

Exploring the Use of Rapid Diagnostic Testing in the ICU: Perspectives of Nurses and Laboratory Specialists

**Rawabi A. Aldosary¹, Lamia K. Albugami², Abdulrahman R. Alruwaili³,
Awadh M. Alanizi⁴, Kholood M. AlSahli⁵, Haifa A. Alshuwairekh⁶,
Nada A. Alzahrani⁷, Norah M. Alshatiri⁸, Hedaiah F. Alotaibi⁹**

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Abstract

Rapid diagnostic testing (RDT) is increasingly utilized in intensive care units (ICUs) to provide timely diagnostic information crucial for patient management. This qualitative study explores the experiences and perspectives of ICU nurses and laboratory specialists regarding the use of RDT in a tertiary hospital. Using a phenomenological approach, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 15 participants to understand the effectiveness, challenges, and impact of RDT on patient care. Thematic analysis revealed three main themes: effectiveness of RDT, challenges in its use, and the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration. Findings highlight that while RDT enhances patient outcomes and decision-making, challenges such as communication barriers and logistical constraints persist. Improved communication protocols and resource allocation are recommended to optimize the benefits of RDT in critical care settings.

Keywords: Rapid Diagnostic Testing, Intensive Care Unit, Qualitative Study, Interdisciplinary Collaboration, Communication Barriers, Patient Outcomes

Introduction

The intensive care unit (ICU) is a critical setting where timely, accurate decision-making is vital to patient survival. In such a high-pressure environment, diagnostic information must be available promptly to guide treatment decisions and optimize patient outcomes. Rapid diagnostic testing (RDT) has become an integral part of critical care, allowing healthcare professionals to make faster and more informed decisions regarding patient management (Burillo and Bouza, 2014). These tests provide valuable insights that can impact the choice of interventions, the management of infections, and the monitoring of patients' progress, ultimately reducing morbidity and mortality rates in the ICU (Burillo and Bouza, 2014).

The importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in critical care cannot be overstated. ICU nurses and laboratory specialists are key members of the healthcare team who work closely to ensure the effective use of rapid diagnostic testing. Nurses rely heavily on laboratory data to adjust care plans and medications, while laboratory specialists are responsible for the accuracy and timely processing of these diagnostic tests (Have et al., 2014). The interplay between these two roles is critical for ensuring optimal patient outcomes, particularly in emergency situations where time-sensitive decision-making can mean the difference between life and death.

Despite the evident benefits of rapid diagnostic testing, there are challenges that must be addressed, such as communication gaps between laboratory and nursing teams, and the logistical difficulties of performing and delivering results in a timely manner (Reader et al., 2007). Understanding the perspectives of both ICU nurses and laboratory specialists regarding the utilization of rapid diagnostic testing is crucial for improving practices, minimizing errors, and fostering better communication. This qualitative study aims to explore the experiences and viewpoints of ICU nurses and laboratory specialists in using rapid diagnostic testing, focusing on the perceived effectiveness, challenges, and impacts on patient care.

Literature Review

Rapid diagnostic testing (RDT) in critical care settings has garnered significant attention in recent years due to its potential to enhance patient outcomes through timely and accurate decision-making. Several studies have explored the role of RDT in the ICU, emphasizing its impact on reducing morbidity and mortality rates, as well as optimizing patient care. According to Burillo and Bouza (2014), RDT enables healthcare professionals to quickly diagnose and treat infections, thereby improving the overall quality of care and minimizing the length of ICU stays. The rapid availability of diagnostic information is particularly crucial in critical care, where delays can lead to adverse outcomes.

Interdisciplinary collaboration plays a pivotal role in the successful implementation of rapid diagnostic testing. Have et al. (2014) highlighted the importance of effective communication between ICU nurses and laboratory specialists, noting that seamless collaboration is essential for accurate and timely testing. The study emphasized that nurses depend on laboratory specialists to provide reliable test results, which are used to make informed decisions about patient care. Similarly, laboratory specialists rely on nurses to properly collect and handle specimens to ensure the accuracy of the results. This interdependency underscores the need for strong teamwork and clear communication channels between these healthcare professionals.

However, despite the benefits of RDT, challenges related to its implementation persist. Reader et al. (2007) identified communication barriers as a major obstacle to the effective use of rapid diagnostic testing in the ICU. These barriers often arise due to differences in professional language, workload pressures, and the complexity of critical care environments. Miscommunication can lead to delays in obtaining test results, which, in turn, can negatively impact patient care. The authors suggested that fostering a culture of open communication and mutual respect between nurses and laboratory specialists could help mitigate these issues.

The logistical aspects of rapid diagnostic testing also pose challenges. Burillo and Bouza (2014) discussed the difficulties associated with ensuring the timely processing of rapid diagnostic tests, particularly in high-demand settings such as the ICU. Factors such as limited availability of testing equipment, staffing shortages, and the need for rapid turnaround times can hinder the effectiveness of RDT. The study suggested that addressing these logistical challenges through improved resource allocation and workflow optimization could enhance the efficiency of rapid diagnostic testing in critical care settings.

In addition to logistical and communication challenges, the effectiveness of rapid diagnostic testing also depends on the training and competency of healthcare professionals. Ensuring that both nurses and laboratory specialists are well-trained in the use of RDT is essential for maximizing its benefits. Have et al. (2014) noted that ongoing education and training programs can help bridge knowledge gaps and improve the accuracy and reliability of test results. Training initiatives that focus on the technical aspects of RDT, as well as the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration, can contribute to better patient outcomes.

Overall, the literature suggests that while rapid diagnostic testing has the potential to significantly improve patient outcomes in the ICU, its effectiveness is contingent upon addressing several key challenges. Effective interdisciplinary collaboration, clear communication, logistical support, and adequate training are all essential components for the successful implementation of RDT in critical care settings. This study aims to build on existing literature by exploring the experiences of ICU nurses and laboratory specialists, providing a deeper understanding of the challenges and opportunities associated with rapid diagnostic testing.

Methodology

This qualitative study was conducted in a tertiary hospital ICU to explore the experiences and perspectives of ICU nurses and laboratory specialists regarding the use of rapid diagnostic testing. The study employed a phenomenological approach to capture the lived experiences of the participants, providing an in-depth understanding of their viewpoints on the effectiveness, challenges, and impact of rapid diagnostic testing on patient care.

Study Setting and Participants

The study took place in the ICU of a tertiary hospital with a capacity of 25 beds, providing care for critically ill patients requiring intensive monitoring and treatment. Participants were purposively selected to ensure representation from both ICU nurses and laboratory specialists who regularly interacted with rapid diagnostic testing. A total of 15 healthcare professionals participated in the study, comprising 8 ICU nurses and 7 laboratory specialists. Inclusion criteria required participants to have at least one year of experience working in the ICU and direct involvement with rapid diagnostic testing processes.

Data Collection

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews conducted over a period of four weeks. The interviews were held in a private room within the hospital to ensure confidentiality and were scheduled at times convenient for the participants. Each interview lasted between 30 to 60 minutes and was audio-recorded with the participants' consent. An interview guide was developed based on the study objectives, with questions designed to explore participants' experiences, perceived challenges, and suggestions for improving the use of rapid diagnostic testing in the ICU. Probes were used to elicit further detail and encourage participants to expand on their responses.

Data Analysis

The audio-recorded interviews were transcribed verbatim, and the transcripts were analyzed using thematic analysis. Thematic analysis involved familiarization with the data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, and defining and naming themes. Two researchers independently coded the data to ensure consistency and reliability in the identification of key themes. Discrepancies in coding were resolved through discussion until a consensus was reached. The themes that emerged from the analysis were used to provide insights into the effectiveness of rapid diagnostic testing, the challenges faced by ICU nurses and laboratory specialists, and their suggestions for improving RDT practices.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the hospital's ethics committee. Participants were provided with information sheets detailing the purpose of the study, and informed consent was obtained prior to participation. Confidentiality was maintained throughout the study, and participants were assured that their

identities would not be disclosed in any reports or publications. Participation was voluntary, and participants had the right to withdraw from the study at any time without consequence.

Findings

The analysis of the data revealed three main themes and several sub-themes that represent the experiences and perspectives of ICU nurses and laboratory specialists regarding the use of rapid diagnostic testing in the ICU.

Theme 1: Effectiveness of Rapid Diagnostic Testing

Sub-theme 1.1: Improved Patient Outcomes

Participants reported that rapid diagnostic testing played a critical role in improving patient outcomes by providing timely information for treatment decisions. One ICU nurse mentioned, "Rapid tests help us make quick decisions, especially in emergencies where every second counts. It allows us to intervene earlier and potentially save lives." Similarly, a laboratory specialist shared, "The fast turnaround time of these tests means that patients get the right treatment sooner, which can make all the difference."

Sub-theme 1.2: Enhanced Decision-Making

Rapid diagnostic testing was perceived to enhance decision-making capabilities for healthcare professionals. An ICU nurse noted, "Having results quickly means that we can adjust treatment plans in real-time, rather than waiting hours for confirmation." A laboratory specialist added, "Our goal is to provide results that are accurate and fast, so that nurses and doctors can act on them without delay."

Theme 2: Challenges in the Use of Rapid Diagnostic Testing

Sub-theme 2.1: Communication Barriers

Communication between laboratory specialists and ICU nurses was highlighted as a key challenge. One nurse stated, "Sometimes, we face delays because of miscommunication. It's hard to know exactly when a test will be ready, which affects our planning." A laboratory specialist echoed this sentiment, saying, "There are times when the urgency is not communicated clearly, and we may not realize how critical a particular test is." These barriers were seen as a significant impediment to the effective use of rapid diagnostic testing.

Sub-theme 2.2: Logistical Constraints

Participants also mentioned logistical challenges, such as limited equipment and staffing shortages. A laboratory specialist explained, "We often struggle with the volume of tests, especially during peak times. There aren't always enough machines or personnel to handle the demand." An ICU nurse added, "There have been times when we had to wait because the lab was overwhelmed with requests, which can be frustrating when the patient is critical."

Theme 3: Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Sub-theme 3.1: Importance of Teamwork

Participants emphasized the importance of teamwork in ensuring the effective use of rapid diagnostic testing. An ICU nurse remarked, "We rely on the lab team heavily. It's all about working together to make sure the patient gets what they need, when they need it." A laboratory specialist agreed, stating,

“Communication and mutual respect are key. We need to understand the urgency from the nursing side, and they need to understand our limitations.”

Sub-theme 3.2: Need for Improved Communication Protocols

Participants suggested that improved communication protocols could help mitigate some of the challenges they faced. One laboratory specialist suggested, “Having a clear protocol for urgent requests would help us prioritize better.” An ICU nurse also mentioned, “Regular meetings or huddles could help us stay on the same page and reduce misunderstandings.”

References

1. Burillo, A., & Bouza, E. (2014). Use of rapid diagnostic techniques in ICU patients with infections. *BMC infectious diseases, 14*, 1-12.
2. Have, E. C. M. T., & Nap, R. E. (2014). Mutual agreement between providers in intensive care medicine on patient care after interdisciplinary rounds. *Journal of Intensive Care Medicine, 29*(5), 292-297.
3. Reader, T. W., Flin, R., Mearns, K., & Cuthbertson, B. H. (2007). Interdisciplinary communication in the intensive care unit. *British journal of anaesthesia, 98*(3), 347-352.