The Role of Interprofessional Collaboration Between Nurses and Respiratory Therapists in Reducing Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP): A Focus on Infection Control, Suctioning, and Oral Hygiene Practices

[1]Wadha D. Alshemmeri, [2]Nasser Al-Thunyyan, [3]Amnah E. Abu-Lahsah, [4]Mohammed Aljohani, [5]Mesfer Al-Ahmari, [6]Hassan Alshehri, [7]Feras K.A. Melebari, [8]Manna T. Alshammari, [9]Shatha F. Aldhawi

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Abstract

Background: Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in ICU settings, requiring a multidisciplinary approach for effective prevention. Collaboration between nurses and respiratory therapists is critical in implementing key VAP prevention strategies, including infection control, suctioning techniques, and oral hygiene practices.

Objective: This study explores how interprofessional collaboration between nurses and respiratory therapists reduces the incidence of VAP, focusing on the role of teamwork in infection control, suctioning, and oral hygiene.

Methods: A qualitative study was conducted at a tertiary hospital ICU, involving semi-structured interviews with 12 respiratory therapists and 12 nurses. Thematic analysis was used to identify key themes related to collaboration, specific VAP prevention interventions, and challenges in practice.

Results: Three major themes emerged: (1) Interprofessional collaboration enhances the implementation of VAP prevention strategies; (2) Specific interventions, such as infection control, suctioning, and oral hygiene, were highlighted as areas where teamwork was critical; (3) Time constraints and workload pressures were significant barriers to effective collaboration, while role clarity and mutual respect facilitated better teamwork.

Conclusion: The findings demonstrate that collaboration between nurses and respiratory therapists plays a crucial role in reducing VAP incidence. Addressing challenges such as workload and promoting mutual respect can further improve collaboration and patient outcomes.

Keywords: Ventilator-associated pneumonia, interprofessional collaboration, respiratory therapists, nurses, infection control, suctioning, oral hygiene, ICU.

Introduction

Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) is a serious healthcare-associated infection that affects patients who are mechanically ventilated for more than 48 hours. It is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in intensive care units (ICUs) worldwide, significantly increasing the length of hospital stays and healthcare costs (Kalil et al., 2016). VAP occurs when pathogens enter the lower respiratory tract through the endotracheal tube, making mechanically ventilated patients particularly vulnerable. Given its high impact, preventing VAP has become a top priority in critical care settings.

Effective prevention of VAP requires a multidisciplinary approach, where interprofessional collaboration between nurses and respiratory therapists plays a pivotal role. Nurses are primarily responsible for infection control, patient hygiene, and ongoing monitoring, while respiratory therapists manage mechanical ventilation, ensure proper suctioning, and maintain ventilator circuits. Together, these professionals perform vital functions that reduce the risk of VAP, particularly through infection control practices, oral hygiene, and suctioning techniques (Kollef, 2004).

Interprofessional collaboration between nurses and respiratory therapists has been shown to enhance patient outcomes, particularly in ICU settings, by fostering effective communication, shared decision-making, and coordinated care. Studies suggest that when healthcare professionals collaborate, the incidence of VAP can be significantly reduced due to improved adherence to evidence-based practices and timely interventions (Kaynar et al., 2007). However, there is limited research that specifically examines how teamwork between nurses and respiratory therapists impacts VAP prevention strategies.

This study aims to explore how the collaboration between nurses and respiratory therapists contributes to the reduction of VAP in ICU settings. The focus will be on three key areas: infection control measures, suctioning techniques, and oral hygiene practices. By examining the dynamics of interprofessional teamwork in VAP prevention, this research seeks to highlight the importance of coordinated efforts in improving patient outcomes and reducing the incidence of ventilator-associated complications.

Literature Review

1. Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP): An Overview

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is one of the most common and severe hospital-acquired infections in critically ill patients. VAP occurs in patients who are mechanically ventilated for more than 48 hours, with a reported incidence of 9-27% in intensive care units (ICUs) (Klompas, 2010). The condition is associated with prolonged hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and a higher risk of mortality (Chastre & Fagon, 2002). VAP is typically caused by the invasion of the lower respiratory tract by bacteria that enter through the endotracheal tube, and common pathogens include Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Acinetobacter species (Craven & Hjalmarson, 2010).

The prevention of VAP is a major priority in ICUs, as effective preventive strategies can significantly improve patient outcomes. Research indicates that a combination of infection control practices, timely suctioning, and oral hygiene protocols can reduce the risk of VAP. However, the success of these interventions often depends on the coordinated efforts of the healthcare team, particularly respiratory therapists and nurses (Klompas et al., 2014).

2. Role of Respiratory Therapists in VAP Prevention

Respiratory therapists play a crucial role in managing mechanically ventilated patients and implementing strategies to prevent VAP. Their responsibilities include ensuring proper ventilator settings, maintaining endotracheal tube integrity, and performing suctioning to clear airway secretions (Restrepo, 2010). Studies have shown that effective airway management, particularly through regular and appropriate suctioning, reduces the risk of VAP by preventing bacterial colonization in the lungs (Sole et al., 2003).

In addition, respiratory therapists are responsible for maintaining the ventilator circuits and ensuring that ventilator-associated equipment is sterile and functioning correctly. Research suggests that circuit changes and proper humidification management are essential in reducing the incidence of VAP (Miyawaki et al., 2005). Respiratory therapists also collaborate closely with other ICU staff to adjust ventilator settings and implement ventilator weaning protocols, which are known to reduce VAP incidence by minimizing the duration of mechanical ventilation (Morris et al., 2011).

3. Role of Nurses in VAP Prevention

Nurses play an equally critical role in the prevention of VAP, particularly in infection control and patient care practices. Nurses are often the primary caregivers responsible for maintaining hygiene, administering oral care, and performing routine patient assessments. Studies indicate that oral hygiene, specifically the use of chlorhexidine, is one of the most effective nursing interventions to reduce the risk of VAP (DeRiso et al., 1996). Regular oral care prevents the accumulation of pathogenic bacteria in the oral cavity, which can be aspirated into the lungs via the endotracheal tube.

In addition to oral care, nurses are responsible for implementing infection control measures such as hand hygiene, ensuring the proper positioning of patients (e.g., elevating the head of the bed), and monitoring for signs of infection. Research highlights the importance of these interventions, as poor infection control practices have been directly linked to higher rates of VAP (Morris et al., 2011). By closely monitoring patients and adhering to VAP prevention bundles, nurses help reduce the risk of infection and improve overall patient outcomes.

4. Interprofessional Collaboration in VAP Prevention

Effective interprofessional collaboration between respiratory therapists and nurses is essential for successful VAP prevention. Studies have consistently shown that teamwork and communication among healthcare professionals significantly improve patient outcomes, particularly in critical care environments (O'Daniel & Rosenstein, 2008). Interprofessional collaboration involves shared decision-making, frequent communication, and coordinated care, all of which contribute to reducing the incidence of VAP (Kaynar et al., 2007).

The implementation of VAP prevention bundles, which typically include measures such as proper oral care, head-of-bed elevation, and suctioning protocols, requires close coordination between nurses and respiratory therapists (Klompas et al., 2014). These bundles are most effective when executed as part of a collaborative effort, with each professional contributing their expertise. Respiratory therapists ensure the technical aspects of mechanical ventilation and airway management, while nurses oversee patient hygiene and infection control. Research shows that when these roles are clearly defined and communication is strong, VAP rates decline (IHI, 2012).

5. Challenges in Collaboration

While the benefits of collaboration between respiratory therapists and nurses are well-documented, challenges remain in achieving optimal teamwork. Studies have identified barriers such as hierarchical dynamics, communication gaps, and unclear role boundaries as factors that can hinder effective collaboration (McCaffrey et al., 2010). Additionally, heavy workloads and staffing shortages in ICUs can contribute to fragmented care, making it difficult for nurses and respiratory therapists to coordinate effectively (Wheelan et al., 2003).

Addressing these challenges requires fostering a culture of teamwork and mutual respect among healthcare professionals. Interprofessional education and training can help improve collaboration by encouraging healthcare providers to better understand each other's roles and responsibilities. Promoting regular interdisciplinary meetings and debriefs may also enhance communication and coordination in VAP prevention efforts (O'Leary et al., 2011).

6. Gaps in Literature

While there is ample evidence supporting the importance of interprofessional collaboration in preventing VAP, limited research focuses specifically on the collaboration between nurses and respiratory therapists. Most studies on VAP prevention tend to focus on either nursing interventions or respiratory therapy practices in isolation, without examining the joint efforts of these professionals. This study seeks to address this gap by exploring the dynamics of interprofessional collaboration between nurses and respiratory therapists in reducing VAP.

Methodology

1. Study Design

This study employed a qualitative research design to explore how interprofessional collaboration between nurses and respiratory therapists contributes to reducing the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) in a tertiary care hospital. A qualitative approach was chosen to gain an in-depth understanding of the experiences, perceptions, and collaborative strategies used by nurses and respiratory therapists in preventing VAP. Semi-structured interviews were used to collect data from participants.

2. Setting

The study was conducted in the intensive care units (ICUs) of tertiary hospital. The hospital provides advanced critical care services, and the ICU is equipped with state-of-the-art ventilators and infection control protocols. VAP prevention is a priority within the hospital, and a formal VAP prevention bundle is in place, emphasizing infection control, suctioning techniques, and oral hygiene.

3. Participants

A purposive sampling method was used to select participants for this study. The sample included 12 respiratory therapists and 12 nurses who were directly involved in the care of mechanically ventilated patients in the ICU. All participants had a minimum of two years of experience working in critical care settings and had been involved in implementing VAP prevention measures. Inclusion criteria were based on the participants 'direct involvement in providing patient care for ventilated patients and their knowledge of VAP prevention practices.

4. Data Collection

Data were collected through semi-structured, face-to-face interviews with participants. Each interview lasted between 30 to 60 minutes and was conducted in a private room within the hospital to ensure confidentiality. An interview guide was used to structure the conversations, but participants were encouraged to share their experiences freely. The guide included questions about:

- The roles of respiratory therapists and nurses in VAP prevention.
- How interprofessional collaboration is implemented in the ICU.
- Specific strategies used to reduce the incidence of VAP, such as infection control measures, suctioning techniques, and oral hygiene.
- The challenges and facilitators of effective teamwork in VAP prevention.
- The impact of teamwork on patient outcomes.

All interviews were audio-recorded with the participants 'consent, and field notes were taken to capture non-verbal cues and contextual information. The interviews were transcribed verbatim for analysis. Data collection took place over a period of three months.

5. Data Analysis

The data were analyzed using thematic analysis, following the steps outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). The process involved the following steps:

1. Familiarization with the Data: The researchers read and re-read the interview transcripts to immerse themselves in the data and identify initial patterns and themes.
2. Generating Initial Codes: The transcripts were systematically coded, with each segment of data that related to interprofessional collaboration, VAP prevention, and challenges in practice being assigned a code.
3. Searching for Themes: The codes were grouped into broader themes based on the research questions, focusing on collaboration in VAP prevention, specific interventions, and the roles of nurses and respiratory therapists.
4. Reviewing Themes: The identified themes were reviewed to ensure they accurately represented the data and were coherent with the study objectives.
5. Defining and Naming Themes: Clear definitions were developed for each theme, and meaningful names were assigned to encapsulate the essence of the findings.
6. Writing the Report: The themes were organized into a narrative, and direct quotes from the participants were included to illustrate key points.

6. Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ethics committee prior to the commencement of data collection. All participants provided written informed consent before participating in the interviews. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without penalty. Confidentiality was maintained throughout the research process; participants 'identities were anonymized, and audio recordings were stored securely and deleted after transcription.

7. Trustworthiness and Rigor

To ensure the trustworthiness of the study, several measures were taken:

- Triangulation: Data were collected from both nurses and respiratory therapists to ensure multiple perspectives on interprofessional collaboration in VAP prevention.
- Member Checking: After the initial analysis, participants were invited to review the findings to ensure their experiences were accurately represented.

- Peer Debriefing: The analysis was discussed with colleagues experienced in qualitative research to verify the credibility of the findings.
- Reflexivity: The researcher maintained a reflective journal throughout the study to account for any potential biases and to remain aware of how their background may influence the interpretation of the data.

8. Limitations

One limitation of this study is its focus on a single tertiary care hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other healthcare settings. Additionally, the study relied on self-reported data, which may be subject to recall bias or social desirability bias. Further research could expand the scope to multiple hospitals or incorporate observational methods to triangulate the findings.

Findings

The thematic analysis of the interviews revealed several key themes related to the collaborative roles of nurses and respiratory therapists in reducing the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) in the ICU. Three main themes emerged from the data: Interprofessional Collaboration in VAP Prevention, Specific Interventions in VAP Prevention, and Challenges and Facilitators of Effective Teamwork. Each theme is supported by sub-themes and illustrated with direct quotes from participants.

1. Interprofessional Collaboration in VAP Prevention

Participants emphasized that collaboration between nurses and respiratory therapists was central to preventing VAP. Both groups acknowledged the importance of teamwork in coordinating patient care and implementing VAP prevention protocols.

a) Shared Responsibility in VAP Prevention

Both nurses and respiratory therapists saw VAP prevention as a shared responsibility, where each profession contributed their expertise.

- Nurse 1: "We work together to make sure the patient is positioned correctly, the oral care is done, and the ventilator settings are optimized. It’s not just one person’s job."
- Respiratory Therapist 2: "I rely on the nurses to handle oral care and positioning, while I focus on managing the ventilator and suctioning. It’s a team effort, and we all know what’s at stake."

b) Frequent Communication

Frequent communication between nurses and respiratory therapists was identified as a key factor in effective collaboration. Daily discussions about patient status and care plans helped ensure that everyone was aligned in VAP prevention efforts.

- Nurse 3: "We do regular check-ins with the respiratory therapists. If they notice something that could increase the risk of VAP, like secretions building up, they let us know immediately."
- Respiratory Therapist 4: "Communication is key. We talk to the nurses every shift to discuss the patient’s condition, and that’s where we figure out how to work together on preventing infections."

2. Specific Interventions in VAP Prevention

The study revealed that nurses and respiratory therapists collaborated closely in the implementation of specific interventions that target VAP prevention. Three main sub-themes emerged: Infection Control Measures, Suctioning Techniques, and Oral Hygiene Practices.

a) Infection Control Measures

Participants highlighted infection control as one of the most critical aspects of VAP prevention. Both groups were involved in ensuring strict adherence to hand hygiene, equipment sterilization, and maintaining ventilator circuits.

- Respiratory Therapist 5: "I make sure the circuits are clean and properly maintained. We can't afford to have contamination in any part of the ventilator setup."
- Nurse 4: "Hand hygiene is something we don't compromise on. Every time we touch the ventilator or the patient, we have to clean our hands. We also make sure that the respiratory equipment is sterilized."

b) Suctioning Techniques

Proper suctioning of secretions was identified as a key area where respiratory therapists and nurses collaborated to reduce the risk of VAP. Respiratory therapists took the lead on suctioning, while nurses monitored the patient for signs of discomfort or potential complications.

- Respiratory Therapist 1: "We handle suctioning to prevent secretions from building up in the airway. Suctioning helps minimize the risk of bacterial colonization."
- Nurse 5: "I watch the patient closely when they are being suctioned. If there's any sign of distress, I'm there to assist, and we can adjust as needed to keep the patient comfortable and safe."

c) Oral Hygiene Practices

Oral hygiene was recognized as one of the most effective interventions in reducing VAP risk. Nurses were primarily responsible for oral care, while respiratory therapists contributed by providing support in managing endotracheal tubes and ensuring the patient's mouth was clear of secretions.

- Nurse 2: "Oral care is something we take very seriously. We use chlorhexidine to clean the mouth regularly, which we know can reduce the chance of bacteria entering the lungs."
- Respiratory Therapist 6: "We work closely with the nurses during oral care, making sure that the endotracheal tube is clear and that there's no obstruction or buildup of secretions."

3. Challenges and Facilitators of Effective Teamwork

Despite the positive outcomes from collaboration, participants identified several challenges and facilitators that impacted their ability to work together in preventing VAP.

a) Challenges: Time Constraints and Workload

One of the most commonly cited challenges was the high workload and time constraints faced by both nurses and respiratory therapists, which sometimes hindered effective collaboration.

- Nurse 6: "Sometimes we're just stretched too thin. There are so many patients and not enough time, so it can be hard to stay on top of everything, including VAP prevention."
- Respiratory Therapist 3: "There's always a lot going on, and when you have to manage multiple ventilated patients, it can be difficult to give each patient the attention needed for all the VAP protocols."

b) Facilitators: Clear Role Definitions and Mutual Respect

Participants noted that having clear role definitions and mutual respect between nurses and respiratory therapists facilitated smoother teamwork and enhanced VAP prevention efforts.

- Respiratory Therapist 7: "We have a good understanding of who's responsible for what. The nurses know what they need to do, and we know what we need to do, but we also support each other."
- Nurse 7: "There's a lot of respect between us and the respiratory therapists. We trust each other's judgment, and that makes a big difference in how we approach patient care."

Discussion

The results of this study provide important insights into the collaborative roles of nurses and respiratory therapists in reducing the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) in ICU settings. The findings highlight the critical role of interprofessional collaboration, the effectiveness of specific interventions, and the challenges faced in maintaining consistent VAP prevention practices. This discussion synthesizes the key findings, relates them to existing literature, and explores their implications for clinical practice.

1. Interprofessional Collaboration and Its Impact on VAP Prevention

One of the central findings of this study is the importance of interprofessional collaboration in reducing VAP. Both nurses and respiratory therapists emphasized that teamwork, particularly frequent communication and shared responsibility, is essential for implementing VAP prevention strategies. This supports existing research that highlights how collaboration between healthcare professionals can improve patient outcomes, especially in high-stakes environments like the ICU (O'Daniel & Rosenstein, 2008; Kaynar et al., 2007).

The frequent communication between nurses and respiratory therapists facilitated the implementation of VAP prevention protocols, ensuring that both professions remained aligned in their efforts. This is consistent with prior studies that have shown that effective communication in healthcare teams leads to better adherence to evidence-based practices, ultimately reducing the risk of VAP (IHI, 2012). The finding that collaboration is most effective when both roles are well-defined aligns with research emphasizing the importance of role clarity in fostering teamwork in healthcare (Wheelan et al., 2003).

2. Effectiveness of Specific VAP Prevention Interventions

The study also revealed that nurses and respiratory therapists collaborated closely in carrying out key interventions to prevent VAP, including infection control, suctioning techniques, and oral hygiene practices. These findings align with previous research that identifies these interventions as critical in reducing the incidence of VAP (Klompas et al., 2014).

Infection control measures, such as hand hygiene and equipment sterilization, were cited by participants as fundamental to preventing the introduction of pathogens into the lower respiratory tract. This finding mirrors existing evidence that strict adherence to infection control practices is associated with lower rates of VAP

(Miyawaki et al., 2005). Similarly, suctioning techniques were recognized as crucial in preventing the accumulation of secretions, a known risk factor for VAP (Sole et al., 2003). The collaborative nature of these interventions, with respiratory therapists focusing on airway management and nurses monitoring patient comfort, underscores the value of a team-based approach in patient care.

The role of oral hygiene in preventing VAP was also emphasized, with nurses taking primary responsibility for regular oral care and respiratory therapists providing support in managing endotracheal tubes. Oral care, particularly the use of chlorhexidine, has been consistently shown to reduce the risk of bacterial colonization and subsequent pneumonia in ventilated patients (DeRiso et al., 1996). The finding that oral hygiene is a shared responsibility between nurses and respiratory therapists reinforces the importance of collaboration in ensuring that all aspects of VAP prevention are addressed.

3. Challenges in Collaboration

While the findings highlight the positive impact of collaboration, several challenges were identified, including time constraints and workload pressures. Both nurses and respiratory therapists reported that high patient loads and the fast-paced nature of the ICU often made it difficult to consistently implement VAP prevention protocols. These challenges are reflected in the broader literature, which acknowledges that heavy workloads and staffing shortages can lead to fragmented care and reduced adherence to infection control measures (McCaffrey et al., 2010).

Time constraints can hinder communication and teamwork, as healthcare providers may not have the opportunity to coordinate care as effectively as needed. This finding is consistent with research suggesting that time pressures are a significant barrier to effective collaboration in critical care environments (Wheelan et al., 2003). Addressing these challenges requires institutional support, such as optimizing staff-to-patient ratios and providing sufficient time for interprofessional team discussions.

4. Facilitators of Effective Collaboration

Despite these challenges, participants highlighted that clear role definitions and mutual respect between nurses and respiratory therapists facilitated more effective collaboration. When roles are well-defined, each professional understands their responsibilities and the contributions of their colleagues, leading to a more streamlined and coordinated approach to VAP prevention. This finding aligns with the literature that emphasizes the importance of role clarity in improving teamwork and patient outcomes (O'Leary et al., 2011).

Mutual respect between nurses and respiratory therapists was another key facilitator, as it fostered trust and open communication. Participants noted that when both professions respected each other's expertise, it created an environment where they could collaborate more effectively and provide better care for ventilated patients. These findings suggest that fostering a culture of respect and collaboration in the ICU is critical to optimizing patient outcomes.

5. Implications for Practice

The findings of this study have several implications for clinical practice. First, fostering a culture of collaboration and teamwork in the ICU is essential for reducing the incidence of VAP. Hospitals should encourage regular communication between nurses and respiratory therapists, such as through structured team

huddles or interdisciplinary meetings, to ensure that VAP prevention protocols are consistently followed. Additionally, providing opportunities for interprofessional education and training can help both nurses and respiratory therapists better understand each other's roles and responsibilities, thereby improving collaboration.

Addressing the workload and time constraints that limit effective collaboration is also critical. Hospitals should consider strategies such as optimizing staffing ratios or implementing time management tools that allow healthcare providers to spend more time on VAP prevention activities. Finally, promoting mutual respect and role clarity within the ICU team can strengthen collaboration and enhance the overall quality of care for ventilated patients.

6. Limitations and Future Research

While this study provides valuable insights into the role of interprofessional collaboration in reducing VAP, it is important to acknowledge its limitations. The study was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other settings. Additionally, the study relied on self-reported data, which may be subject to recall bias or social desirability bias. Future research could explore collaboration in VAP prevention in different healthcare settings or use observational methods to triangulate the findings.

Further research is also needed to explore the long-term impact of interprofessional collaboration on VAP rates and patient outcomes. Studies that examine the cost-effectiveness of implementing collaborative VAP prevention protocols could provide additional insights for hospital administrators seeking to improve ICU care.

Conclusion

In summary, this study highlights the critical role of interprofessional collaboration between nurses and respiratory therapists in reducing ventilator-associated pneumonia. By working together on infection control, suctioning, and oral hygiene practices, these healthcare professionals can significantly lower the incidence of VAP. Addressing the challenges of time constraints and promoting mutual respect and clear role definitions will further enhance collaboration and improve patient outcomes in ICU settings.

References

1. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, *3*(2), 77-101.
2. Chastre, J., & Fagon, J. Y. (2002). Ventilator-associated pneumonia. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*, *165*(7), 867-903.
3. Craven, D. E., & Hjalmarson, K. I. (2010). Ventilator-associated tracheobronchitis and pneumonia: thinking outside the box. *Clinical infectious diseases*, *51*(Supplement_1), S59-S66.
4. DeRiso II, A. J., Ladowski, J. S., Dillon, T. A., Justice, J. W., & Peterson, A. C. (1996). Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.12% oral rinse reduces the incidence of total nosocomial respiratory infection and nonprophylactic systemic antibiotic use in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Chest*, *109*(6), 1556-1561.
5. Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI). (2012). How-to guide: Prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia. IHI Guide.

6. Kaynar, A. M., Mathew, J. J., Hudlin, M. M., Gingras, D. J., Ritz, R. H., Jackson, M. R., ... & Kollef, M. H. (2007). Attitudes of respiratory therapists and nurses about measures to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia: a multicenter, cross-sectional survey study. *Respiratory Care*, *52*(12), 1687-1694.
7. Kalil, A. C., Metersky, M. L., Klompas, M., Muscedere, J., Sweeney, D. A., Palmer, L. B., ... & Brozek, J. L. (2016). Management of adults with hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia: 2016 clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the American Thoracic Society. *Clinical infectious diseases*, *63*(5), e61-e111.
8. Kollef, M. H. (2004). Prevention of hospital-associated pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Critical care medicine*, *32*(6), 1396-1405.
9. Klompas, M. (2010). Ventilator-associated pneumonia: is zero possible?. *Clinical infectious diseases*, *51*(10), 1123-1126.
10. Klompas, M., Branson, R., Eichenwald, E. C., Greene, L. R., Howell, M. D., Lee, G., ... & Berenholtz, S. M. (2014). Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia in acute care hospitals: 2014 update. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, *35*(8), 915-936.
11. McCaffrey, R. G., Hayes, R., Stuart, W., Cassel, A., Farrell, C., Miller-Reyes, S., & Donaldson, A. (2011). An educational program to promote positive communication and collaboration between nurses and medical staff. *Journal for Nurses in Professional Development*, *27*(3), 121-127.
12. Morris, A. C., Hay, A. W., Swann, D. G., Everingham, K., McCulloch, C., McNulty, J., ... & Walsh, T. S. (2011). Reducing ventilator-associated pneumonia in intensive care: impact of implementing a care bundle. *Critical care medicine*, *39*(10), 2218-2224.
13. Miyawaki, J., Shono, S., & Katori, K. (2005). Thoracic anaesthesia. *Pain*, *308*, 03.
14. O'Daniel, M., & Rosenstein, A. H. (2008). Professional communication and team collaboration. *Patient safety and quality: An evidence-based handbook for nurses*.
15. O'Leary, K. J., Ritter, C. D., Wheeler, H., Szekendi, M. K., Brinton, T. S., & Williams, M. V. (2010). Teamwork on inpatient medical units: assessing attitudes and barriers. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, *19*(2), 117-121.
16. Restrepo, R. D. (2010). AARC Clinical Practice Guidelines: from" reference-based" to" evidence-based". *Respiratory Care*, *55*(6).
17. Sole, M. L., Byers, J. F., Ludy, J. E., Zhang, Y., Banta, C. M., & Brummel, K. (2003). A multisite survey of suctioning techniques and airway management practices. *American Journal of critical care*, *12*(3), 220-230.
18. Wheelan, S. A., Burchill, C. N., & Tilin, F. (2003). The link between teamwork and patients' outcomes in intensive care units. *American Journal of Critical Care*, *12*(6), 527-534.