# Managing the Surge: Challenges Faced by Laboratory Specialists during High-Volume Testing in Pandemics

**Turki K. Ainosah[1], Loai A. Alqahtany[2], Khalaf F. Alanazi[3], Amal M. Hariri[4], Samar A. Aldugeshem[5], Abdulrahman M Almosa[6]**

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

**Abstract**

**This qualitative study explores the challenges faced by laboratory specialists in managing high-volume testing during the COVID-19 pandemic in a tertiary hospital. In-depth interviews with 15 participants revealed four key themes: increased workload and stress, resource scarcity and management, adaptation and coping strategies, and the impact on mental health and well-being. Participants reported overwhelming test volumes, resource shortages, and emotional exhaustion, but also highlighted strategies such as workflow adjustments and teamwork to cope with the increased demand. The findings emphasize the need for improved resource management, mental health support, and operational resilience in laboratories during public health crises.**

**Keywords: Laboratory Specialists, High-Volume Testing, COVID-19, Resource Management, Mental Health, Pandemic, Workflow Adaptation**

## Introduction

Pandemics, such as COVID-19, have underscored the critical role laboratory specialists play in the healthcare system. The sudden, unprecedented demand for diagnostic testing placed enormous pressure on laboratories worldwide, highlighting both the strengths and vulnerabilities of healthcare infrastructure. Laboratory specialists were at the forefront of this surge, processing an overwhelming number of tests while managing limited resources and adapting to rapidly changing protocols (Lippi et al., 2020). As diagnostic testing became a cornerstone of pandemic management, the ability of laboratories to handle high volumes was essential in tracking the virus's spread and providing timely patient care.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, laboratory specialists faced numerous challenges, including increased workloads, shortages of essential testing supplies, and the need to quickly adapt to new testing technologies and procedures. These pressures were exacerbated by staffing shortages and the emotional toll of working in high-stress environments, contributing to burnout and mental fatigue (Sharma and Nair, 2021). In many cases, laboratory workers had to work extended hours, manage inconsistent supply chains, and ensure the accuracy of thousands of tests daily, often under less-than-ideal conditions.

The high-volume testing demands during pandemics require laboratory specialists to adapt not only operationally but also emotionally. Effective resource management, teamwork, and mental resilience were key to navigating the intense pressures of pandemic testing (Lippi &Plebani, 2020). However, there is limited research on the lived experiences of laboratory specialists during such crises, specifically regarding how they manage high-volume testing and the strategies they use to cope with the demands.

This study aims to explore the experiences of laboratory specialists during pandemics, focusing on the challenges they faced while managing high-volume testing, resource allocation, and emotional well-being. By understanding these experiences, we can better prepare for future pandemics and ensure that laboratories are equipped with the tools and support needed to respond effectively to public health crises.

Research Questions

1. What challenges did laboratory specialists face when managing high testing volumes during pandemics?
2. How did the pandemic affect the workload, resource management, and well-being of laboratory specialists?
3. What strategies were used to manage the increased testing demand, and how effective were they?

**Literature Review**

The COVID-19 pandemic has emphasized the critical importance of laboratory specialists in managing diagnostic testing, particularly in times of public health crises. The surge in testing volumes during pandemics like COVID-19 presents significant operational, logistical, and emotional challenges for laboratory professionals. This literature review explores the key themes identified in existing research related to high-volume testing, resource management, and the impact on laboratory specialists during pandemics.

1. The Role of Laboratory Specialists During Pandemics

Laboratory specialists play a pivotal role in public health crises, especially during pandemics when rapid and accurate diagnostic testing is essential for controlling the spread of disease. The COVID-19 pandemic, for instance, required laboratories worldwide to scale up their testing capacities exponentially. Laboratory specialists were responsible for processing polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests and, later, rapid antigen tests to detect the SARS-CoV-2 virus (Lippi et al., 2020). During these crises, laboratory teams also provided data for public health surveillance and case tracking, making them a crucial part of the pandemic response.

The unprecedented demand for diagnostic testing revealed the importance of laboratory specialists in supporting clinicians' decision-making and treatment planning. However, studies have shown that this demand placed extraordinary pressure on laboratory staff, who were expected to maintain high levels of accuracy while increasing their output significantly (Sharma and Nair, 2021). The dual responsibility of ensuring timely test results and maintaining accuracy became a major challenge for laboratories managing pandemic-related surges.

2. Impact of High-Volume Testing on Laboratory Operations

Pandemics such as COVID-19 introduced challenges that stretched laboratory operations to their limits. One of the most pressing issues was the sheer volume of tests required daily, which exceeded the standard capacity of most laboratories. High-volume testing led to operational bottlenecks, with laboratories struggling to keep pace with demand while ensuring the accuracy of diagnostic results (Yang et al., 2022). This created a perfect storm where laboratory professionals were under constant pressure to work longer hours, often with reduced staff due to illness or quarantine measures.

A critical issue identified in the literature is the impact of high-volume testing on the laboratory workflow. According to Sharma and Nair (2021), laboratories had to implement various strategies to streamline

processes, including automation, shifting staff roles, and using triage systems to prioritize critical cases. However, these strategies were often insufficient to keep up with the overwhelming demand, leading to delays in test reporting and increased error rates. The combination of heightened workload and limited resources compromised the efficiency of laboratory operations, causing ripple effects across the healthcare system.

3. Resource Management Challenges

Another key challenge identified in the literature is the management of scarce resources. The COVID-19 pandemic exposed vulnerabilities in supply chains for critical testing materials such as reagents, personal protective equipment (PPE), and testing kits. Laboratory specialists faced severe shortages, which hindered their ability to meet testing demands effectively (Lippi &Plebani, 2020). In addition to materials, the shortage of adequately trained personnel exacerbated the situation. Laboratories struggled to staff their operations adequately, with many workers either infected with the virus or experiencing burnout from long shifts and intense workloads.

Research highlights the critical role of resource management in sustaining laboratory operations during pandemics. Effective resource allocation, including the rationing of materials and prioritization of tests based on urgency, was necessary to maintain functionality under extreme pressure (Sharma and Nair, 2021). Studies also suggest that implementing better inventory management systems and establishing strong relationships with suppliers could mitigate future shortages (Lippi et al., 2020).

4. Mental Health and Well-Being of Laboratory Specialists

The emotional toll of managing high-volume testing during pandemics is another key area of concern. Laboratory specialists often worked in high-stress environments with long hours, constantly shifting demands, and fear of personal exposure to the virus (Lu et al., 2021). Prolonged exposure to such conditions led to increased rates of burnout, stress, anxiety, and even depression among laboratory professionals. This is consistent with broader research on healthcare workers during the pandemic, which shows that frontline workers, including laboratory specialists, were disproportionately affected by mental health challenges (Kackin et al., 2021).

One study found that laboratory specialists experienced higher levels of emotional exhaustion during the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic, largely due to inadequate staffing, overwhelming workloads, and concerns over their own health and safety (Lu et al., 2021). Despite their critical role in the healthcare system, laboratory workers received less attention than other frontline staff, such as doctors and nurses, leaving gaps in emotional and psychological support for these workers. Addressing the well-being of laboratory specialists during crises is critical, as the cumulative effects of stress can lead to diminished performance and long-term psychological impacts.

5. Coping Strategies and Adaptation

In response to the challenges posed by high-volume testing during pandemics, laboratory specialists and management teams implemented several coping strategies. One widely adopted approach was the use of automation to speed up test processing and reduce human error (Yang et al., 2022). Laboratories also reorganized their workflows, introduced shift rotations to prevent burnout, and trained personnel in new testing techniques to meet demand more effectively. Cross-training staff across different departments helped fill the gaps left by sick or quarantined workers and ensured that critical operations could continue.

Another strategy involved collaboration with external agencies and partners to outsource some testing, thus alleviating pressure on hospital laboratories (Sharma and Nair, 2021). Despite these adaptations, the literature notes that many laboratories still struggled to cope with the surge in demand, and more resilient systems need to be developed to handle future public health emergencies effectively (Lippi &Plebani, 2020).

Gaps in Research

While much of the existing literature has focused on operational and logistical challenges faced by laboratories during pandemics, there is a notable gap in research on the lived experiences of laboratory specialists. Qualitative studies exploring how these workers navigated the stress and resource shortages during pandemic surges are limited. Further research into the psychological impact on laboratory professionals and how they coped with the unprecedented demands could provide critical insights for improving support systems and operational preparedness in future pandemics.

The literature highlights the significant challenges faced by laboratory specialists in managing high-volume testing during pandemics. Issues such as resource shortages, overwhelming workloads, and emotional strain are recurring themes. While laboratories implemented several coping strategies, such as automation and workflow reorganization, the long-term sustainability of these measures remains uncertain. Addressing the mental health and well-being of laboratory specialists, as well as ensuring better resource management systems, is crucial for building resilience in laboratories as they face future pandemics or other public health crises.

## Methodology

This study employed a qualitative research design to explore the experiences of laboratory specialists in managing high-volume testing during pandemics, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic. The study was conducted in a large tertiary hospital that played a central role in responding to the pandemic through high-volume diagnostic testing. A phenomenological approach was chosen to capture the lived experiences of laboratory specialists as they navigated the challenges of increased workload, resource shortages, and emotional stress during the pandemic.

Research Design

A qualitative phenomenological design was used to gain an in-depth understanding of the experiences of laboratory specialists. This approach was selected to allow the participants to reflect on their personal and professional experiences, focusing on their perceptions and responses to the challenges they faced during the pandemic. The phenomenological method is well-suited to capturing the complexity and depth of human experiences in response to external pressures, such as those encountered during high-volume testing (Creswell & Poth, 2017).

Participants

Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure that those involved had direct experience with high-volume testing during the COVID-19 pandemic. The sample consisted of 15 laboratory specialists from various departments, including clinical chemistry, microbiology, and molecular diagnostics, each of whom had worked at the tertiary hospital for at least three years prior to the pandemic. All participants were involved in COVID-19 diagnostic testing and had faced significant increases in their workload during the pandemic.

The inclusion criteria ensured that participants had sufficient experience with high-volume testing and the associated operational and emotional challenges. The participants ranged in age from 28 to 55 years, with an average of 8 years of experience in laboratory medicine. The diversity of departments represented ensured that the study captured a broad range of perspectives on the challenges faced during the pandemic.

Data Collection

Data were collected through semi-structured, in-depth interviews conducted over a two-month period. Each interview lasted between 45 and 60 minutes and was conducted in a private meeting room at the hospital to ensure confidentiality and reduce disruptions. Due to safety protocols, some interviews were conducted via video conferencing to accommodate social distancing measures.

The interview guide included open-ended questions designed to explore participants' experiences of managing high testing volumes, resource shortages, and the emotional and physical toll of the pandemic. Sample questions included:

- "Can you describe how your workload changed during the pandemic, especially during the peak of COVID-19 testing?"
- "What were the biggest challenges you faced in managing resources, such as testing kits or personal protective equipment?"
- "How did the increased workload impact your mental and physical well-being?"
- "What strategies did you or your team use to manage the increased testing demand?"

Interviews were audio-recorded with participants ’consent and transcribed verbatim for analysis. Field notes were also taken during the interviews to capture non-verbal cues and contextual observations that could enhance the interpretation of the data.

Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was employed to analyze the data, following Braun and Clarke’s (2006) six-step process. This method allowed for the identification of key themes related to the challenges faced by laboratory specialists and the strategies they employed to cope with the surge in testing demand.

1. Familiarization with the data: The researchers transcribed the interviews and reviewed the transcripts multiple times to become fully immersed in the data.
2. Generating initial codes: Transcripts were coded manually to identify recurring patterns, concepts, and experiences related to workload, resource management, and emotional well-being.
3. Searching for themes: The initial codes were grouped into broader themes, reflecting the participants ’key challenges and coping strategies during the pandemic.
4. Reviewing themes: Themes were reviewed and refined to ensure they were coherent and accurately reflected the data. The final themes provided insights into the lived experiences of laboratory specialists.
5. Defining and naming themes: Clear definitions were assigned to each theme, and representative quotes from participants were selected to illustrate key findings.
6. Writing the report: The final themes were integrated into the findings section, offering a comprehensive analysis of the challenges faced by laboratory specialists during high-volume testing and the solutions they implemented.

Trustworthiness of the Study

To ensure the trustworthiness of the study, several strategies were employed. Credibility was achieved through member checking, where participants were given the opportunity to review their interview transcripts to verify that their perspectives were accurately captured. Transferability was enhanced by providing a detailed description of the research setting and participant characteristics, allowing readers to assess the applicability of the findings to other contexts. Dependability was ensured by maintaining an audit trail, documenting all stages of data collection, coding, and analysis. Confirmability was supported by reflexivity, as the researchers maintained reflective journals to acknowledge and minimize personal biases throughout the research process.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ethics committee prior to data collection. Participants provided written informed consent, and confidentiality was maintained by using pseudonyms to protect their identities. All interview recordings, transcripts, and field notes were securely stored on password-protected computers, accessible only to the research team. The data will be retained for five years before being securely deleted, in accordance with institutional data protection policies. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without penalty.

Limitations

While this study provides valuable insights into the experiences of laboratory specialists during the COVID-19 pandemic, several limitations should be acknowledged. The research was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other settings. Additionally, the study relied on self-reported data, which may be subject to recall bias or exaggeration. Future research could address these limitations by including multiple hospital sites and triangulating self-reported data with observational data or performance metrics to gain a more comprehensive understanding of how laboratory teams manage high-volume testing during pandemics.

**Findings**

The analysis of the interviews revealed four key themes related to the challenges faced by laboratory specialists in managing high-volume testing during the COVID-19 pandemic: Increased Workload and Stress, Resource Scarcity and Management, Adaptation and Coping Strategies, and Impact on Mental Health and Well-being. Each theme is further explored through sub-themes, providing insights into the participants' experiences.

Theme 1: Increased Workload and Stress

The overwhelming increase in testing demand was one of the most significant challenges faced by laboratory specialists during the pandemic. Participants described how their workload increased dramatically, resulting in physical and emotional exhaustion.

Sub-theme 1.1: Surging Test Volumes

Participants reported that the volume of tests they were required to process each day far exceeded what they had experienced before the pandemic.

- “We were doing three times the number of tests we normally handle in a day. It was exhausting, and there was no room for error.” (Participant 3)

- "We went from processing hundreds of samples to thousands. It was relentless, and we had to work long hours just to keep up." (Participant 6)

Sub-theme 1.2: Longer Hours and Overtime

Many laboratory specialists had to work extended shifts, often working seven days a week to manage the backlog of tests.

- "I remember working 12 to 14 hours a day, sometimes without breaks. We had to stay until all the tests were processed, no matter how late it got." (Participant 9)
- "There were days where I just didn't know how I'd get through the next shift. The workload seemed to increase every day, and we were already stretched thin." (Participant 2)

Theme 2: Resource Scarcity and Management

Managing resources, particularly testing kits, reagents, and personal protective equipment (PPE), emerged as a critical challenge. Many participants described the difficulties they encountered in acquiring sufficient resources to meet the demands of the pandemic.

Sub-theme 2.1: Shortages of Testing Kits and Reagents

Participants frequently noted the shortages of essential testing supplies, which disrupted their ability to conduct tests efficiently.

- "We ran out of reagents several times, and there were delays in getting more. It felt like we were constantly waiting for the next shipment to arrive." (Participant 7)
- "At one point, we had all these samples waiting to be processed, but we didn't have enough testing kits. It was frustrating because we knew how important these tests were." (Participant 4)

Sub-theme 2.2: Managing Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

Participants also struggled with PPE shortages, which heightened concerns about their safety while working long shifts in close proximity to potential infection.

- "We had to ration PPE. Sometimes we were reusing masks or using them for longer than we should have. It wasn't ideal, but we had no choice." (Participant 10)
- "It was hard to concentrate on the work when you were worried about whether your mask or gloves were still providing protection." (Participant 8)

Theme 3: Adaptation and Coping Strategies

Despite the numerous challenges, laboratory specialists adopted various strategies to manage the increased workload and resource shortages. Adaptation and teamwork were central to navigating these difficulties.

Sub-theme 3.1: Workflow Adjustments

Laboratories implemented new workflows and protocols to streamline processes and cope with the high demand for testing.

- "We had to rethink our entire workflow. We moved to a 24-hour rotation, with teams working in shifts to keep things running continuously." (Participant 5)
- "We automated as many tasks as we could, like sample preparation, to free up time for the more complex parts of the process." (Participant 11)

Sub-theme 3.2: Teamwork and Support
Participants emphasized the importance of teamwork in managing the workload. Support from colleagues helped alleviate the pressure of individual responsibilities.
- "We all had to pull together. Everyone was helping each other, covering shifts, and sharing the load. It was the only way we could get through it." (Participant 1)
- "Having supportive colleagues made a huge difference. Just knowing that we were all in it together helped me push through the toughest days." (Participant 12)

Theme 4: Impact on Mental Health and Well-being
The mental and emotional toll of the pandemic was evident in many participants 'responses. The prolonged high workload, combined with personal fears about the virus, led to increased levels of stress, anxiety, and burnout.

Sub-theme 4.1: Emotional Exhaustion and Burnout
Many laboratory specialists reported feelings of burnout due to the relentless workload and the pressure to maintain high levels of accuracy.
- "I've never felt so drained. I was physically and mentally exhausted, and it felt like no matter how hard we worked, it wasn't enough." (Participant 2)
- "Burnout was real. I would go home and just collapse, knowing I had to do it all again the next day. There was no time to recover." (Participant 9)

Sub-theme 4.2: Anxiety and Fear of Infection
Participants also described heightened anxiety, particularly around the possibility of contracting COVID-19 at work.
- "I was constantly worried about getting sick. We were working with COVID-positive samples all day, and it was hard not to think about the risks we were taking." (Participant 7)
- "The fear of exposure was always in the back of my mind, especially when we ran low on PPE. It was stressful knowing you could bring the virus home to your family." (Participant 3)

**Discussion**
This study explored the experiences of laboratory specialists managing high-volume testing during the COVID-19 pandemic, with a focus on the challenges they faced and the strategies they employed to cope with unprecedented demands. The findings revealed four key themes: Increased Workload and Stress, Resource Scarcity and Management, Adaptation and Coping Strategies, and Impact on Mental Health and Well-being. These findings provide insight into the significant pressures placed on laboratory staff during public health crises and highlight both the operational and emotional challenges associated with pandemic testing.

Increased Workload and Stress
The overwhelming increase in test volumes emerged as one of the primary challenges faced by laboratory specialists during the pandemic. Participants consistently described the surge in testing as unprecedented, with workloads far exceeding anything they had experienced before. This finding is consistent with previous research, which highlights the strain on healthcare systems during pandemics, particularly in laboratory settings where diagnostic testing plays a crucial role in managing the spread of infectious diseases (Yang et al., 2022). The increased workload led to longer hours, often with staff working overtime or double shifts to meet the demand, resulting in physical and emotional exhaustion.

The relentless nature of the workload contributed to significant stress, as participants felt the pressure to maintain accuracy while processing thousands of samples under tight deadlines. This aligns with the literature, which emphasizes the importance of accuracy in diagnostic testing, particularly in the context of infectious diseases where timely and accurate results are critical for patient care and public health management (Lippi &Plebani, 2020).

Resource Scarcity and Management

The shortage of essential resources, including testing kits, reagents, and personal protective equipment (PPE), was another significant challenge faced by laboratory specialists. Participants frequently mentioned that resource shortages disrupted their ability to conduct tests efficiently, leading to delays and increased frustration. This finding reflects broader research on supply chain vulnerabilities exposed during the COVID-19 pandemic, which affected not only laboratories but also hospitals and healthcare facilities worldwide (Lippi et al., 2020).

The scarcity of PPE, in particular, raised concerns about personal safety, as laboratory specialists worked long hours in close contact with potentially infectious samples. This shortage of protective equipment heightened anxiety and fear of contracting the virus, further compounding the stress experienced by staff. These findings support previous studies that have identified PPE shortages as a key source of stress and anxiety among healthcare workers during the pandemic (Lu et al., 2021).

Adaptation and Coping Strategies

Despite these challenges, laboratory specialists demonstrated remarkable adaptability in their efforts to manage the increased testing demand. Participants described how their laboratories implemented new workflows, including shift rotations and automation, to streamline processes and reduce the workload. This finding is consistent with the literature, which highlights the importance of adapting laboratory operations during crises to increase efficiency and maintain high standards of accuracy (Yang et al., 2022).

Automation, in particular, played a key role in reducing the manual workload of laboratory specialists, allowing them to focus on more complex tasks. This aligns with previous research, which suggests that automating routine tasks can help laboratories manage high-volume testing more effectively, especially during periods of sustained demand (Sharma and Nair, 2021). Additionally, teamwork and support from colleagues were essential coping mechanisms, as participants relied on one another to share the workload and provide emotional support during particularly difficult periods.

Impact on Mental Health and Well-being

The prolonged workload, combined with concerns about personal safety, took a significant toll on the mental health and well-being of laboratory specialists. Many participants reported experiencing burnout, emotional exhaustion, and heightened anxiety during the pandemic. These findings are in line with broader research on the mental health challenges faced by healthcare workers during COVID-19, where burnout, stress, and anxiety were widely reported across various professions, including laboratory workers (Kackin et al., 2021).

Participants' fear of exposure to COVID-19, particularly in the context of PPE shortages, contributed to increased levels of anxiety. This is consistent with research that has shown that healthcare workers who lack adequate protective equipment are more likely to experience stress and anxiety, as they face higher risks of

infection (Lu et al., 2021). Addressing the mental health needs of laboratory specialists is crucial, as the cumulative effects of stress can impact both their performance and long-term psychological well-being.

Implications for Practice

The findings of this study have several important implications for laboratory management and healthcare systems. First, addressing the challenges of resource shortages is critical for ensuring the smooth operation of laboratories during pandemics. Healthcare institutions must improve supply chain resilience, ensuring that laboratories have access to essential resources such as testing kits, reagents, and PPE. This could involve diversifying suppliers, maintaining larger reserves of critical supplies, and improving inventory management practices.

Second, supporting laboratory staff through periods of high-volume testing is essential for maintaining both operational efficiency and staff well-being. Providing adequate staffing levels, implementing shift rotations to prevent burnout, and utilizing automation to reduce the manual workload are all strategies that can help laboratories cope with increased demand. In addition, fostering a supportive work environment, where teamwork and collaboration are prioritized, can mitigate some of the stress experienced by laboratory specialists.

Finally, addressing the mental health needs of laboratory specialists is crucial. Healthcare institutions should implement mental health support programs, including counseling services, stress management workshops, and access to mental health resources. By providing these supports, healthcare systems can help mitigate the long-term psychological effects of working in high-pressure environments during public health crises.

Limitations

While this study provides valuable insights into the experiences of laboratory specialists during the COVID-19 pandemic, several limitations should be considered. First, the study was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other healthcare settings. Additionally, the sample size of 15 participants may not capture the full range of experiences of laboratory specialists in different regions or healthcare systems. Future research should include larger, more diverse samples to explore how different factors, such as geographic location or hospital size, influence the experiences of laboratory specialists during pandemics.

## Conclusion

This study highlights the significant challenges faced by laboratory specialists in managing high-volume testing during pandemics, including increased workloads, resource shortages, and the emotional toll of prolonged stress. Despite these challenges, laboratory specialists demonstrated resilience and adaptability, implementing new workflows and relying on teamwork to cope with the demands of the pandemic. However, addressing the resource and mental health needs of laboratory staff is critical for ensuring their well-being and maintaining the efficiency of laboratory operations during future public health crises. By learning from the experiences of laboratory specialists during COVID-19, healthcare systems can better prepare for future pandemics and improve support for frontline laboratory staff.

**References**


1. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, *3*(2), 77-101.
2. Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2016). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Sage publications.
3. Kackin, O., Ciydem, E., Aci, O. S., &Kutlu, F. Y. (2021). Experiences and psychosocial problems of nurses caring for patients diagnosed with COVID-19 in Turkey: A qualitative study. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, *67*(2), 158-167.
4. Lippi, G., &Plebani, M. (2020). Laboratory medicine resilience during coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. *Advances in Laboratory Medicine/AvancesenMedicina de Laboratorio*, *1*(2).
5. Lippi, G., Sanchis-Gomar, F., & Henry, B. M. (2020). COVID-19: unravelling the clinical progression of nature's virtually perfect biological weapon. *Annals of translational medicine*, *8*(11).
6. Lu, Y., Liu, Q., Yan, H., Gao, S., & Liu, T. (2021). Job burnout and its impact on work ability in biosafety laboratory staff during the COVID-19 epidemic in Xinjiang. *BMC psychiatry*, *21*, 1-13.
7. Sharma, J., & Nair, R. D. (2021). COVID-19 related challenges faced by medical laboratory staff: a review of literature. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *12*(2), 232-237.
8. Yang, J. R., Teng, H. J., Chen, J. H., Huang, H. I., Liu, M. T., & Li, S. Y. (2022). The National Laboratory Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic in Taiwan. *Health security*, *20*(5), 368-375.