# The Effect of Early Mobilization on Respiratory Function and Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation in ICU Patients

# Abdullah A. AlShehri<sup>1</sup>, Sami H. Alossaimi<sup>2</sup>, Mohammed A. Alodhayb<sup>3</sup>, Meshal A. Almaghrabi<sup>4</sup>

Respiratory Therapy- Occupational Therapy Health affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

#### Abstract

**Background:** Early mobilization (EM) in the ICU is proposed to improve respiratory function and expedite weaning from mechanical ventilation (MV). This study investigates the effects of EM on these outcomes in ICU patients.

**Methods:** A randomized controlled trial was conducted with 120 ICU patients, assigned to either the EM group (EMG) or the control group (CG). The EMG followed a structured EM protocol initiated within 48 hours of ICU admission, while the CG received standard care. Primary outcomes were respiratory function and weaning success. Secondary outcomes included ICU length of stay, duration of MV, and adverse events.

**Results:** EMG patients showed significant improvements in tidal volume (470  $\pm$ 50 mL vs. 400  $\pm$ 55 mL, p<0.001) and maximal inspiratory pressure (55  $\pm$ 10 cmH2O vs. 45  $\pm$ 12 cmH2O, p<0.01) compared to CG. The median time to successful weaning was shorter in the EMG (7 days) compared to CG (10 days) (p<0.05), with a higher weaning success rate (85% vs. 70%, p<0.05). EMG patients had reduced ICU stays (12 vs. 15 days, p<0.05) and shorter MV duration (8 vs. 11 days, p<0.05). Adverse events were similar between groups.

**Conclusion:** Early mobilization significantly enhances respiratory function, shortens weaning time, and reduces ICU length of stay in ICU patients. Implementing EM protocols in ICU settings can improve patient outcomes.

# Keywords: Early mobilization, ICU, respiratory function, weaning, mechanical ventilation, randomized controlled trial.

#### Introduction

Early mobilization (EM) in intensive care units (ICUs) has emerged as a pivotal intervention in enhancing patient outcomes, particularly for those undergoing mechanical ventilation (MV). Traditionally, ICU care involved prolonged bed rest due to the critical nature of patients 'conditions, which often led to significant muscle weakness, delayed recovery, and increased length of hospital stay. Recent evidence, however, underscores the importance of initiating physical activity early in the ICU stay to mitigate these adverse effects and promote faster recovery (Schweickert & Kress, 2011).

The respiratory system, being a primary focus in ICU care, is significantly affected by immobilization. Prolonged MV is associated with diaphragmatic atrophy and a decline in overall respiratory muscle strength, complicating the weaning process (Levine et al., 2008). Weaning, defined as the gradual reduction of ventilator support, is a critical step toward patient recovery and discharge. Successful weaning is influenced by various factors, including the patient's respiratory muscle strength, overall physical condition, and the presence of comorbidities (Boles et al., 2007).

Early mobilization, which includes activities such as sitting, standing, and ambulation, has been shown to improve muscle strength and functional capacity in ICU patients (Schweickert et al., 2009). However, its

1

specific impact on respiratory function and the weaning process from MV remains an area warranting further investigation. By improving respiratory muscle strength and overall physical conditioning, EM may facilitate a more efficient and successful weaning process, thereby reducing the duration of MV and ICU length of stay. Despite the potential benefits, the implementation of EM in ICU settings faces several barriers, including safety concerns, lack of resources, and variability in clinical practices (Needham et al., 2010). Understanding the effects of EM on respiratory function and weaning outcomes can help in developing standardized protocols and addressing these barriers.

This study aims to investigate the effect of early mobilization on respiratory function and the weaning process in ICU patients. Specifically, it seeks to determine whether early mobilization can improve respiratory parameters such as tidal volume and respiratory rate, and reduce the time required to wean patients from mechanical ventilation. By addressing these objectives, the study hopes to provide evidence-based recommendations for incorporating early mobilization into routine ICU care practices.

## Literature Review

**Early Mobilization in the ICU:** Early mobilization (EM) refers to the initiation of physical activity shortly after ICU admission, aimed at counteracting the negative effects of prolonged bed rest and immobility. Studies have demonstrated that EM can significantly improve outcomes for ICU patients, including reducing muscle atrophy, enhancing physical function, and shortening hospital stays (Morris et al., 2008). A randomized controlled trial by Schweickert et al. (2009) found that ICU patients who received early physical and occupational therapy had improved functional independence and were more likely to return to their baseline functional status compared to those who received standard care.

Implementing EM in the ICU presents various challenges, including patient safety concerns, the availability of trained personnel, and the need for interdisciplinary collaboration (Needham et al., 2010). Despite these challenges, the benefits of EM are well-documented, making it a valuable intervention in critical care settings (Stiller, 2007).

**Respiratory Function in ICU Patients:** Respiratory function is a critical determinant of outcomes for ICU patients, particularly those requiring mechanical ventilation (MV). Prolonged MV can lead to diaphragmatic atrophy and decreased respiratory muscle strength, complicating the weaning process (Vassilakopoulos & Petrof, 2004). The decline in respiratory muscle function is associated with increased morbidity, prolonged ICU stays, and higher mortality rates (Jubran et al., 2019).

Assessing respiratory function in ICU patients typically involves measuring parameters such as tidal volume, respiratory rate, and maximal inspiratory pressure. Improvements in these parameters are indicative of better respiratory muscle performance and overall respiratory health (Mendez-Tellez et al., 2012).

**Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation:** Weaning is the process of gradually reducing and ultimately discontinuing ventilatory support. Successful weaning requires adequate respiratory muscle strength, effective lung function, and the resolution of the underlying cause of respiratory failure (Esteban et al., 2000). The process can be challenging, with failure rates reported to be as high as 30% (Boles et al., 2007). Factors influencing weaning success include the patient's age, comorbidities, and duration of MV (Brochard, 2003).

Early mobilization has been proposed as a strategy to improve weaning outcomes by enhancing respiratory muscle strength and overall physical conditioning (Schweickert & Kress, 2011). However, there is limited research specifically examining the direct impact of EM on weaning success, highlighting the need for further investigation in this area.

#### Effects of Early Mobilization on Respiratory Function and Weaning

Recent studies have begun to explore the specific effects of EM on respiratory function and the weaning process. For example, a study by Burtin et al. (2009) found that ICU patients who participated in early exercise training showed significant improvements in respiratory muscle strength and weaning outcomes. Similarly, a systematic review by Adler and Malone (2012) concluded that EM could positively impact respiratory function and facilitate earlier weaning from MV.

However, the evidence remains sparse and often inconsistent, necessitating more rigorous research to establish the relationship between EM, respiratory function, and weaning outcomes. Understanding these effects can lead to the development of standardized protocols and best practices for implementing EM in ICU settings.

#### Gaps in Existing Research

Despite the promising findings, there are several gaps in the current literature. First, most studies focus on the general benefits of EM without specifically targeting respiratory function and weaning outcomes. Second, there is a lack of large-scale, multicenter trials that can provide robust and generalizable data. Third, the variability in EM protocols and patient populations makes it challenging to draw definitive conclusions.

Addressing these gaps is crucial for advancing our understanding of EM's role in ICU care. This study aims to fill these gaps by providing comprehensive data on the impact of EM on respiratory function and the weaning process, thereby informing clinical practice and guiding future research.

#### Methodology

- **Study Design:** This study employed a randomized controlled trial (RCT) design to investigate the effect of early mobilization (EM) on respiratory function and the weaning process from mechanical ventilation (MV) in ICU patients. The trial was conducted in the ICU of tertiary hospital. Ethical approval was obtained from the ethics committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants or their legal guardians.
- **Participants:** Participants included adult ICU patients aged 18-75 who were expected to require mechanical ventilation for more than 48 hours. Exclusion criteria were severe hemodynamic instability, profound neuromuscular disorders, severe cognitive impairment, and contraindications to mobilization such as unstable fractures or high intracranial pressure.
- **Randomization and Blinding:** Patients were randomly assigned to either the early mobilization group (EMG) or the control group (CG) using a computer-generated randomization sequence. Allocation concealment was maintained using sealed opaque envelopes. Blinding of patients and interventionists was not feasible due to the nature of the intervention; however, outcome assessors and data analysts were blinded to group allocation.

#### Intervention

- **Early Mobilization Group (EMG):** Patients in the EMG received a structured early mobilization protocol initiated within 48 hours of ICU admission. The protocol included activities such as passive and active range of motion exercises, sitting on the edge of the bed, standing, and ambulation with assistance. The intervention was tailored to each patient's condition and progressed as tolerated.
- **Control Group (CG):** Patients in the CG received standard ICU care, which involved usual care practices without a structured mobilization protocol.

#### **Data Collection**

Data were collected at baseline (within 24 hours of ICU admission), daily during the ICU stay, and at the time of weaning from MV. The primary outcomes were respiratory function and weaning success. Secondary outcomes included ICU length of stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, and adverse events related to mobilization.

- **Respiratory Function:** Respiratory parameters, including tidal volume, respiratory rate, and maximal inspiratory pressure, were measured using a bedside spirometer.
- Weaning Process: The time to successful weaning, defined as 48 consecutive hours of spontaneous breathing without mechanical support, was recorded. The success rate of weaning and any weaning-related complications were also documented.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 26.0. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ±standard deviation and compared using independent t-tests or Mann-Whitney U tests as appropriate. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages and compared using chi-square tests or Fisher's exact tests. The primary and secondary outcomes were analyzed on an intention-to-treat basis.

• **Primary Outcome Analysis:** Changes in respiratory function parameters from baseline to weaning were compared between the EMG and CG using mixed-effects linear models.

Secondary Outcome Analysis: The time to successful weaning was analyzed using Kaplan-Meier survival curves and log-rank tests. ICU length of stay and duration of mechanical ventilation were compared using Cox proportional hazards models. Adverse events were reported descriptively.

# **Findings**

The study included 120 patients, with 60 patients assigned to the Early Mobilization Group (EMG) and 60 to the Control Group (CG). Baseline characteristics, including age, gender, and severity of illness, were similar between the two groups (Table 1).

# **Primary Outcomes**

- **Respiratory Function:**Patients in the EMG demonstrated significant improvements in respiratory parameters compared to the CG. At the time of weaning, the mean tidal volume in the EMG was significantly higher than in the CG (470  $\pm$ 50 mL vs. 400  $\pm$ 55 mL, p<0.001). Similarly, the maximal inspiratory pressure was significantly greater in the EMG (55  $\pm 10$  cmH2O) compared to the CG (45 ±12 cmH2O, p<0.01) (Table 2).
- Weaning Process: The median time to successful weaning was significantly shorter in the EMG (7 days) compared to the CG (10 days) (p<0.05). The weaning success rate was higher in the EMG (85%) compared to the CG (70%) (p<0.05) (Table 3).

## **Secondary Outcomes**

- ICU Length of Stay: Patients in the EMG had a reduced ICU length of stay compared to those in the • CG. The median ICU stay was 12 days in the EMG and 15 days in the CG (p<0.05) (Table 4).
- Duration of Mechanical Ventilation: The duration of mechanical ventilation was also significantly ٠ shorter in the EMG (8 days) compared to the CG (11 days) (p<0.05) (Table 4).
- Adverse Events: There were no significant differences in the incidence of adverse events between the EMG and CG. Adverse events related to mobilization were rare and included transient hypotension and minor falls, none of which resulted in serious injury.

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of Study Participants			
Characteristic	EMG (n=60)	CG (n=60)	p-value
Age (years)	62 ±12	64 ±11	0.35
Gender	35/25	33/27	0.72
(Male/Female)			
APACHE II Score	24 ±5	25 ±6	0.48
Duration of MV	3 ±1	3 ±1	0.60
(days)			
Comorbidities (%)			
Hypertension	50	55	0.64
Diabetes	30	35	0.77
COPD	25	20	0.52

# **Tables**

Table 2: (	Changes in	Respiratory	Function	Parameters

Parameter	EMG (n=60)	CG (n=60)	p-value
Tidal Volume (mL)	470 ±50	400 ±55	< 0.001
Maximal Inspiratory	55 ±10	45 ±12	< 0.01
Pressure (cmH2O)			
1 2	20 ±4	22 ±5	0.07
(breaths/min)			

Table 5: Wearing Outcomes			
Outcome	EMG (n=60)	CG (n=60)	p-value
Median Time to	7	10	< 0.05
Weaning (days)			
Weaning Success	85	70	< 0.05
Rate (%)			

#### **Table 3: Weaning Outcomes**

#### Table 4: Secondary Outcomes

Outcome	EMG (n=60)	CG (n=60)	p-value
ICU Length of Stay	12	15	< 0.05
(days)			
Duration of MV	8	11	< 0.05
(days)			
Adverse Events (%)	10	12	0.78

#### Discussion

The findings of this study indicate that early mobilization (EM) in the ICU significantly enhances respiratory function and facilitates the weaning process from mechanical ventilation (MV). These results align with previous research demonstrating the benefits of EM on physical function and ICU outcomes (Morris et al., 2008; Schweickert et al., 2009).

- Improvements in Respiratory Function: Patients in the Early Mobilization Group (EMG) exhibited substantial improvements in tidal volume and maximal inspiratory pressure compared to the Control Group (CG). This improvement can be attributed to the regular activation and strengthening of respiratory muscles through mobilization activities. Previous studies have shown that physical activity can prevent or mitigate the atrophy of respiratory muscles caused by prolonged bed rest and mechanical ventilation (Vassilakopoulos & Petrof, 2004). The significant increase in tidal volume and maximal inspiratory pressure observed in the EMG supports the hypothesis that EM positively impacts respiratory muscle strength and overall respiratory function (Burtin et al., 2009).
- Facilitation of the Weaning Process: The median time to successful weaning was notably shorter in the EMG (7 days) compared to the CG (10 days). Additionally, the weaning success rate was higher in the EMG (85%) than in the CG (70%). These findings are consistent with prior research suggesting that EM can enhance physical conditioning and respiratory muscle strength, thereby facilitating the weaning process (Schweickert & Kress, 2011). The ability to wean patients from MV more efficiently has significant clinical implications, including reduced risk of ventilator-associated complications and shorter ICU stays (Boles et al., 2007).
- **Reduced ICU Length of Stay and Duration of Mechanical Ventilation:** Patients in the EMG experienced a reduced ICU length of stay and shorter duration of mechanical ventilation compared to those in the CG. These outcomes are critical for ICU management, as they can lead to decreased healthcare costs and resource utilization. The reduction in ICU length of stay and MV duration observed in this study corroborates findings from previous studies that reported similar benefits of EM (Needham et al., 2010; Morris et al., 2008). The shorter ICU stay in the EMG could be attributed to improved respiratory function, faster weaning, and overall enhanced physical recovery.
- Safety and Feasibility of Early Mobilization: Importantly, the incidence of adverse events related to mobilization was low and not significantly different between the EMG and CG. This finding underscores the safety and feasibility of implementing EM protocols in the ICU setting. Previous studies have highlighted the potential challenges and risks associated with EM, such as hemodynamic instability and patient safety concerns (Stiller, 2007). However, with proper protocol design and interdisciplinary collaboration, these challenges can be effectively managed to ensure patient safety and optimize outcomes.

# **Clinical Implications and Future Research**

The results of this study support the integration of early mobilization protocols into routine ICU care to enhance patient outcomes. The significant improvements in respiratory function, reduced weaning time, and shorter ICU stays observed in the EMG provide strong evidence for the clinical benefits of EM. Future research should focus on optimizing mobilization strategies, including the timing, intensity, and types of activities, to maximize patient benefits. Additionally, exploring the long-term effects of EM on respiratory function, functional independence, and quality of life is essential to further understand its impact on patient recovery.

Furthermore, multicenter trials with larger sample sizes are needed to validate these findings and establish standardized EM protocols. Investigating the cost-effectiveness of EM and its impact on healthcare resource utilization will also be valuable for healthcare policy and decision-making.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that early mobilization significantly improves respiratory function and facilitates the weaning process in ICU patients. The findings support the implementation of EM protocols to enhance patient outcomes, reduce ICU length of stay, and decrease the duration of mechanical ventilation. These results contribute to the growing body of evidence advocating for early mobilization as a critical component of ICU care.

## References

- 1. Adler, J., & Malone, D. (2012). Early mobilization in the intensive care unit: a systematic review. *Cardiopulmonary physical therapy journal*, 23(1), 5-13.
- 2. Boles, J. M., Bion, J., Connors, A., Herridge, M., Marsh, B., Melot, C., ... & Welte, T. (2007). Weaning from mechanical ventilation. *European Respiratory Journal*, 29(5), 1033-1056.
- 3. Brochard, L. (2003). Mechanical ventilation: invasive versus noninvasive. *European Respiratory Journal*, 22(47 suppl), 31s-37s.
- 4. Burtin, C., Clerckx, B., Robbeets, C., Ferdinande, P., Langer, D., Troosters, T., ... & Gosselink, R. (2009). Early exercise in critically ill patients enhances short-term functional recovery. *Critical care medicine*, *37*(9), 2499-2505.
- 5. Esteban, A., Anzueto, A., Alia, I., Gordo, F., Apezteguia, C., Palizas, F., ... & Tobin, M. J. (2000). How is mechanical ventilation employed in the intensive care unit? An international utilization review. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*, *161*(5), 1450-1458.
- Jubran, A., Grant, B. J., Duffner, L. A., Collins, E. G., Lanuza, D. M., Hoffman, L. A., & Tobin, M. J. (2019). Long-term outcome after prolonged mechanical ventilation. A long-term acute-care hospital study. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*, 199(12), 1508-1516.
- 7. Mendez-Tellez, P. A., Nusr, R., Feldman, D., & Needham, D. M. (2012). Early physical rehabilitation in the ICU: a review for the neurohospitalist. *The Neurohospitalist*, *2*(3), 96-105.
- 8. Morris, P. E., Goad, A., Thompson, C., Taylor, K., Harry, B., Passmore, L., ... & Haponik, E. (2008). Early intensive care unit mobility therapy in the treatment of acute respiratory failure. *Critical care medicine*, *36*(8), 2238-2243.
- 9. Needham, D. M., Korupolu, R., Zanni, J. M., Pradhan, P., Colantuoni, E., Palmer, J. B., ... & Fan, E. (2010). Early physical medicine and rehabilitation for patients with acute respiratory failure: a quality improvement project. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *91*(4), 536-542.
- 10. Schweickert, W. D., & Kress, J. P. (2011). Implementing early mobilization interventions in mechanically ventilated patients in the ICU. *Chest*, 140(6), 1612-1617.
- 11. Schweickert, W. D., Pohlman, M. C., Pohlman, A. S., Nigos, C., Pawlik, A. J., Esbrook, C. L., ... & Kress, J. P. (2009). Early physical and occupational therapy in mechanically ventilated, critically ill patients: a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*, *373*(9678), 1874-1882.
- 12. Stiller, K. (2007). Safety issues that should be considered when mobilizing critically ill patients. *Critical care clinics*, 23(1), 35-53.

- 13. Vassilakopoulos, T., & Petrof, B. J. (2004). Ventilator-induced diaphragmatic dysfunction. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*, *169*(3), 336-341.
- Levine, S., Nguyen, T., Taylor, N., Friscia, M. E., Budak, M. T., Rothenberg, P., ... & Shrager, J. B. (2008). Rapid disuse atrophy of diaphragm fibers in mechanically ventilated humans. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 358(13), 1327-1335.