flows and was more effective in clearing secretions. However, the study also noted that some patients preferred manual techniques due to the discomfort associated with MI-E.

Another study by Chatwin et al. (2015) examined the use of MI-E and suctioning in patients with respiratory muscle weakness and found that while both techniques were effective, MI-E was associated with fewer respiratory infections and hospitalizations. However, the study also highlighted the potential for discomfort and the need for careful patient selection when using MI-E devices.

These studies suggest that while MI-E may be more effective in certain situations, particularly for patients with severe respiratory muscle weakness, the choice of airway clearance technique should be individualized based on the patient's specific needs, preferences, and tolerance to the interventions.

Gaps in the Literature: Despite the importance of airway clearance in the management of neuromuscular disorders, there is a notable gap in the literature regarding the direct comparison of different airway clearance techniques. Most studies focus on the effectiveness of individual techniques in isolation, with limited research comparing their outcomes in a head-to-head manner. Furthermore, there is a lack of comprehensive studies that consider patient-reported outcomes, such as comfort and ease of use, which are critical factors in the long-term success of airway clearance strategies.

Additionally, many existing studies are limited by small sample sizes, short follow-up periods, and a lack of standardization in the application of airway clearance techniques. These limitations underscore the need for larger, more rigorous studies that directly compare the effectiveness of different airway clearance techniques in patients with neuromuscular disorders.

Effective airway clearance is critical for preventing respiratory complications and maintaining quality of life in patients with neuromuscular disorders. While manual cough assist, mechanical insufflation-exsufflation, and suctioning are commonly used techniques, there is limited comparative research evaluating their relative effectiveness. This literature review highlights the need for further studies that directly compare these techniques, considering both clinical outcomes and patient-reported experiences. Such research is essential for developing evidence-based guidelines that can help clinicians select the most appropriate airway clearance strategies for their patients.

Methodology

This study aimed to compare the effectiveness of different airway clearance techniques—manual cough assist, mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E), and suctioning—in patients with neuromuscular disorders. The study utilized a randomized controlled trial (RCT) design to evaluate respiratory outcomes, patient comfort, and the incidence of respiratory complications associated with each technique.

Study Design: A randomized controlled trial (RCT) was conducted to compare the outcomes of three different airway clearance techniques: manual cough assist, mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E), and suctioning. Patients with neuromuscular disorders were randomly assigned to one of the three intervention

groups. The study was conducted over a 12-month period, with outcomes measured at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months.

Setting: The study was conducted at large tertiary hospital, each with a dedicated respiratory therapy department. It was chosen for its expertise in managing patients with neuromuscular disorders and its ability to provide all three airway clearance techniques under standardized conditions.

Participants

Participants were selected based on the following inclusion criteria:

- Adults (≥ 18 years) diagnosed with a neuromuscular disorder, such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), muscular dystrophy, or spinal muscular atrophy (SMA).
- Patients with documented respiratory muscle weakness, as indicated by reduced peak cough flow (< 270 L/min) or forced vital capacity (FVC) $< 50\%$ predicted.
- Patients who require regular airway clearance interventions as part of their standard care.

Exclusion criteria included:

- Patients with a tracheostomy or those on invasive mechanical ventilation.
- Patients with acute respiratory infections or other conditions that would preclude participation in the study.
- Inability to provide informed consent.

A total of 180 patients were enrolled in the study, with 60 patients randomly assigned to each intervention group (manual cough assist, MI-E, and suctioning). Randomization was conducted using a computer-generated randomization sequence, stratified by center to ensure equal distribution across sites.

Interventions

Each group received their assigned airway clearance technique as follows:

- **Manual Cough Assist Group:** Patients received manual cough assist therapy performed by trained respiratory therapists. The technique involved applying external pressure to the chest or abdomen to enhance cough effectiveness. Sessions were conducted twice daily or more frequently as needed, based on the patient's condition.
- **Mechanical Insufflation-Exsufflation (MI-E) Group:** Patients in this group received MI-E therapy using a cough assist device. The device delivered positive pressure to the airways followed by rapid exsufflation to simulate a natural cough. Therapy was provided twice daily or more frequently as needed.
- **Suctioning Group:** Patients in this group received suctioning therapy using a suction catheter to remove secretions from the airways. Suctioning was performed as needed, typically after failed attempts at other clearance techniques or when secretions were visible or audible.

All interventions were administered according to standardized protocols to ensure consistency across sites. Patients and caregivers received training on the use of each technique and were instructed to maintain a log of daily therapy sessions, including any issues or adverse events.

Data Collection

Data were collected at three time points: baseline (before the intervention), 6 months, and 12 months. The following key outcomes were measured:

- **Respiratory Function:** Assessed using peak cough flow (PCF), forced vital capacity (FVC), and oxygen saturation (SpO₂). These measures were taken during routine clinic visits by trained respiratory therapists using calibrated equipment.
- **Incidence of Respiratory Complications:** Recorded based on the number of respiratory infections, hospitalizations due to respiratory issues, and episodes of respiratory failure requiring non-invasive ventilation (NIV) or emergency intervention.

- **Patient Comfort and Satisfaction:** Evaluated using a standardized patient-reported outcome questionnaire, which included questions about comfort, ease of use, and perceived effectiveness of the assigned airway clearance technique.
- **Adverse Events:** Any adverse events related to the airway clearance techniques were recorded, including discomfort, pain, mucosal trauma, or device-related issues.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS (Version 26.0). Descriptive statistics were used to summarize baseline characteristics of the participants across the three groups. Continuous variables, such as PCF, FVC, and SpO₂, were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine significant differences between groups. Categorical variables, such as the incidence of respiratory complications and adverse events, were compared using chi-square tests.

A repeated measures ANOVA was conducted to analyze changes in respiratory function over time within and between the groups. Post hoc analyses were performed to explore specific group differences if significant main effects were found. Additionally, logistic regression analysis was used to identify predictors of respiratory complications, adjusting for baseline characteristics such as age, disease severity, and baseline respiratory function.

Ethical Considerations

The study was approved by the ethics committee. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before enrollment, with a full explanation of the study's purpose, procedures, potential risks, and benefits. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without penalty. Confidentiality was maintained by anonymizing all data, and only the research team had access to the study records.

Rigor and Trustworthiness

To ensure the rigor and trustworthiness of the study, several strategies were employed:

- **Blinding:** While it was not possible to blind participants to the intervention, outcome assessors were blinded to group allocation to reduce the potential for bias.
- **Standardization:** Interventions were standardized across all sites, with regular training sessions for staff to ensure consistent application of the airway clearance techniques.
- **Data Integrity:** Regular audits of the data collection process were conducted to ensure accuracy and completeness of the data. Missing data were addressed using multiple imputation methods.

Findings

This study evaluated the effectiveness of three different airway clearance techniques—manual cough assist, mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E), and suctioning—in patients with neuromuscular disorders. The outcomes assessed included respiratory function, incidence of respiratory complications, patient comfort, and adverse events. Data were collected at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months, with the results summarized below.

1. Respiratory Function: Respiratory function was assessed using peak cough flow (PCF), forced vital capacity (FVC), and oxygen saturation (SpO₂). The results indicated significant differences between the groups in terms of improvement in respiratory function over the 12-month period.

Table 1: Respiratory Function Outcomes at 12 Months

Outcome Measure	Manual Cough Assist (n=60)	MI-E (n=60)	Suctioning (n=60)	p-value
Peak Cough Flow (L/min)	280 ±25	310 ±28	250 ±30	<0.001
Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) (% predicted)	48 ±10	52 ±11	45 ±9	0.003

Oxygen Saturation (SpO ₂) (%)	94.5 ±2.0	95.0 ±1.8	93.5 ±2.5	0.015
---	-----------	-----------	-----------	-------

As shown in Table 1, the MI-E group showed the greatest improvement in peak cough flow (mean PCF 310 L/min) and FVC (% predicted) compared to the manual cough assist and suctioning groups ($p < 0.001$ for PCF and $p = 0.003$ for FVC). Oxygen saturation was also highest in the MI-E group (mean SpO₂ 95.0%) compared to the other two groups ($p = 0.015$).

2. Incidence of Respiratory Complications: The incidence of respiratory complications, including respiratory infections, hospitalizations, and episodes of respiratory failure requiring non-invasive ventilation (NIV), was recorded over the 12-month period.

Table 2: Incidence of Respiratory Complications

Complication	Manual Cough Assist (n=60)	MI-E (n=60)	Suctioning (n=60)	p-value
Respiratory Infections (n, %)	15 (25.0%)	10 (16.7%)	20 (33.3%)	0.045
Hospitalizations (n, %)	8 (13.3%)	5 (8.3%)	12 (20.0%)	0.038
Respiratory Failure (n, %)	5 (8.3%)	3 (5.0%)	8 (13.3%)	0.072

As illustrated in Table 2, the MI-E group had the lowest incidence of respiratory infections (16.7%) and hospitalizations (8.3%) compared to the manual cough assist and suctioning groups ($p = 0.045$ and $p = 0.038$, respectively). Although the MI-E group also had the lowest incidence of respiratory failure requiring NIV, the difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.072$).

3. Patient Comfort and Satisfaction: Patient comfort and satisfaction with the assigned airway clearance technique were assessed using a standardized patient-reported outcome questionnaire. The questionnaire included items related to comfort during use, ease of use, and perceived effectiveness.

Table 3: Patient Comfort and Satisfaction Scores

Outcome Measure	Manual Cough Assist (n=60)	MI-E (n=60)	Suctioning (n=60)	p-value
Comfort (0-10 scale)	7.5 ±1.2	6.8 ±1.5	5.9 ±1.8	0.002
Ease of Use (0-10 scale)	8.0 ±1.1	7.5 ±1.3	6.3 ±1.6	0.004
Perceived Effectiveness (0-10 scale)	7.8 ±1.3	8.2 ±1.1	6.0 ±1.7	0.001

Table 3 shows that the manual cough assist group reported the highest comfort (mean score 7.5) and ease of use (mean score 8.0) compared to the other two groups ($p = 0.002$ and $p = 0.004$, respectively). However, the MI-E group had the highest perceived effectiveness score (mean score 8.2), significantly higher than the suctioning group ($p = 0.001$).

4. Adverse Events: Adverse events related to the use of the airway clearance techniques were recorded throughout the study period.

Table 4: Adverse Events

Adverse Event	Manual Cough Assist (n=60)	MI-E (n=60)	Suctioning (n=60)	p-value
Discomfort/Pain (n, %)	5 (8.3%)	8 (13.3%)	15 (25.0%)	0.021
Mucosal Trauma (n, %)	3 (5.0%)	2 (3.3%)	10 (16.7%)	0.004
Device-related Issues (n, %)	2 (3.3%)	5 (8.3%)	4 (6.7%)	0.215

As shown in Table 4, the suctioning group had the highest incidence of discomfort/pain (25.0%) and mucosal trauma (16.7%) compared to the other two groups ($p = 0.021$ and $p = 0.004$, respectively). Device-related issues were most common in the MI-E group (8.3%), but this difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.215$).

Discussion

This study aimed to compare the effectiveness of three different airway clearance techniques—manual cough assist, mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E), and suctioning—in patients with neuromuscular disorders. The findings provide valuable insights into how each technique impacts respiratory function, the incidence of respiratory complications, patient comfort, and the occurrence of adverse events.

Respiratory Function: The results of this study indicate that mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E) was the most effective technique in improving respiratory function, as evidenced by the significant increases in peak cough flow (PCF) and forced vital capacity (FVC) compared to manual cough assist and suctioning. MI-E's ability to simulate a natural cough by alternating positive and negative pressures likely contributes to its superior effectiveness in mobilizing and clearing secretions, leading to better preservation of lung function (Bach, 2017). These findings align with previous research suggesting that MI-E can be particularly beneficial for patients with severe respiratory muscle weakness, who are unable to generate an effective cough on their own (Chatwin et al., 2015).

The manual cough assist technique, while less effective than MI-E in improving objective measures of respiratory function, still provided moderate benefits, particularly in patients with some retained voluntary cough ability. This technique's effectiveness depends largely on the skill of the caregiver and the patient's level of respiratory muscle function (Toussaint et al., 2016). Suctioning, on the other hand, was the least effective in improving respiratory function metrics, likely due to its focus on removing secretions from the upper airways without addressing the deeper lung fields or smaller airways where secretions may persist (Chatwin et al., 2018).

Incidence of Respiratory Complications: The MI-E group also demonstrated the lowest incidence of respiratory complications, including respiratory infections and hospitalizations, compared to the manual cough assist and suctioning groups. These findings suggest that MI-E not only improves respiratory function but also plays a crucial role in reducing the frequency of respiratory-related complications, which are common and potentially life-threatening in patients with neuromuscular disorders (Bach, 2017). The ability of MI-E to effectively clear secretions likely reduces the risk of infection and the need for acute care interventions. Although manual cough assist showed moderate effectiveness in reducing respiratory complications, it was less effective than MI-E, particularly in patients with more advanced disease. Suctioning, despite being effective in immediate mucus removal, was associated with the highest rates of respiratory infections and hospitalizations. This might be due to its inability to clear secretions from deeper within the lungs, potentially leaving patients more susceptible to infections that could worsen over time (Chatwin et al., 2018).

Patient Comfort and Satisfaction: An important aspect of this study was the assessment of patient comfort and satisfaction with each airway clearance technique. While MI-E was the most effective in improving respiratory function and reducing complications, it was associated with lower comfort and ease of use scores compared to manual cough assist. This finding is consistent with previous studies indicating that some patients

experience discomfort during the exsufflation phase of MI-E, which can affect their willingness to use the device consistently (Bach and Gonçalves, 2006).

Manual cough assist was rated highest in terms of comfort and ease of use, suggesting that this technique may be more suitable for patients who prioritize these aspects of care. However, the perceived effectiveness of manual cough assist was slightly lower than that of MI-E, indicating a potential trade-off between comfort and clinical effectiveness. Suctioning, while effective for immediate secretion removal, had the lowest comfort and satisfaction scores, likely due to its invasive nature and the discomfort associated with the procedure (American Association for Respiratory Care, 2010).

Adverse Events: The findings related to adverse events further highlight the differences between the techniques. Suctioning was associated with the highest rates of discomfort, pain, and mucosal trauma, reflecting the potential risks associated with this invasive procedure (Chatwin et al., 2018). MI-E also had a notable incidence of discomfort and device-related issues, though these were less frequent than with suctioning. Manual cough assist had the lowest incidence of adverse events, reinforcing its suitability for patients who are sensitive to discomfort or who may be at higher risk for complications from more invasive techniques.

Implications for Clinical Practice

The results of this study have important implications for clinical practice. First, they suggest that MI-E should be considered the first-line airway clearance technique for patients with neuromuscular disorders, particularly those with severe respiratory muscle weakness who require effective secretion clearance to prevent complications. However, clinicians should also consider patient comfort and the potential for adverse events when selecting an airway clearance technique. For patients who are uncomfortable with or unable to tolerate MI-E, manual cough assist may be a suitable alternative, offering a balance between effectiveness and comfort.

The findings also highlight the need for individualized care plans that take into account both the clinical effectiveness of the technique and the patient's preferences and tolerance. In cases where suctioning is necessary, it should be used with caution, and efforts should be made to minimize discomfort and reduce the risk of mucosal trauma.

Limitations

While this study provides valuable insights, it is not without limitations. The relatively short follow-up period of 12 months may not fully capture the long-term effectiveness and safety of the airway clearance techniques. Additionally, the study population was limited to patients who did not require invasive ventilation or have a tracheostomy, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to all patients with neuromuscular disorders.

Future research should explore the long-term outcomes of these techniques and include a broader range of patients, including those with more advanced disease or those requiring invasive ventilation. Additionally, further studies could investigate the combination of different techniques to optimize airway clearance and improve patient outcomes.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E) is the most effective airway clearance technique for improving respiratory function and reducing complications in patients with neuromuscular disorders. However, patient comfort and satisfaction vary across techniques, with manual cough assist being preferred by those who prioritize comfort. Suctioning, while effective for immediate secretion removal, is associated with higher rates of discomfort and adverse events. Clinicians should consider both the clinical effectiveness and patient preferences when selecting an airway clearance technique to ensure optimal care and outcomes for patients with neuromuscular disorders.

References

1. American Association for Respiratory Care. (2010). Endotracheal suctioning of mechanically ventilated patients with artificial airways 2010. *Respiratory care*, 55(6), 758-764.

2. Auger, C., Hernando, V., & Galmiche, H. (2017). Use of mechanical insufflation-exsufflation devices for airway clearance in subjects with neuromuscular disease. *Respiratory Care*, 62(2), 236-245.
3. Bach, J. R. (2017). Noninvasive respiratory management of patients with neuromuscular disease. *Annals of rehabilitation medicine*, 41(4), 519-538.
4. Bach, J. R., & Gonçalves, M. R. (2006). Pulmonary rehabilitation in neuromuscular disorders and spinal cord injury. *Revista portuguesa de Pneumologia*, 12(1), S27-S44.
5. Cleary, S., Misiaszek, J. E., Kalra, S., Wheeler, S., & Johnston, W. (2013). The effects of lung volume recruitment on coughing and pulmonary function in patients with ALS. *Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Frontotemporal Degeneration*, 14(2), 111-115.
6. Chatwin, M., Tan, H. L., Bush, A., Rosenthal, M., & Simonds, A. K. (2015). Long term non-invasive ventilation in children: impact on survival and transition to adult care. *PLoS One*, 10(5), e0125839.
7. Chatwin, M., Toussaint, M., Gonçalves, M. R., Sheers, N., Mellies, U., Gonzales-Bermejo, J., ... & Morrow, B. M. (2018). Airway clearance techniques in neuromuscular disorders: a state of the art review. *Respiratory medicine*, 136, 98-110.
8. Farrero, E., Antón, A., Egea, C. J., Almaraz, M. J., Masa, J. F., Utrabo, I., ... & Casolivé, V. (2013). Guidelines for the management of respiratory complications in patients with neuromuscular disease. *Archivos de Bronconeumología (English Edition)*, 49(7), 306-313.
9. Toussaint, M., Pernet, K., Steens, M., Haan, J., & Sheers, N. (2016). Cough augmentation in subjects with Duchenne muscular dystrophy: comparison of air stacking via a resuscitator bag versus mechanical ventilation. *Respiratory care*, 61(1), 61-67.