Exploring the Impact of Interdisciplinary Collaboration on Sepsis Management in Hospitalized Patients

Ali S. Aldossari¹, Wedad M. Almutairi², Alanood A. Albedah³, Eyan M. Alsomali⁴, Mohammed S. Aljeaid⁵, Khudhrah A. Alsomali⁶, Amnah M. Alanazi⁷

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Abstract

Sepsis is a critical condition that requires timely and coordinated intervention to reduce mortality and improve patient outcomes. This study explored the impact of interdisciplinary collaboration among pharmacists, laboratory specialists, and nurses on sepsis management in a tertiary hospital. A mixed-methods approach was employed, involving the retrospective analysis of 150 patient records and qualitative interviews with 20 healthcare professionals. The findings indicated that interdisciplinary collaboration significantly reduced time to diagnosis, time to antimicrobial therapy, and length of hospital stay, while also lowering mortality rates. Healthcare professionals emphasized the importance of effective communication, role clarity, and addressing barriers such as differing work schedules to enhance teamwork. The study concludes that interdisciplinary collaboration improves the management of sepsis, leading to better patient outcomes, and highlights the need for further strategies to optimize teamwork.

Keywords: Sepsis, Interdisciplinary Collaboration, Pharmacists, Laboratory Specialists, Nurses, Patient Outcomes, Tertiary Hospital, Healthcare Teamwork

Introduction

Sepsis is a life-threatening condition characterized by an overwhelming immune response to infection, which can lead to tissue damage, organ failure, and potentially death if not managed promptly (Singer et al., 2016). It remains one of the most significant causes of mortality in hospitalized patients worldwide, posing a substantial burden on healthcare systems (Rhee et al., 2017). Timely identification and intervention are critical to improving outcomes in sepsis management, and the involvement of multidisciplinary healthcare teams plays a key role in optimizing care (Rhodes et al., 2017).

Interdisciplinary collaboration in healthcare involves the joint efforts of various specialists, including pharmacists, laboratory specialists, and nurses, each contributing their expertise to achieve better patient outcomes. For sepsis management, pharmacists can optimize antimicrobial therapy, laboratory specialists play a crucial role in the timely identification of pathogens and monitoring biomarkers, while nurses provide essential bedside care and monitoring. This collaboration can enhance the rapid identification, diagnosis, and treatment of sepsis, leading to improved patient survival rates and decreased length of hospital stays (García-López et al., 2017).

Despite the growing recognition of the importance of multidisciplinary teamwork in sepsis management, there is still a need for further research on how these interprofessional interactions specifically influence patient outcomes in the hospital setting. This study aims to explore the impact of interdisciplinary collaboration involving pharmacists, laboratory specialists, and nurses on the management of sepsis in hospitalized patients, focusing on treatment efficacy, response times, and overall patient outcomes.

Literature Review

The importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in healthcare has been increasingly emphasized in recent years, particularly in the management of complex conditions such as sepsis. Sepsis requires rapid recognition and treatment to reduce morbidity and mortality rates, and this urgency necessitates a coordinated approach among healthcare professionals (Rhodes et al., 2017). Studies have shown that implementing a multidisciplinary sepsis protocol can significantly improve clinical outcomes, including reduced mortality and shorter hospital stays (García-López et al., 2017).

Pharmacists play a vital role in sepsis management through the optimization of antimicrobial therapy. Appropriate selection, dosing, and adjustment of antibiotics are critical to the successful management of sepsis, especially in light of rising antimicrobial resistance. Research suggests that pharmacist involvement in antimicrobial stewardship programs can lead to better-targeted antibiotic use and reduced inappropriate prescribing, which is crucial for effective sepsis management (Cotta et al., 2014). By working closely with other healthcare providers, pharmacists ensure that antimicrobial therapy is tailored to the patient's specific needs, ultimately improving patient outcomes.

Laboratory specialists also play a crucial role in sepsis management by providing timely and accurate diagnostic information. Early identification of sepsis relies heavily on laboratory testing, including blood cultures, lactate levels, and biomarkers such as procalcitonin (Singer et al., 2016). Rapid turnaround times for laboratory results are essential for prompt initiation of appropriate therapy. Studies have highlighted the importance of efficient communication between laboratory staff and clinical teams in ensuring that test results are quickly available, which directly impacts the timeliness of sepsis treatment (Fan et al., 2016).

Nurses are at the forefront of patient care in sepsis management, providing continuous monitoring and assessment of patients. The early recognition of sepsis symptoms often falls to nursing staff, who are responsible for initiating sepsis protocols and communicating critical changes in patient status to the rest of the healthcare team. Research has demonstrated that nurse-led sepsis screening and protocol-driven interventions can significantly improve sepsis recognition and treatment times (Kleinpell,2017). Nurses also play a key role in patient education, ensuring that patients and their families understand the treatment plan and the importance of early intervention.

Interdisciplinary teamwork has been found to be particularly effective in managing sepsis when clear communication channels and well-defined roles are established. A study by O'Leary et al. (2011) showed that hospitals with structured interdisciplinary rounds and established sepsis protocols had better patient outcomes compared to those without such systems. Effective communication between pharmacists, laboratory specialists, and nurses ensures that sepsis is identified and treated rapidly, thereby reducing the risk of complications and improving overall survival rates.

The literature indicates that while individual contributions from pharmacists, laboratory specialists, and nurses are essential, the synergy created by their collaboration is what ultimately leads to the best outcomes

in sepsis management. However, there are still barriers to effective interdisciplinary collaboration, including a lack of understanding of each professional's role, communication breakdowns, and systemic challenges within hospital settings (Reich et al., 2018). Addressing these barriers through targeted interventions, such as joint training programs and standardized communication protocols, could further enhance the effectiveness of sepsis management.

Methodology

This study was conducted in a tertiary hospital setting to evaluate the impact of interdisciplinary collaboration on sepsis management. A mixed-methods approach was used, combining quantitative data analysis with qualitative interviews to provide a comprehensive understanding of the effects of interdisciplinary teamwork on patient outcomes.

Study Design

A retrospective cohort study design was used to collect and analyze data on sepsis cases managed in the hospital over a 12-month period. The study focused on hospitalized adult patients diagnosed with sepsis who received care from an interdisciplinary team involving pharmacists, laboratory specialists, and nurses. Additionally, semi-structured interviews were conducted with healthcare professionals to explore their perspectives on the collaboration process and its impact on sepsis management.

Participants

The study included patients who met the criteria for sepsis as defined by the Sepsis-3 guidelines (Singer et al., 2016). The healthcare professionals involved in the qualitative component of the study included pharmacists, laboratory specialists, and nurses who had experience managing sepsis patients during the study period. A total of 150 patient records were reviewed, and 20 healthcare professionals participated in the interviews.

Data Collection

Quantitative data were collected from the hospital's electronic health records (EHR), focusing on variables such as time to diagnosis, time to initiation of antimicrobial therapy, length of hospital stay, and patient outcomes (mortality, complications). Data on laboratory test turnaround times and adherence to sepsis protocols were also collected.

Qualitative data were gathered through semi-structured interviews with healthcare professionals. The interviews were conducted in a private setting within the hospital to encourage open discussion. Questions focused on the roles of different team members, communication processes, and perceived barriers and facilitators of effective collaboration in sepsis management.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Time-to-treatment variables, length of stay, and patient outcomes were compared between cases managed by an interdisciplinary team and those managed without such collaboration. Statistical tests, such as t-tests and chi-square tests, were used to determine the significance of differences between groups.

Qualitative data were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring themes related to interdisciplinary collaboration. Interviews were transcribed verbatim, and a coding framework was

developed to categorize the data. Themes were identified based on the participants' experiences and perceptions of teamwork, communication, and barriers to effective sepsis management.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ethics committee. Informed consent was obtained from all healthcare professionals participating in the interviews. Patient data were anonymized to protect privacy, and all data were stored securely to ensure confidentiality.

Findings

Quantitative Findings

The analysis of 150 patient records showed that interdisciplinary collaboration in sepsis management significantly improved patient outcomes compared to cases managed without such collaboration. Key findings are summarized in the following tables.

Table 1: Comparison of Patient Outcomes between Interdisciplinary Team Managed Cases and Non-Interdisciplinary Cases

Outcome Measure	Interdisciplinary	Non-Interdisciplinary	p-value	
	Team (n=75)	Team (n=75)		
Time to Diagnosis	3.5 ±1.2	5.8 ±1.7	< 0.001	
(hours)				
Time to	4.2 ±1.5	6.7 ±2.0	< 0.001	
Antimicrobial				
Therapy (hours)				
Length of Hospital	10.4 ±3.1	14.7 ±4.2	< 0.01	
Stay (days)				
Mortality Rate (%)	18.7	28.0	0.045	

The data show that patients managed by an interdisciplinary team had significantly shorter times to diagnosis and initiation of antimicrobial therapy. Additionally, the length of hospital stay was reduced, and mortality rates were significantly lower for patients managed by an interdisciplinary team.

Tuble 2. Luboratory Test Turnarouna Times				
Laboratory Test	Interdisciplinary	Non-Interdisciplinary	p-value	
	Team (hours)	Team (hours)		
Blood Culture	12.5 ±2.3	18.1 ±3.5	< 0.01	
Lactate Level	1.8 ±0.7	3.2 ±1.0	< 0.01	
Procalcitonin Level	2.5 ± 1.0	4.1 ±1.5	< 0.05	

Table 2: Laboratory Test Turnaround Times

Turnaround times for laboratory tests were significantly shorter for patients managed by an interdisciplinary team, highlighting the importance of timely diagnostic support in the effective management of sepsis.

Qualitative Findings

Thematic analysis of interviews with healthcare professionals revealed several key themes related to interdisciplinary collaboration in sepsis management:

1. Effective Communication: Participants emphasized the importance of clear communication channels between pharmacists, laboratory specialists, and nurses. They highlighted that effective communication led to timely decision-making and improved patient outcomes.

2. Role Clarity: Healthcare professionals reported that having well-defined roles within the interdisciplinary team was crucial to ensuring efficient collaboration. Role clarity minimized overlaps and ensured that each team member's expertise was fully utilized.

3. Barriers to Collaboration: Some participants identified barriers to effective teamwork, such as differing work schedules and occasional lack of understanding of each other's roles. These barriers sometimes led to delays in the sepsis management process.

4. Positive Impact on Patient Care: Many participants noted that working within an interdisciplinary team improved the overall quality of care provided to sepsis patients. They felt that combining their expertise led to more comprehensive care, which ultimately benefitted patients.

Discussion

The findings from this study highlight the positive impact of interdisciplinary collaboration on the management of sepsis in hospitalized patients. The quantitative results demonstrated that patients managed by an interdisciplinary team experienced significantly shorter times to diagnosis and initiation of antimicrobial therapy, reduced length of hospital stay, and lower mortality rates compared to patients managed without such collaboration. These findings are consistent with previous studies that emphasize the importance of a multidisciplinary approach to sepsis care (García-López et al., 2017; O'Leary et al., 2011).

The shorter times to diagnosis and initiation of antimicrobial therapy observed in this study can be attributed to the effective communication and timely decision-making enabled by interdisciplinary teamwork. Pharmacists, laboratory specialists, and nurses each contributed their unique expertise to ensure that sepsis was promptly recognized and treated. The presence of pharmacists helped optimize antimicrobial therapy, while laboratory specialists ensured rapid availability of diagnostic results. Nurses, being at the forefront of patient care, were able to quickly identify early signs of sepsis and initiate protocols, leading to faster intervention. These findings align with the existing literature, which highlights the role of pharmacists in antimicrobial stewardship (Cotta et al., 2014) and the importance of timely laboratory diagnostics in sepsis management (Fan et al., 2016).

The qualitative findings further support the benefits of interdisciplinary collaboration, with healthcare professionals highlighting the importance of clear communication channels and role clarity. Effective communication among team members was repeatedly mentioned as a key factor in achieving timely sepsis management. Role clarity ensured that each healthcare professional understood their responsibilities, reducing redundancy and ensuring that all aspects of patient care were addressed efficiently. However, the study also identified barriers to collaboration, such as differing work schedules and occasional misunderstandings about roles. Addressing these barriers through targeted interventions, such as joint training programs and standardized communication protocols, could further enhance the effectiveness of interdisciplinary teamwork in sepsis management.

Another important aspect highlighted in the findings was the reduced laboratory test turnaround times in cases managed by an interdisciplinary team. Rapid diagnostic support is crucial in sepsis care, as delays in

identifying the causative pathogen can hinder appropriate antimicrobial therapy. The collaboration between laboratory specialists and clinical staff facilitated faster processing and reporting of critical test results, which directly contributed to improved patient outcomes. This finding underscores the importance of including laboratory specialists as integral members of the sepsis management team.

The study's qualitative data also shed light on the positive impact that interdisciplinary teamwork had on patient care. Many participants expressed that the combined expertise of pharmacists, laboratory specialists, and nurses led to more comprehensive and holistic care for sepsis patients. This aligns with the concept that sepsis, being a complex and rapidly progressing condition, requires input from multiple disciplines to ensure optimal patient management (Rhodes et al., 2017). The integration of knowledge from different specialties allows for a more nuanced approach to treatment, addressing various aspects of patient care, from diagnostics to therapeutics and ongoing monitoring.

However, the study also identified certain challenges to effective interdisciplinary collaboration. Differing work schedules, lack of familiarity with each other's roles, and occasional communication breakdowns were noted as barriers that sometimes impeded the efficiency of sepsis management. These findings are consistent with previous research indicating that systemic challenges and role ambiguity can hinder effective teamwork in healthcare settings (Reich et al., 2018). To overcome these barriers, healthcare institutions should consider implementing standardized communication tools, such as SBAR (Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation), and promoting joint educational initiatives to foster a deeper understanding of each discipline's role in sepsis management.

In conclusion, the findings from this study provide strong evidence that interdisciplinary collaboration involving pharmacists, laboratory specialists, and nurses significantly enhances the management of sepsis in hospitalized patients. The combination of reduced diagnostic and treatment times, lower mortality rates, and improved patient outcomes highlights the value of a coordinated approach to sepsis care. Addressing the identified barriers to collaboration through targeted interventions could further strengthen the impact of interdisciplinary teamwork, ultimately leading to better patient outcomes. Future research should explore strategies to optimize collaboration, including technological solutions and policy changes, to facilitate seamless communication and coordination among healthcare professionals involved in sepsis management.

References:

- 1. Cotta, M. O., Roberts, J. A., Tabah, A., Lipman, J., Vogelaers, D., & Blot, S. (2014). Antimicrobial stewardship of β-lactams in intensive care units. *Expert review of anti-infective therapy*, *12*(5), 581-595.
- 2. Fan, S. L., Miller, N. S., Lee, J., & Remick, D. G. (2016). Diagnosing sepsis–The role of laboratory medicine. *Clinicachimica acta*, 460, 203-210.
- García-López, L., Grau-Cerrato, S., de Frutos-Soto, A., Bobillo-De Lamo, F., Cítores-Gónzalez, R., Diez-Gutierrez, F., ... & Sepsis Code Work Group. (2017). Impact of the implementation of a Sepsis Code hospital protocol in antibiotic prescription and clinical outcomes in an intensive care unit. *MedicinaIntensiva (English Edition)*, 41(1), 12-20.
- 4. Kleinpell, R. (2017). Promoting early identification of sepsis in hospitalized patients with nurse-led protocols. *Critical care*, 21, 1-3.
- O'Leary, K. J., Buck, R., Fligiel, H. M., Haviley, C., Slade, M. E., Landler, M. P., ... & Wayne, D. B. (2011). Structured interdisciplinary rounds in a medical teaching unit: improving patient safety. *Archives* of *Internal Medicine*, 171(7), 678-684.

- 6. Reich, E. N., Then, K. L., & Rankin, J. A. (2018). Barriers to clinical practice guideline implementation for septic patients in the emergency department. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 44(6), 552-562.
- Rhee, C., Dantes, R., Epstein, L., Murphy, D. J., Seymour, C. W., Iwashyna, T. J., ... & CDC Prevention Epicenter Program. (2017). Incidence and trends of sepsis in US hospitals using clinical vs claims data, 2009-2014. *Jama*, 318(13), 1241-1249.
- Rhodes, A., Evans, L. E., Alhazzani, W., Levy, M. M., Antonelli, M., Ferrer, R., ... & Dellinger, R. P. (2017). Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock: 2016. *Intensive care medicine*, 43, 304-377.
- Singer, M., Deutschman, C. S., Seymour, C. W., Shankar-Hari, M., Annane, D., Bauer, M., ... & Angus, D. C. (2016). The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). *Jama*, *315*(8), 801-810.