The Psychological Impact of Imaging Results on Patients: Exploring Anxiety and Coping Mechanisms

Faisal E. Aljwuaied[1], Noura I. Alrediny[2], Nourah M. Alorainy[3]

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Abstract

Background: Medical imaging procedures are essential for accurate diagnosis and treatment planning but can evoke significant anxiety among patients. This study explores the psychological impact of imaging procedures, focusing on anxiety levels, contributing factors, and coping mechanisms in a tertiary hospital setting.

Methods: A mixed-methods study was conducted with 200 patients undergoing MRI, CT, or X-ray. Quantitative data were collected using the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) before and after imaging procedures. Qualitative data were obtained through semi-structured interviews with 30 high-anxiety patients, analyzed using thematic analysis.

Results: Quantitative findings revealed a significant reduction in anxiety post-procedure (Mean change = -6.6 ±4.3, $p < 0.001$). MRI patients reported the highest pre-procedure anxiety. Key contributors to anxiety included claustrophobia, fear of diagnosis, and inadequate information. Qualitative themes highlighted the importance of family support, staff reassurance, and personal coping strategies such as visualization.

Conclusion: Imaging-related anxiety is influenced by procedural, emotional, and informational factors. Interventions such as pre-procedure counseling, environmental modifications, and tailored coping strategies can enhance patient experiences and outcomes.

Keywords: Medical Imaging, Anxiety, MRI, Patient Psychology, Coping Mechanisms, Tertiary Hospital.

Introduction

Medical imaging is an indispensable tool in modern diagnostics, providing essential information for the detection and management of various health conditions. However, the process of undergoing imaging procedures and receiving results can profoundly impact patients' psychological well-being. Research indicates that a significant proportion of patients experience anxiety during imaging procedures such as Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), with rates of severe anxiety reaching up to 30% in some cases (Munn & Jordan, 2011). Factors contributing to this distress include claustrophobia, fear of the diagnosis, and the stress associated with the medical environment (Itri,2015).

The term "scanxiety" has been coined to describe the anticipatory anxiety patients feel before, during, and after imaging scans, particularly among individuals undergoing cancer screening or follow-ups (Bauml et al., 2016). This anxiety can have far-reaching consequences, such as avoidance of necessary follow-up imaging, delayed diagnoses, and reduced adherence to treatment recommendations (Kumar et al., 2016). For

example, women who receive false-positive mammogram results are less likely to return for subsequent screenings, highlighting the behavioral implications of imaging-related anxiety (Brett et al., 2005).

To mitigate these effects, it is essential to understand the psychological impact of imaging results and develop effective interventions. Strategies such as pre-procedure counseling, relaxation techniques, and the use of virtual reality for anxiety reduction have shown promise in improving patient experiences (Carlson et al., 2004). By addressing the psychological challenges associated with medical imaging, healthcare providers can enhance patient care and optimize outcomes.

Literature Review

Medical imaging is a crucial component of modern healthcare, aiding in accurate diagnosis and treatment planning. However, imaging procedures often elicit significant psychological responses, with anxiety being a predominant concern. This phenomenon, commonly referred to as "scanxiety," encapsulates the apprehension experienced by patients before, during, and after undergoing imaging scans, particularly in diagnostic contexts for severe conditions like cancer (Bauml et al., 2016). Understanding the prevalence, underlying factors, and interventions to address imaging-related anxiety is essential for improving patient care.

Prevalence of Imaging-Related Anxiety

Anxiety during medical imaging procedures is a widespread issue. For example, research indicates that approximately 30% of patients undergoing MRI scans report moderate to severe anxiety (Munn & Jordan, 2011). This anxiety can manifest through physiological stress responses, including elevated heart rate and cortisol levels, indicative of acute stress (Itri, 2015). Additionally, up to 2.3% of patients are unable to complete MRI procedures due to claustrophobia or panic, which highlights the significant impact of anxiety on diagnostic accuracy (Dewey et al., 2007).

Contributing Factors

Several factors contribute to imaging-related anxiety:

1. Claustrophobia: The confined space of MRI machines is a primary trigger for claustrophobic reactions in patients, leading to heightened distress (Harris & Robinson, 1999).
2. Fear of Diagnosis: Anticipation of potentially serious or life-threatening diagnoses further exacerbates anxiety levels (Kumar et al., 2016).
3. Previous Negative Experiences: Patients with prior adverse imaging experiences are more likely to develop anticipatory anxiety during subsequent procedures (McIsaac et al., 1998).
4. Lack of Information: Insufficient knowledge about the procedure or unclear communication from healthcare providers significantly increases patient apprehension (Munn & Jordan, 2011).

Impact on Patient Outcomes

The psychological impact of imaging-related anxiety is not confined to the procedure itself but can affect overall patient outcomes. For instance, anxious patients may exhibit involuntary movements during scans, leading to motion artifacts that compromise image quality (Itri, 2015). Moreover, imaging-related anxiety has been linked to avoidance behaviors, such as missed follow-up appointments, delaying critical diagnoses, and impacting adherence to treatment protocols (Brett et al., 2005).

Interventions to Mitigate Anxiety

Numerous strategies have been proposed to alleviate anxiety associated with imaging procedures:

1. Patient Education: Providing patients with detailed and clear information about the imaging process has been shown to reduce uncertainty and alleviate anxiety (Kumar et al., 2016).
2. Coping Mechanisms: Interventions such as guided relaxation, deep breathing exercises, and distraction techniques have demonstrated efficacy in mitigating anxiety during imaging (Carlson et al., 2004).
3. Environmental Modifications: Adjustments to the imaging environment, such as playing calming music or allowing the presence of a companion, can create a more patient-friendly atmosphere (Dewey et al., 2007).
4. Technological Innovations: The use of virtual reality and mindfulness-based interventions has shown promise in reducing anxiety, particularly for patients prone to claustrophobia (Bauml et al., 2016).
5. Pharmacological Interventions: In severe cases, anxiolytics may be administered to manage acute anxiety effectively (McIsaac et al., 1998).

Conclusion

Imaging-related anxiety is a prevalent and impactful issue that requires targeted strategies to improve patient experiences and outcomes. Addressing the psychological challenges associated with medical imaging through education, environmental adjustments, and innovative interventions can significantly enhance the diagnostic process and overall patient care.

Methodology

This study was conducted in a tertiary hospital to investigate the psychological impact of imaging results on patients, focusing on anxiety levels, contributing factors, and coping mechanisms. A mixed-methods approach combining quantitative and qualitative data collection was employed to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon.

Study Design

A cross-sectional study design was utilized to collect data from patients undergoing medical imaging procedures. The study was conducted over six months in the radiology department of the hospital.

Study Population and Sampling

The study included adult patients (aged 18 and above) scheduled for diagnostic imaging procedures, including MRI, CT, and X-rays. Exclusion criteria included patients with a history of severe psychiatric disorders or those unable to provide informed consent. A total of 200 patients were recruited using convenience sampling.

Data Collection Procedures

1. Quantitative Data Collection

- Survey Instrument: A validated questionnaire, the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), was used to assess anxiety levels before and after imaging procedures. The questionnaire was translated into the local language and pilot-tested for clarity.
- Data Collection: Patients completed the STAI questionnaire in a quiet waiting area before the imaging procedure (baseline anxiety) and immediately after receiving the imaging results (post-procedure anxiety).
- Additional Variables: Demographic and clinical data, including age, gender, type of imaging, and prior imaging experiences, were collected through a structured data collection form.

2. Qualitative Data Collection
- Semi-Structured Interviews: A subset of 30 patients with high anxiety scores (based on the STAI) were invited to participate in semi-structured interviews. These interviews explored their perceptions, fears, and coping mechanisms related to imaging procedures.
- Interview Process: Interviews were conducted in a private consultation room, lasted 30–45 minutes each, and were audio-recorded with participant consent.
- Thematic Analysis: Interview recordings were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using Braun and Clarke's six-step framework for thematic analysis.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before data collection. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained by assigning unique identification numbers to participants and securely storing all data.

Data Analysis

Quantitative Analysis
- STAI scores were analyzed using SPSS version 25. Paired t-tests were conducted to compare pre- and post-procedure anxiety levels. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic and clinical variables.
- Regression analysis was performed to identify factors significantly associated with imaging-related anxiety, such as type of imaging procedure, age, and prior experiences.

Qualitative Analysis
- Transcripts from the interviews were coded and categorized into themes and sub-themes. Two researchers independently coded the data to enhance reliability, and any discrepancies were resolved through discussion.

Study Limitations

The study used convenience sampling, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, only a subset of patients participated in qualitative interviews, which may not capture the full diversity of patient experiences.

Findings

Quantitative Findings

The quantitative analysis revealed significant differences in anxiety levels before and after the imaging procedure. The following table summarizes the key findings:

Table 1: Quantitative Findings Summary

Variable	Value
Pre-Procedure Anxiety (Mean ± SD)	45.8 ± 8.2
Post-Procedure Anxiety (Mean ± SD)	39.2 ± 7.5
Change in Anxiety (Mean ± SD)	-6.6 ± 4.3
Age (Years)	42.5 ± 15.3

Variable	Value
Gender (Male/Female)	85/115
Type of Imaging (MRI/CT/X-ray)	70/90/40
Prior Imaging Experience (%)	63%

Statistical Analysis
- A paired t-test revealed a statistically significant reduction in anxiety levels after the imaging procedure ($p < 0.001$).
- Regression analysis showed that patients undergoing MRI reported higher baseline anxiety levels compared to CT and X-ray patients ($p = 0.03$).

Qualitative Findings
The thematic analysis of 30 patient interviews resulted in the identification of three main themes and associated sub-themes. Direct participant quotes are included to illustrate the findings.

Theme 1: Fear and Uncertainty
- Sub-theme 1.1: Fear of Diagnosis
  - "I kept thinking, what if they find something serious? That fear stayed with me the entire time." (Participant 5)
- Sub-theme 1.2: Lack of Understanding of the Procedure
  - "No one explained what the test was for. I was scared because I didn't know what to expect." (Participant 12)

Theme 2: Physical and Emotional Discomfort
- Sub-theme 2.1: Claustrophobia
  - "The MRI felt like being trapped in a tunnel. I wanted to scream and get out." (Participant 8)
- Sub-theme 2.2: Stress from the Environment
  - "The loud noises during the scan were unbearable. It made me more anxious." (Participant 14)

Theme 3: Coping Mechanisms
- Sub-theme 3.1: Support from Family and Staff
  - "My spouse being there helped a lot. The technician was also very kind and reassuring." (Participant 21)
- Sub-theme 3.2: Personal Strategies
  - "I closed my eyes and tried to think of a calm place. That helped me stay still." (Participant 30)

Discussion

This study aimed to explore the psychological impact of imaging procedures on patients in a tertiary hospital, focusing on anxiety levels, contributing factors, and coping mechanisms. The findings reveal significant reductions in anxiety following the completion of imaging procedures, as well as key insights into the sources of patient distress and their strategies for managing it.

Reduction in Anxiety Levels

The quantitative analysis demonstrated a statistically significant decrease in anxiety levels post-procedure ($p < 0.001$). This reduction may reflect relief following the completion of the procedure or reassurance after receiving imaging results. Similar studies have reported comparable findings, where patients experienced

heightened anxiety prior to imaging due to uncertainty but reported relief once the procedure concluded (Munn & Jordan, 2011).

Factors Contributing to Anxiety

The study identified several factors contributing to imaging-related anxiety. Patients undergoing MRI reported higher anxiety levels compared to those undergoing CT or X-ray, likely due to the enclosed nature of MRI scanners, which often triggers claustrophobia (Harris & Robinson, 1999). Fear of diagnosis also emerged as a significant contributor, consistent with previous research that highlights the psychological burden of awaiting results for potentially serious conditions (Bauml et al., 2016). Additionally, inadequate communication about the procedure amplified patient distress, underscoring the need for clear and empathetic explanations from healthcare providers.

Coping Mechanisms

The qualitative findings revealed that patients employed various coping mechanisms to manage their anxiety. Support from family members and healthcare staff was a recurring theme, with participants emphasizing the calming influence of a supportive environment. Personal strategies, such as visualization and deep breathing, also played a role in anxiety reduction. These findings align with existing literature that highlights the efficacy of patient-centered interventions, such as guided relaxation and mindfulness techniques, in alleviating imaging-related anxiety (Carlson et al., 2004).

Clinical Implications

The results underscore the importance of addressing both the psychological and environmental factors contributing to imaging-related anxiety. Interventions such as pre-procedure counseling, environmental modifications (e.g., playing calming music), and offering sedation options for highly anxious patients can enhance the imaging experience. Furthermore, training radiology staff to communicate empathetically and effectively about the imaging process can help mitigate patient fears and uncertainty.

Limitations

While the study provides valuable insights, it is not without limitations. The use of convenience sampling may limit the generalizability of the findings to other healthcare settings. Additionally, anxiety levels were measured immediately before and after the imaging procedure, which may not capture the longer-term psychological effects. Future studies should explore the sustained impact of imaging results and include a more diverse patient population to improve the external validity of the findings.

Future Directions

Further research is warranted to evaluate the effectiveness of targeted interventions, such as virtual reality and mindfulness-based therapies, in reducing imaging-related anxiety. Additionally, exploring the role of patient education programs in alleviating fears and improving procedural adherence could provide actionable insights for clinical practice.

Conclusion

This study highlights the multifaceted nature of imaging-related anxiety, emphasizing the interplay of emotional, environmental, and procedural factors. By integrating psychological support into radiological practices, healthcare providers can significantly enhance patient experiences and outcomes. Addressing these challenges requires a multidisciplinary approach, with contributions from radiologists, psychologists, and other healthcare professionals.

References

1. Brett, J., Bankhead, C., Henderson, B., Watson, E., &Austoker, J. (2005). The psychological impact of mammographic screening. A systematic review. *Psycho-Oncology: Journal of the Psychological, Social and Behavioral Dimensions of Cancer*, *14*(11), 917-938.

2. Carlson, L. E., Speca, M., Patel, K. D., &Goodey, E. (2004). Mindfulness-based stress reduction in relation to quality of life, mood, symptoms of stress and levels of cortisol, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS) and melatonin in breast and prostate cancer outpatients. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *29*(4), 448-474.

3. Itri, J. N. (2015). Patient-centered radiology. *Radiographics*, *35*(6), 1835-1846.

4. Dewey, M., Schink, T., & Dewey, C. F. (2007). Claustrophobia during magnetic resonance imaging: cohort study in over 55,000 patients. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging: An Official Journal of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *26*(5), 1322-1327.

5. Harris, L. M., Robinson, J., & Menzies, R. G. (1999). Evidence for fear of restriction and fear of suffocation as components of claustrophobia. *Behaviour research and therapy*, *37*(2), 155-159.

6. Kumar, K., Raza, K., Gill, P., & Greenfield, S. (2016). The impact of using musculoskeletal ultrasound imaging and other influencing factors on medication adherence in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a qualitative study. *Patient preference and adherence*, 1091-1100.

7. Bauml, J. M., Troxel, A., Epperson, C. N., Cohen, R. B., Schmitz, K., Stricker, C., ... & Langer, C. J. (2016). Scan-associated distress in lung cancer: quantifying the impact of "scanxiety". *Lung Cancer*, *100*, 110-113.

8. McIsaac, H. K., Thordarson, D. S., Shafran, R., Rachman, S., & Poole, G. (1998). Claustrophobia and the magnetic resonance imaging procedure. *Journal of behavioral medicine*, *21*, 255-268.

9. Munn, Z., & Jordan, Z. (2011). The patient experience of high technology medical imaging: a systematic review of the qualitative evidence. *JBI Evidence Synthesis*, *9*(19), 631-678.