

# Assessing the Impact of Nutrition-Pharmacy-Lab Collaboration in Managing Nutritional Anemia among Hospitalized Patients: A Multidisciplinary Approach

Hend A. Alzamil<sup>1</sup>, Mona J. Alsadoon<sup>2</sup>,  
Ghadah A. Alharbi<sup>3</sup>, Hani M. Alenezi<sup>4</sup>, Fay A. Alkhuredly<sup>5</sup>

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

## Abstract

**Background:** Nutritional anemia is a common condition among hospitalized patients, requiring a multidisciplinary approach for effective management. This study aimed to assess the impact of collaboration between clinical nutritionists, pharmacists, and laboratory technicians in managing nutritional anemia in a tertiary hospital.

**Methods:** A total of 120 hospitalized patients diagnosed with nutritional anemia received individualized nutritional plans, pharmacological interventions, and regular laboratory monitoring. Key hematological parameters were measured at baseline and discharge to assess the effectiveness of the intervention. Patient compliance with dietary and medication recommendations was also monitored.

**Results:** Significant improvements were observed in hemoglobin, serum iron, ferritin, and vitamin B12 levels ( $p < 0.001$ ). Anemia was resolved in 75% of patients by discharge, with these patients experiencing shorter hospital stays. High adherence to nutritional and pharmacological interventions contributed to these positive outcomes.

**Conclusion:** A multidisciplinary approach involving clinical nutrition, pharmacy, and laboratory collaboration significantly improves the management of nutritional anemia in hospitalized patients. This model of care reduces anemia and shortens hospital stays, highlighting the value of coordinated care.

**Keywords:** Nutritional Anemia, Multidisciplinary Collaboration, Clinical Nutrition, Pharmacy, Laboratory Diagnostics, Hospital Care, Anemia Management

## Introduction

Nutritional anemia is a widespread condition affecting hospitalized patients, particularly those with chronic illnesses or undergoing lengthy treatments. It is often caused by deficiencies in iron, vitamin B12, or folic acid, which are essential for the production of healthy red blood cells (Lopez et al., 2016). Nutritional anemia can lead to fatigue, delayed recovery, and increased morbidity, particularly in vulnerable populations such as the elderly or critically ill patients. Managing this condition effectively in hospital settings requires not only addressing the dietary deficits but also coordinating pharmacological interventions and continuous monitoring through laboratory diagnostics. In many hospitals, the management of nutritional

anemia is fragmented, with nutritionists, pharmacists, and laboratory technicians working in isolation. However, recent research has highlighted the potential benefits of an integrated, multidisciplinary approach (Al Farhan, 2021). Clinical nutritionists play a key role in identifying dietary deficiencies and recommending appropriate nutritional interventions, such as iron or vitamin supplements. Pharmacists, on the other hand, ensure that patients receive the correct pharmacological treatments, including iron supplements or vitamin B12 injections, and monitor for potential drug-nutrient interactions. Laboratory technicians provide critical diagnostic data, including hemoglobin levels, serum ferritin, and serum iron, which guide the management of anemia (Thomas et al., 2013).

This study aims to assess the effectiveness of a collaborative approach between clinical nutritionists, pharmacists, and laboratory technicians in managing nutritional anemia among hospitalized patients. By coordinating nutritional interventions, pharmacotherapy, and lab monitoring, we seek to determine whether this multidisciplinary model improves patient outcomes, such as quicker anemia resolution and shorter hospital stays.

## Literature Review

### Nutritional Anemia in Hospitalized Patients

Nutritional anemia, commonly caused by deficiencies in iron, vitamin B12, and folic acid, is a significant concern in hospitalized patients. These deficiencies can result from inadequate dietary intake, chronic diseases, or medication use that interferes with nutrient absorption (Lopez et al., 2016). Hospitalized patients, particularly those with chronic conditions, are at increased risk for nutritional anemia due to a combination of poor nutritional status, increased metabolic demands, and inflammatory processes that impair the body's ability to absorb or utilize essential nutrients (Randi et al., 2020).

Research indicates that untreated anemia in hospitalized patients can lead to prolonged hospital stays, delayed recovery, and an overall decline in patient outcomes (Verhaeghe et al., 2017). The effects of nutritional anemia are particularly severe in elderly patients, where anemia has been linked to increased mortality and functional decline (Remond et al., 2015). Addressing anemia in hospital settings requires timely diagnosis and a coordinated approach to treatment, which includes nutritional interventions, pharmacotherapy, and laboratory monitoring.

### Role of Clinical Nutrition in Managing Nutritional Anemia

Clinical nutritionists play a critical role in managing nutritional anemia, particularly in identifying and addressing the underlying dietary deficiencies. The World Health Organization (WHO) emphasizes the importance of nutritional assessment in diagnosing anemia and guiding appropriate interventions, such as dietary modifications or supplementation with iron, vitamin B12, or folic acid (WHO, 2020). Studies show that dietary counseling and nutritional supplementation are effective in correcting nutrient deficiencies and improving anemia outcomes in hospitalized patients (Lopez et al., 2016).

Nutritionists also help to tailor interventions to meet the individual needs of patients, considering factors such as underlying health conditions, dietary preferences, and potential food-drug interactions. For example, patients receiving iron supplements may need to avoid calcium-rich foods, which can inhibit iron absorption (Gaweda et al., 2014). The importance of individualized care and close collaboration with other healthcare professionals is key to optimizing anemia management.

### Pharmacological Interventions in Nutritional Anemia

Pharmacists play an essential role in managing nutritional anemia by prescribing and monitoring the pharmacological treatments necessary to address nutrient deficiencies. Iron supplementation, either oral or intravenous, is a common treatment for iron-deficiency anemia, while vitamin B12 injections or folic acid tablets are used to treat deficiencies in these nutrients (Verhaeghe et al., 2017). Pharmacists are responsible for ensuring that these medications are administered correctly, monitoring for adverse effects, and adjusting doses as needed.

In addition to managing the medications themselves, pharmacists collaborate with nutritionists to ensure that patients are receiving the appropriate nutrients alongside their medications. Drug-nutrient interactions can significantly impact the effectiveness of treatments; for example, certain medications can interfere with the absorption of iron or vitamin B12 (Rothkopf and Johnson, 2022). Pharmacists' expertise in medication management is thus critical in ensuring the success of the overall treatment plan for anemia.

### The Role of Laboratory Diagnostics in Anemia Management

Laboratory diagnostics are essential in the accurate diagnosis and monitoring of nutritional anemia. Hemoglobin, serum ferritin, serum iron, and transferrin saturation are common laboratory markers used to diagnose iron deficiency anemia, while vitamin B12 and folate levels are measured to detect deficiencies in these essential vitamins (Thomas et al., 2013). Regular monitoring of these biomarkers allows healthcare providers to assess the effectiveness of treatment interventions and make necessary adjustments.

Laboratory technicians play a key role in conducting these diagnostic tests and ensuring the accuracy and reliability of the results. Close collaboration between laboratory technicians, nutritionists, and pharmacists allows for timely adjustments to treatment plans based on up-to-date laboratory data. This multidisciplinary approach helps prevent overtreatment or undertreatment, both of which can have significant adverse effects on patient outcomes (Remond et al., 2015).

### The Need for Multidisciplinary Collaboration in Anemia Management

Multidisciplinary collaboration has been shown to improve patient outcomes in the management of various conditions, including nutritional anemia. A study by Al Farhan (2021) found that hospitals that implemented a multidisciplinary approach to anemia management, involving nutritionists, pharmacists, and laboratory staff, saw significant improvements in patient outcomes, including faster anemia resolution and shorter hospital stays. The integration of expertise from different healthcare professionals allows for a more comprehensive approach to patient care, addressing not only the nutritional and pharmacological needs of the patient but also ensuring that treatment decisions are informed by accurate laboratory data.

This collaborative approach is particularly important in managing anemia in hospitalized patients, where timely intervention is critical. Anemia can exacerbate underlying health conditions and delay recovery, making it essential that patients receive coordinated care from multiple disciplines (Lopez et al., 2016). By working together, clinical nutritionists, pharmacists, and laboratory technicians can ensure that patients receive the appropriate nutritional support, medications, and monitoring needed to correct nutritional anemia and improve overall health outcomes.

The management of nutritional anemia in hospitalized patients requires a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach that integrates clinical nutrition, pharmacotherapy, and laboratory diagnostics. While each discipline plays a distinct role in addressing the various aspects of anemia, collaboration among

nutritionists, pharmacists, and laboratory technicians is crucial for optimizing patient care. This literature review highlights the importance of such collaboration and underscores the need for more integrated models of care in managing nutritional anemia in hospital settings.

## Methodology

### Study Design

This study employed a cross-sectional, observational design conducted at a tertiary hospital over a period of six months. The objective of the study was to assess the effectiveness of a multidisciplinary approach involving clinical nutritionists, pharmacists, and laboratory technicians in managing nutritional anemia among hospitalized patients.

### Study Setting

The study was conducted in the medical wards of the tertiary hospital, which admitted patients with various chronic conditions, many of whom were at risk for or diagnosed with nutritional anemia. The clinical nutrition, pharmacy, and laboratory departments worked in collaboration to assess, treat, and monitor these patients throughout their hospital stay.

### Study Population

The study population consisted of adult patients (aged 18 and older) who were diagnosed with nutritional anemia upon admission or during their hospital stay. A total of 150 patients were initially assessed, with 120 meeting the inclusion criteria.

### Inclusion criteria:

- Diagnosed with nutritional anemia, defined as hemoglobin levels below the normal range (males <13.5 g/dL, females <12.0 g/dL) with accompanying low serum iron, ferritin, or vitamin B12 levels.
- Hospitalized for at least 7 days to allow for proper monitoring of nutritional and pharmacological interventions.
- Willingness to provide informed consent.

### Exclusion criteria:

- Patients with non-nutritional forms of anemia (e.g., hemolytic anemia, anemia due to chronic kidney disease).
- Patients on long-term parenteral nutrition prior to admission.

### Intervention

A multidisciplinary approach was implemented, integrating the efforts of clinical nutritionists, pharmacists, and laboratory technicians to manage nutritional anemia. The intervention consisted of three main components:

#### 1. Nutritional Assessment and Intervention

Upon diagnosis of nutritional anemia, clinical nutritionists conducted a comprehensive dietary assessment to evaluate patients' dietary intake and nutrient deficiencies. Based on this assessment, individualized nutritional plans were developed, including dietary modifications and oral or enteral supplementation (e.g., iron, vitamin B12, folic acid). The nutritionists also provided patients with dietary counseling and monitored adherence to the nutritional recommendations throughout the hospital stay.

## 2. Pharmacological Management

Pharmacists played a key role in prescribing and managing iron supplements (oral or intravenous), vitamin B12 injections, and other relevant medications. They ensured the correct dosage and monitored potential drug-nutrient interactions. Regular follow-ups were conducted by pharmacists to assess patients' responses to the treatment and adjust medications as needed. Pharmacists also collaborated with the nutritionists to ensure that oral supplements were compatible with the prescribed medications.

## 3. Laboratory Monitoring

Laboratory technicians were responsible for collecting and analyzing blood samples to monitor hematological parameters, including hemoglobin levels, serum iron, serum ferritin, and vitamin B12 levels. These tests were conducted at baseline (upon admission or diagnosis of anemia), midway through the treatment (Day 7), and at discharge. Laboratory data were shared with the clinical nutrition and pharmacy teams to adjust treatment plans accordingly.

## Data Collection

Data were collected from medical records, nutritional assessments, pharmacological records, and laboratory results. The following data points were included:

- Demographic Data: Age, gender, underlying medical conditions, and length of hospital stay.
- Nutritional Interventions: Details of dietary recommendations and oral or enteral supplementation provided by the clinical nutritionists.
- Pharmacological Interventions: Information on prescribed medications, dosages, routes of administration (oral vs. intravenous), and any adjustments made during the hospital stay.
- Laboratory Data: Hemoglobin, serum iron, serum ferritin, and vitamin B12 levels were recorded at baseline, Day 7, and discharge.
- Patient Outcomes: Resolution of anemia, defined as an increase in hemoglobin levels to the normal range, improvement in serum iron/ferritin levels, and patient recovery at discharge.

## Data Analysis

### 1. Quantitative Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize patient demographic data and the nutritional and pharmacological interventions provided. Paired t-tests were used to compare hematological parameters (hemoglobin, serum iron, serum ferritin, vitamin B12 levels) before and after the intervention. The effectiveness of the intervention was determined by the proportion of patients whose anemia was resolved by discharge. The relationship between nutritional interventions and pharmacological management was analyzed using correlation coefficients to determine how closely these interventions worked together in improving patient outcomes.

### 2. Compliance and Adherence

Patient adherence to nutritional recommendations and pharmacological treatment was monitored throughout the study. Compliance rates were calculated based on reported adherence to dietary changes and prescribed supplements/medications. The impact of adherence on the resolution of anemia was analyzed using logistic regression to identify significant predictors of successful outcomes.

## Ethical Considerations

The study was conducted following approval from the ethics committee. Informed consent was obtained

from all participants prior to inclusion in the study. Data were anonymized to protect patient confidentiality, and all procedures were carried out in compliance with the ethical standards of the hospital and national research guidelines.

### Limitations

One limitation of the study was the short duration of hospital stays for some patients, which may have affected the ability to fully assess long-term resolution of anemia. Additionally, the study was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other healthcare settings. Finally, adherence to nutritional and pharmacological recommendations outside of the hospital environment was not monitored, which could impact the sustainability of the outcomes.

## Findings

### 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participants

A total of 120 hospitalized patients diagnosed with nutritional anemia were included in the study. The demographic characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 1 below.

| Demographic Variable                                     | Number of Participants (n=120) | Percentage (%) |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Gender   |                                |                |
| Male   | 65                             | 54.2           |
| Female   | 55                             | 45.8           |
| Age Group  |                                |                |
| 18-30 years  | 15                             | 12.5           |
| 31-50 years  | 45                             | 37.5           |
| 51-70 years  | 40                             | 33.3           |
| >70 years  | 20                             | 16.7           |
| Underlying Conditions                                    |                                |                |
| Chronic illness (e.g., diabetes, cardiovascular disease) | 70                             | 58.3           |
| Malnutrition   | 35                             | 29.2           |
| Post-surgical recovery                                   | 15                             | 12.5           |

**Table 1: Demographic characteristics of study participants**

### 2. Nutritional and Pharmacological Interventions

All 120 patients received individualized nutritional plans from the clinical nutrition team, which included dietary modifications and supplementation with iron, folic acid, or vitamin B12. Pharmacological management included the administration of iron supplements (either oral or intravenous), vitamin B12 injections, or folic acid as required based on the initial laboratory assessment. The interventions provided are detailed in Table 2.

| Intervention                       | Number of Patients (n=120) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Iron Supplementation (Oral)        | 60                         | 50.0           |
| Iron Supplementation (Intravenous) | 25                         | 20.8           |
| Vitamin B12 Injections             | 20                         | 16.7           |

|  |    |      |
|--|----|------|
| Folic Acid Supplementation               | 40 | 33.3 |
| Combination of Iron, B12, and Folic Acid | 30 | 25.0 |

**Table 2: Nutritional and pharmacological interventions provided to patients**

### 3. Changes in Hematological Parameters

The effectiveness of the multidisciplinary intervention was assessed by analyzing changes in key hematological parameters from baseline (admission) to discharge. Hemoglobin, serum iron, ferritin, and vitamin B12 levels showed significant improvements post-intervention. These changes are summarized in Table 3.

| Parameter                | Baseline (Mean $\pm$ SD) | Discharge (Mean $\pm$ SD) | P-value |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Hemoglobin (g/dL)        | 9.8 $\pm$ 1.2            | 12.2 $\pm$ 1.0            | <0.001  |
| Serum Iron ( $\mu$ g/dL) | 45 $\pm$ 10              | 75 $\pm$ 12               | <0.001  |
| Serum Ferritin (ng/mL)   | 45 $\pm$ 15              | 95 $\pm$ 18               | <0.001  |
| Vitamin B12 (pg/mL)      | 180 $\pm$ 40             | 350 $\pm$ 55              | <0.001  |

**Table 3: Changes in hematological parameters from baseline to discharge**

### 4. Resolution of Anemia

The overall effectiveness of the intervention was further evaluated based on the percentage of patients whose anemia resolved by the time of discharge, defined as achieving hemoglobin levels within the normal range. The results are presented in Table 4.

| Outcome                       | Number of Patients (n=120) | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Anemia resolved at discharge  | 90                         | 75.0           |
| Partial improvement in anemia | 25                         | 20.8           |
| Nosignificantimprovement      | 5                          | 4.2            |

**Table 4: Resolution of anemia by discharge**

### 5. Compliance with Nutritional and Pharmacological Recommendations

Patient adherence to both nutritional and pharmacological interventions was monitored throughout the study. High levels of compliance were observed, with the majority of patients adhering to both dietary modifications and medication regimens. These compliance rates are presented in Table 5.

| Adherence                                    | Number of Patients (n=120) | Percentage (%) |
|--|----------------------------|----------------|
| Fully adhered to nutritional recommendations | 95                         | 79.2           |
| Fully adhered to pharmacological treatment   | 100                        | 83.3           |
| Partial adherence                            | 20                         | 16.7           |

**Table 5: Patient adherence to nutritional and pharmacological recommendations**

## 6. Patient Outcomes and Hospital Stay

Patients who experienced a full resolution of anemia showed a shorter average length of hospital stay compared to those with partial improvement or no improvement. These outcomes are shown in Table 6.

| Outcome                       | Average Length of Hospital Stay (Days) |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Anemia resolved               | 7 ±2                                   |
| Partial improvement in anemia | 10 ±3                                  |
| No significant improvement    | 12 ±4                                  |

**Table 6: Length of hospital stay based on anemia outcomes**

## Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the effectiveness of a multidisciplinary approach involving clinical nutritionists, pharmacists, and laboratory technicians in managing nutritional anemia among hospitalized patients. The significant improvements in hematological parameters and the high rate of anemia resolution highlight the positive impact of collaborative care on patient outcomes. This discussion will address the study's key findings, their implications, comparisons with previous research, and potential areas for improvement.

### Improvement in Hematological Parameters

The most significant finding of this study was the marked improvement in hemoglobin, serum iron, serum ferritin, and vitamin B12 levels from baseline to discharge. These improvements demonstrate the effectiveness of coordinated nutritional and pharmacological interventions in treating nutritional anemia. The mean increase in hemoglobin from 9.8 g/dL at baseline to 12.2 g/dL at discharge, alongside the significant rise in serum iron and ferritin levels, supports the role of individualized nutritional supplementation and iron therapy in correcting anemia (Verhaeghe et al., 2017). These results are consistent with prior studies that have shown similar benefits from integrating dietary interventions with pharmacological management in hospitalized patients (Lopez et al., 2016).

The increase in vitamin B12 levels also reflects the impact of targeted supplementation, particularly in patients with deficiencies related to dietary insufficiency or malabsorption. The success of these interventions demonstrates that addressing both the nutritional and pharmacological aspects of anemia is essential for achieving optimal outcomes.

### High Rate of Anemia Resolution

Another key finding was the high rate of anemia resolution, with 75% of patients achieving normal hemoglobin levels by discharge. This result highlights the benefits of a multidisciplinary approach, where close collaboration between clinical nutrition, pharmacy, and laboratory departments ensures that patients receive comprehensive care tailored to their individual needs. The 75% resolution rate is comparable to the findings of other studies that emphasized the importance of combining nutritional and pharmacological strategies in managing nutritional anemia (Lopez et al., 2016). Additionally, the partial improvement observed in 20.8% of patients suggests that even when complete resolution is not achieved, the multidisciplinary approach significantly enhances the patients' health status.

Patients with resolved anemia also experienced shorter hospital stays, averaging 7 days compared to 12 days for those with no significant improvement. This finding underscores the broader impact of effective anemia



management on recovery time and healthcare resource utilization. Reduced length of hospital stay has been linked to improved patient outcomes, decreased healthcare costs, and enhanced overall patient satisfaction (Remond et al., 2015).

#### Patient Compliance and Its Role in Treatment Success

Patient adherence to both nutritional and pharmacological recommendations was an important factor contributing to the success of the intervention. The study found high levels of compliance, with 79.2% of patients fully adhering to nutritional recommendations and 83.3% adhering to prescribed medications. This high compliance rate likely contributed to the substantial improvements in hematological parameters and anemia resolution. Similar findings have been reported in previous studies, where patient adherence to prescribed interventions was linked to better clinical outcomes in anemia management (Rothkopf and Johnson, 2022).

The role of clinical nutritionists and pharmacists in educating patients and ensuring that they understood the importance of adherence likely contributed to this high compliance rate. In particular, the individualized nutritional counseling provided by the clinical nutrition team helped address patient-specific barriers to dietary adherence, while pharmacists ensured that patients received the correct medications and were aware of potential drug-nutrient interactions.

#### Multidisciplinary Collaboration: A Key to Success

The success of this intervention highlights the value of multidisciplinary collaboration in managing complex conditions such as nutritional anemia. Clinical nutritionists, pharmacists, and laboratory technicians each played a crucial role in ensuring that patients received comprehensive care. Nutritionists provided dietary assessments and designed tailored interventions, pharmacists managed medication dosing and monitored interactions, and laboratory technicians provided critical diagnostic data to guide treatment adjustments.

This collaborative model of care is supported by previous research showing that multidisciplinary approaches lead to better patient outcomes in managing anemia and other chronic conditions (Al Farhan, 2021). The close communication between the different disciplines allowed for real-time adjustments to treatment plans based on laboratory results, which ensured that patients received timely and appropriate care.

#### Challenges and Limitations

Despite the positive outcomes observed, the study did face some challenges. One limitation was the relatively short duration of hospitalization for some patients, which limited the ability to assess the long-term sustainability of anemia resolution. While most patients experienced significant improvement by discharge, it remains unclear whether these improvements were maintained after discharge. Future studies should include longer follow-up periods to assess the long-term effectiveness of this multidisciplinary approach.

Additionally, the study was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other healthcare settings. The availability of resources such as laboratory testing, specialized clinical nutrition, and pharmacy services may vary across hospitals, potentially affecting the applicability of this model in smaller or less well-resourced facilities.

### Recommendations for Future Practice and Research

Based on the findings of this study, several recommendations can be made for future practice and research. First, hospitals should consider implementing multidisciplinary approaches to managing nutritional anemia, particularly for high-risk populations such as the elderly or patients with chronic diseases. Integrating clinical nutrition, pharmacy, and laboratory services can lead to improved patient outcomes and reduced hospital stays.

Second, future research should explore the long-term impact of multidisciplinary interventions on anemia management, including post-discharge follow-up to assess the sustainability of improvements in anemia status. Research should also investigate the scalability of this model in different healthcare settings, including resource-limited environments.

This study demonstrated that a multidisciplinary approach to managing nutritional anemia, involving clinical nutritionists, pharmacists, and laboratory technicians, significantly improved patient outcomes. The majority of patients experienced anemia resolution, and key hematological parameters showed significant improvements. High adherence to nutritional and pharmacological interventions, supported by collaborative care, contributed to these positive outcomes. These findings underscore the importance of coordinated, interdisciplinary care in managing complex conditions such as nutritional anemia and highlight the need for further research to explore the long-term and broader applicability of this approach.

### References:

1. Al Farhan, M. H. (2021). Role of Clinical Pharmacist in the Management of Anemia in Dialysis Patients. *Sch Acad J Pharm*, 10, 163-176.
2. Gaweda, A. E., Aronoff, G. R., Jacobs, A. A., Rai, S. N., & Brier, M. E. (2014). Individualized anemia management reduces hemoglobin variability in hemodialysis patients. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, 25(1), 159-166.
3. Lopez, A., Cacoub, P., Macdougall, I. C., & Peyrin-Biroulet, L. (2016). Iron deficiency anaemia. *The Lancet*, 387(10021), 907-916.
4. Randi, M. L., Bertozzi, I., Santarossa, C., Cosi, E., Lucente, F., Bogoni, G., ... & Fabris, F. (2020). Prevalence and causes of anemia in hospitalized patients: impact on diseases outcome. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 9(4), 950.
5. Remond, D., Shahar, D. R., Gille, D., Pinto, P., Kachal, J., Peyron, M. A., ... & Vergères, G. (2015). Understanding the gastrointestinal tract of the elderly to develop dietary solutions that prevent malnutrition. *Oncotarget*, 6(16), 13858-98.
6. Rothkopf, M. M., & Johnson, J. C. (2022). *Optimizing Metabolic Status for the Hospitalized Patient: The Role of Macro-and Micronutrition on Disease Management*. CRC Press.
7. Thomas, D. W., Hinchliffe, R. F., Briggs, C., Macdougall, I. C., Littlewood, T., & Cavill, I. (2013). Guideline for the laboratory diagnosis of functional iron deficiency. *British journal of haematology*, 161(5).
8. Verhaeghe, L., Bruyneel, L., Stragier, E., Ferrante, M., Dierickx, D., & Prenen, H. (2017). The effectiveness of intravenous iron for iron deficiency anemia in gastrointestinal cancer patients: a retrospective study. *Annals of Gastroenterology*, 30(6), 654.
9. World Health Organization (WHO). (2020). \*Guidelines on nutritional anemia\*. Geneva: World Health Organization.