

Comparing the Impact of Early Versus Delayed Mobilization on Recovery Outcomes in Post-Surgical Patients: A Quantitative Analysis

Ali S. Alsuwailem¹, Ruqayyah A. Alessa²

Physical Therapy
Health affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Abstract:

Background: Early mobilization is increasingly advocated in postoperative care to enhance recovery outcomes. This study investigates the impact of early versus delayed mobilization on length of hospital stay, pain levels, functional capacity, incidence of complications, and patient satisfaction.

Methods: A randomized controlled trial was conducted with 150 surgical patients divided into early and delayed mobilization groups. Data were collected on hospital stay duration, pain levels at 1 week and 1 month, functional capacity using the Functional Independence Measure (FIM), incidence of complications, and patient satisfaction scores.

Results: The early mobilization group experienced a significantly shorter hospital stay (mean reduction of 2.5 days), lower pain levels at 1 week and 1 month, higher FIM scores at all follow-up points, and higher patient satisfaction scores. No significant differences were observed in the incidence of complications between the two groups.

Conclusion: Early mobilization significantly improves postoperative recovery by reducing hospital stays, decreasing pain levels, enhancing functional capacity, and increasing patient satisfaction without increasing the risk of complications. These findings support the implementation of early mobilization protocols in surgical recovery plans.

Keywords: Early mobilization, postoperative recovery, hospital stay, pain management, functional capacity, patient satisfaction, randomized controlled trial.

Introduction

Mobilization is a critical component of post-surgical rehabilitation, significantly influencing patients' recovery trajectories and overall outcomes. Early mobilization, typically defined as initiating movement within the first 24-48 hours post-surgery, has been associated with numerous benefits, including reduced hospital stay, lower incidence of complications, and improved functional outcomes (Schweickert & Hall, 2007; Ramos Dos Santos et al., 2017). Conversely, delayed mobilization, often necessitated by surgical complications or specific medical protocols, can lead to prolonged immobility, which is associated with adverse outcomes such as muscle atrophy, joint stiffness, and increased risk of thromboembolic events (Kalisch et al., 2014; Koo et al., 2016).

The optimal timing for initiating mobilization remains a topic of ongoing debate among clinicians. Proponents of early mobilization argue that it promotes faster recovery and enhances patient independence by leveraging the body's natural healing processes during the initial postoperative period (Morris et al., 2008; Higgins et al., 2019). However, concerns about the potential risks, such as surgical site complications or exacerbation of pain, have led some to advocate for a more conservative approach, emphasizing delayed mobilization until the patient is deemed medically stable (Zang et al., 2019).

Despite the theoretical benefits of early mobilization, empirical evidence comparing its efficacy to delayed mobilization in diverse surgical populations is still limited. Previous studies have predominantly focused on specific surgeries or patient groups, leaving a gap in understanding the broader applicability of these findings (Kalisch et al., 2014; Koo et al., 2016).

This study aims to address this gap by conducting a comprehensive quantitative analysis comparing the recovery outcomes of early versus delayed mobilization in a heterogeneous post-surgical patient population. We hypothesize that early mobilization will result in better recovery outcomes, including shorter hospital stays, reduced pain levels, and improved functional capacity, compared to delayed mobilization.

By systematically evaluating the impact of mobilization timing on postoperative recovery, this research seeks to provide robust evidence to inform clinical practice and optimize patient care strategies in surgical rehabilitation.

Literature Review

The Benefits of Early Mobilization

Early mobilization has been extensively studied across various patient populations and surgical procedures. Research consistently indicates that initiating mobilization within the first 24-48 hours post-surgery can lead to numerous positive outcomes. For example, Schweickert and Hall (2007) found that early mobilization in ICU patients significantly reduced the incidence of ICU-acquired weakness and improved functional outcomes. Similarly, a systematic review by Ramos Dos Santos et al. (2017) highlighted that early mobilization following major surgery is associated with shorter hospital stays, reduced complications, and faster return to baseline function.

Morris et al. (2008) conducted a randomized controlled trial on patients with acute respiratory failure and demonstrated that those who received early mobility therapy had improved physical function at hospital discharge compared to those who received standard care. This finding is supported by Zang et al. (2019), whose meta-analysis of critically ill patients in the ICU showed that early mobilization leads to better functional outcomes and reduced hospital mortality rates.

Risks and Challenges of Early Mobilization

Despite its benefits, early mobilization is not without challenges and potential risks. Concerns about surgical site complications, increased pain, and the risk of falls can make clinicians hesitant to implement early mobilization protocols. Kalisch et al. (2014) found that barriers to early mobilization in hospitalized patients include inadequate staffing, fear of patient falls, and insufficient training of healthcare providers. Additionally, Koo et al. (2016) reported that Canadian physiotherapists perceived patient instability and lack of resources as significant barriers to early mobilization in critically ill adults.

The debate around the timing of mobilization is further complicated by the variability in surgical procedures and patient conditions. For instance, Higgins et al. (2019) discussed the unique challenges of mobilizing trauma patients, who may have multiple injuries requiring different care approaches. This variability necessitates a careful, individualized assessment to determine the optimal timing for mobilization in each patient.

Delayed Mobilization and Its Consequences

Delayed mobilization, often implemented due to medical or surgical complications, can have detrimental effects on patient outcomes. Prolonged immobility is associated with muscle atrophy, joint stiffness, and increased risk of thromboembolic events (Kalisch et al., 2014). Koo et al. (2016) emphasized that delayed

mobilization could lead to longer hospital stays and higher healthcare costs due to the increased incidence of these complications.

A study by Schweickert and Hall (2007) highlighted that patients who experienced delayed mobilization were more likely to suffer from ICU-acquired weakness, which significantly impacted their recovery and quality of life post-discharge. This aligns with findings from Ramos Dos Santos et al. (2017), who noted that delayed mobilization following major surgery is associated with poorer functional outcomes and increased rehabilitation needs.

Comparative Studies on Mobilization Timing

Comparative studies directly evaluating early versus delayed mobilization are limited but provide valuable insights. Zang et al. (2019) conducted a meta-analysis comparing early and delayed mobilization in ICU patients, finding that early mobilization significantly improves functional outcomes and reduces mortality. However, the study also noted the need for more high-quality randomized controlled trials to strengthen the evidence base.

Kalisch et al. (2014) compared early and delayed mobilization in a cohort of hospitalized patients and found that those who were mobilized early had significantly shorter hospital stays and lower rates of postoperative complications. These findings suggest that, despite the challenges, the benefits of early mobilization often outweigh the potential risks, provided that it is implemented safely and appropriately.

Gaps in the Literature

While existing studies provide a strong foundation for understanding the benefits and challenges of early mobilization, several gaps remain. Most research has focused on specific patient populations or types of surgeries, limiting the generalizability of the findings. Furthermore, there is a need for more comprehensive studies that consider a wider range of recovery outcomes, including pain levels, functional capacity, and patient satisfaction.

This study aims to address these gaps by conducting a quantitative analysis comparing early and delayed mobilization across a heterogeneous post-surgical patient population. By doing so, it seeks to provide more robust evidence to guide clinical practice and optimize patient care strategies.

Methodology

Study Design

This study was conducted as a prospective, randomized controlled trial aimed at comparing the recovery outcomes of early versus delayed mobilization in post-surgical patients. The trial was conducted over a 12-month period at the tertiary hospital and included a diverse patient population undergoing various surgical procedures.

Participants

A total of 200 post-surgical patients were recruited for this study. Patients were eligible if they were aged 18-75 years and had undergone elective or emergency surgery. Exclusion criteria included patients with hemodynamic instability, severe cognitive impairment, or contraindications to mobilization as determined by the surgical or medical team. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their inclusion in the study.

Randomization and Intervention

Participants were randomly assigned to one of two groups: early mobilization or delayed mobilization. Randomization was achieved using a computer-generated randomization sequence, and allocation was concealed using sealed envelopes.

- Early Mobilization Group: Patients in this group began mobilization within 24-48 hours post-surgery. The mobilization protocol included activities such as sitting on the edge of the bed, standing, and ambulating with assistance, progressing to more independent activities as tolerated.

- Delayed Mobilization Group: Patients in this group began mobilization after 72 hours post-surgery. The same mobilization protocol was followed, starting with sitting and progressing to standing and walking as tolerated.

Data Collection

Data were collected at baseline (pre-surgery), and at 1 week, 1 month, and 3 months post-surgery. The primary outcome measures included:

1. Length of Hospital Stay: Number of days from surgery to discharge.
2. Pain Levels: Assessed using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) for pain.
3. Functional Capacity: Evaluated using the Functional Independence Measure (FIM).
4. Incidence of Complications: Recorded complications such as thromboembolic events, surgical site infections, and falls.
5. Patient Satisfaction: Measured using a standardized patient satisfaction questionnaire.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the demographic and baseline characteristics of the participants. Continuous variables were compared between the two groups using independent t-tests or Mann-Whitney U tests, depending on the data distribution. Categorical variables were compared using chi-square tests.

The primary outcomes (length of hospital stay, pain levels, functional capacity) were analyzed using repeated measures ANOVA to assess changes over time and between groups. The incidence of complications and patient satisfaction scores were compared between groups using chi-square tests. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by ethics committee. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Findings

Participant Flow and Baseline Characteristics

Out of the 250 patients screened for eligibility, 200 met the inclusion criteria and were randomized into the early mobilization group (n=100) and the delayed mobilization group (n=100). Baseline characteristics, including age, gender, type of surgery, and preoperative health status, were comparable between the two groups (Table 1).

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of Participants

Characteristic	Early Mobilization (n=100)	Delayed Mobilization (n=100)	p-value
Age (years)	55.2 ±12.4	54.7 ±13.1	0.72
Gender (M/F)	58/42	60/40	0.75
Type of Surgery			
-Orthopedic	35	33	0.83
- Abdominal	40	42	0.78
- Cardiovascular	25	25	1.00
Preoperative Health			
Status (ASA score)	2.1 ±0.5	2.0 ±0.6	0.68

Length of Hospital Stay

Patients in the early mobilization group had a significantly shorter hospital stay compared to those in the delayed mobilization group (Table 2). The mean length of stay for the early mobilization group was 6.2 days (SD = 2.1) versus 8.7 days (SD = 2.5) for the delayed mobilization group ($p < 0.001$).

Table 2: Length of Hospital Stay

Group	Length of Hospital Stay (days)	p-value
Early Mobilization	6.2 ±2.1	<0.001
Delayed Mobilization	8.7 ±2.5	

Pain Levels

Pain levels, measured using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS), were significantly lower in the early mobilization group at both the 1-week and 1-month follow-up points (Table 3). At 1 week, the mean VAS score for the early mobilization group was 3.4 (SD = 1.2) compared to 4.7 (SD = 1.5) in the delayed mobilization group ($p < 0.01$). At 1 month, the early mobilization group had a mean VAS score of 2.1 (SD = 1.0) versus 3.2 (SD = 1.3) in the delayed group ($p < 0.01$). By 3 months post-surgery, there was no significant difference in pain levels between the groups.

Table 3: Pain Levels (VAS Scores)

Follow-Up Point	Early Mobilization (VAS)	Delayed Mobilization (VAS)	p-value
1 Week	3.4 ±1.2	4.7 ±1.5	<0.01
1 Month	2.1 ±1.0	3.2 ±1.3	<0.01
3 Months	1.0 ±0.5	1.2 ±0.6	0.15

Functional Capacity

Functional capacity, assessed using the Functional Independence Measure (FIM), was significantly higher in the early mobilization group across all follow-up points (Table 4). At 1 week, the early mobilization group had a mean FIM score of 85.6 (SD = 7.4) compared to 76.3 (SD = 8.2) in the delayed mobilization group ($p < 0.01$). At 1 month, the scores were 95.4 (SD = 6.1) versus 87.8 (SD = 7.0) ($p < 0.01$), and at 3 months, 100.2 (SD = 5.5) versus 92.3 (SD = 6.4) ($p < 0.01$).

Table 4: Functional Independence Measure (FIM) Scores

Follow-Up Point	Early Mobilization (FIM)	Delayed Mobilization (FIM)	p-value
1 Week	85.6 ±7.4	76.3 ±8.2	<0.01
1 Month	95.4 ±6.1	87.8 ±7.0	<0.01
3 Months	100.2 ±5.5	92.3 ±6.4	<0.01

Incidence of Complications

There was no significant difference in the incidence of complications between the early and delayed mobilization groups (Table 5). Complications such as thromboembolic events, surgical site infections, and falls were recorded, with 15% in the early mobilization group and 18% in the delayed mobilization group ($p = 0.54$).

Table 5: Incidence of Complications

Group	Complications (%)	p-value
Early Mobilization	15	0.54
Delayed Mobilization	18	

Patient Satisfaction

Patient satisfaction scores were significantly higher in the early mobilization group compared to the delayed mobilization group (Table 6). The mean satisfaction score was 4.5 (SD = 0.6) in the early mobilization group versus 3.8 (SD = 0.8) in the delayed mobilization group ($p < 0.01$).

Table 6: Patient Satisfaction Scores

Group	Satisfaction Score	p-value
Early Mobilization	4.5 ±0.6	<0.01
Delayed Mobilization	3.8 ±0.8	

Summary of Findings

- Length of Hospital Stay: Early mobilization led to a significantly shorter hospital stay ($p < 0.001$).
- Pain Levels: Lower pain levels were observed in the early mobilization group at 1 week and 1 month post-surgery ($p < 0.01$).
- Functional Capacity: Higher FIM scores were noted in the early mobilization group at all follow-up points ($p < 0.01$).
- Incidence of Complications: No significant difference in complication rates between the groups ($p = 0.54$).
- Patient Satisfaction: Higher satisfaction scores in the early mobilization group ($p < 0.01$).

Discussion

This study investigated the effects of early versus delayed mobilization on postoperative recovery in surgical patients. The results demonstrate that early mobilization significantly improves recovery outcomes, reduces hospital stays, and enhances patient satisfaction.

Length of Hospital Stay

Our findings show that patients who underwent early mobilization were discharged an average of 2.5 days earlier than those who experienced delayed mobilization. This result supports previous research indicating that early mobilization accelerates recovery and shortens hospital stays by minimizing complications associated with prolonged bed rest, such as muscle atrophy and deconditioning (Chen et al., 2021; Huffman,

2021). Shorter hospital stays are beneficial not only in reducing the risk of hospital-acquired infections but also in decreasing healthcare costs and optimizing resource use (Engel et al., 2013).

Pain Levels

Patients in the early mobilization group reported significantly lower pain levels at 1 week and 1 month post-surgery compared to those in the delayed mobilization group. Early mobilization may reduce pain by enhancing circulation, decreasing inflammation, and preventing stiffness (Komann et al., 2019). These findings are consistent with other studies that highlight the effectiveness of early physical activity in managing postoperative pain and reducing the need for pain medications (Morris et al., 2008). Although pain levels were similar by 3 months post-surgery, the immediate pain reduction achieved through early mobilization underscores its value in the early postoperative period.

Functional Capacity

The early mobilization group exhibited higher Functional Independence Measure (FIM) scores at all follow-up points, indicating better functional recovery. Early mobilization promotes quicker recovery of functional independence by improving muscle strength, joint flexibility, and overall physical conditioning (Kehlet & Wilmore, 2002). This is in line with findings from other research showing that early mobilization enhances functional outcomes and quality of life for postoperative patients (Santos et al., 2017). Improved functional capacity also suggests potential benefits in reducing the need for extended rehabilitation services after discharge.

Incidence of Complications

No significant differences in the incidence of postoperative complications were observed between the early and delayed mobilization groups. This finding is important as it addresses concerns about the safety of early mobilization, demonstrating that it does not increase the risk of complications such as thromboembolic events or surgical site infections (Schweickert et al., 2009). Ensuring the safety of early mobilization protocols is crucial for their broader acceptance and implementation in clinical settings (Zang et al., 2019).

Patient Satisfaction

Patient satisfaction was notably higher in the early mobilization group. This increased satisfaction is likely due to faster recovery, reduced pain, and improved functional independence (Howard et al., 2020). Higher satisfaction levels can lead to better adherence to postoperative care plans and proactive health behaviors, contributing to overall improved outcomes.

Study Strengths and Limitations

Strengths

The study's randomized controlled design minimizes bias and allows for a clear comparison between early and delayed mobilization protocols. The large sample size and diverse patient population enhance the generalizability of the findings, while comprehensive data collection at multiple time points provides detailed insights into the effects of early mobilization.

Limitations

However, the study has limitations. The single-center design may restrict the generalizability of the findings to other healthcare settings. Additionally, unmeasured confounding factors might have influenced the results, and patient adherence to mobilization protocols was not objectively monitored, which could affect the accuracy of the outcomes.

Clinical Implications and Future Research

The findings advocate for the adoption of early mobilization protocols in postoperative care to enhance recovery outcomes. Healthcare providers should integrate early mobilization into standard care practices, supported by appropriate training and resources.

Future research should replicate these findings in multi-center studies to validate the benefits of early mobilization across various settings. Investigating the effects of early mobilization on different types of surgeries and patient demographics can offer more specific recommendations. Additionally, exploring objective monitoring of patient adherence to mobilization protocols and utilizing technology to support early mobilization are promising areas for further research.

Conclusion

This study provides compelling evidence that early mobilization significantly improves postoperative recovery outcomes, including shorter hospital stays, reduced pain levels, enhanced functional capacity, and increased patient satisfaction, without increasing the risk of complications. Implementing early mobilization protocols can lead to better patient outcomes, improved quality of care, and reduced healthcare costs.

References :

1. Chen, B., Xie, G., Lin, Y., Chen, L., Lin, Z., You, X., ... & Lin, W. (2021). A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of early mobilization therapy in patients after cardiac surgery. *Medicine*, 100(15), e25314.
2. Engel, H. J., Needham, D. M., Morris, P. E., & Gropper, M. A. (2013). ICU early mobilization: from recommendation to implementation at three medical centers. *Critical care medicine*, 41(9 Suppl 1), S69–S80.
3. Howard, B., Aneizi, A., Nadarajah, V., Sajak, P. M. J., Ventimiglia, D. J., Burt, C. I., Zhan, M., Akabudike, N. M., & Henn, R. F., 3rd (2020). Early patient satisfaction following orthopaedic surgery. *Journal of clinical orthopaedics and trauma*, 11(Suppl 5), S823–S828.
4. Higgins, S. D., Erdogan, M., Coles, S. J., & Green, R. S. (2019). Early mobilization of trauma patients admitted to intensive care units: A systematic review and meta-analyses. *Injury*, 50(11), 1809–1815. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2019.09.007>
5. Huffman, R. (2021). Up and Moving: An Integrative Review Evaluating Early Mobility in the Intensive Care Unit.
6. Kalisch, B. J., Lee, S., & Dabney, B. W. (2014). Outcomes of inpatient mobilization: a literature review. *Journal of clinical nursing*, 23(11-12), 1486–1501.
7. Kehlet, H., & Wilmore, D. W. (2002). Multimodal strategies to improve surgical outcome. *American journal of surgery*, 183(6), 630–641.
8. Komann, M., Weinmann, C., Schwenkglenks, M., & Meissner, W. (2019). Non-pharmacological methods and post-operative pain relief: An observational study. *Anesthesiology and pain medicine*, 9(2).
9. Koo, K. K., Choong, K., Cook, D. J., Herridge, M., Newman, A., Lo, V., Guyatt, G., Priestap, F., Campbell, E., Burns, K. E., Lamontagne, F., Meade, M. O., & Canadian Critical Care Trials Group

- (2016). Early mobilization of critically ill adults: a survey of knowledge, perceptions and practices of Canadian physicians and physiotherapists. *CMAJ open*, 4(3), E448–E454.
10. Morris, P. E., Goad, A., Thompson, C., Taylor, K., Harry, B., Passmore, L., Ross, A., Anderson, L., Baker, S., Sanchez, M., Penley, L., Howard, A., Dixon, L., Leach, S., Small, R., Hite, R. D., & Haponik, E. (2008). Early intensive care unit mobility therapy in the treatment of acute respiratory failure. *Critical care medicine*, 36(8), 2238–2243.
 11. Ramos Dos Santos, P. M., Aquaroni Ricci, N., Aparecida Bordignon Suster, É., de Moraes Paisani, D., & Dias Chiavegato, L. (2017). Effects of early mobilisation in patients after cardiac surgery: a systematic review. *Physiotherapy*, 103(1), 1–12.
 12. Santos, P. M. R., Ricci, N. A., Suster, É. A., Paisani, D. M., & Chiavegato, L. D. (2017). Effects of early mobilisation in patients after cardiac surgery: a systematic review. *Physiotherapy*, 103(1), 1-12.
 13. Schweickert, W. D., Pohlman, M. C., Pohlman, A. S., Nigos, C., Pawlik, A. J., Esbrook, C. L., Spears, L., Miller, M., Franczyk, M., Deprizio, D., Schmidt, G. A., Bowman, A., Barr, R., McCallister, K. E., Hall, J. B., & Kress, J. P. (2009). Early physical and occupational therapy in mechanically ventilated, critically ill patients: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet (London, England)*, 373(9678), 1874–1882.
 14. Zhang, L., Hu, W., Cai, Z., Liu, J., Wu, J., Deng, Y., Yu, K., Chen, X., Zhu, L., Ma, J., & Qin, Y. (2019). Early mobilization of critically ill patients in the intensive care unit: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one*, 14(10), e0223185.