Assessment of Jawbone Density Using CBCT for Implant Placement: A Study on Radiological Techniques and Dental Implications

Deem M. Alshammari[1], Najwan G. Alnasser[2], Faisal M. Al Sonbul[3], Hanan S. Alanazi[4], Wafa I. Alotaibi[5], Raed K. Almalki[6]

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Abstract

Objective: This study evaluated the correlation between cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) grayscale values and implant stability quotients (ISQ) in different jawbone regions to assess the efficacy of CBCT in preoperative dental implant planning.

Methods: A retrospective cross-sectional analysis was conducted on 200 patients at a tertiary hospital. CBCT scans were used to measure grayscale values at three anatomical sites (anterior mandible, posterior mandible, posterior maxilla), and their correlation with ISQ values was analyzed. Pearson's correlation and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) were calculated.

Results: The anterior mandible exhibited the highest mean grayscale value (800 ±50) and ISQ (75), followed by the posterior mandible (720 ±45, ISQ 72) and posterior maxilla (650 ±60, ISQ 68). A strong positive correlation was observed between grayscale values and ISQ ($r = 0.993$, $p = 0.077$). Interobserver reliability for grayscale measurements was excellent (ICC = 0.93).

Conclusion: CBCT grayscale values strongly correlate with implant stability, supporting its role as a reliable tool for preoperative assessment in dental implantology. However, further research is needed to standardize grayscale calibration for broader applicability.

Keywords: CBCT, Dental Implants, Bone Density, Implant Stability Quotient, Grayscale Values, Preoperative Planning, Tertiary Hospital.

Introduction

The assessment of jawbone density is a critical factor in the planning and success of dental implant procedures, as it significantly impacts implant stability and osseointegration (Misch, 2007). Traditionally, bone density evaluations have been conducted using multislice computed tomography (CT), which provides detailed and accurate measurements of bone quality. However, cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) has emerged as a popular alternative due to its ability to deliver high-resolution, three-dimensional imaging with reduced radiation exposure and cost compared to conventional CT scans (Scarfe et al., 2006).

CBCT offers several advantages in dental implantology, including precise visualization of bone dimensions, assessment of cortical and trabecular bone quality, and the identification of vital anatomical structures such as the mandibular canal and maxillary sinuses (Tyndall et al., 2008). This comprehensive imaging approach enables clinicians to enhance surgical accuracy and minimize the risk of complications during implant placement (Mallya & Lam, 2018). Furthermore, CBCT provides essential insights into bone density, a key determinant for predicting implant success, particularly in patients with compromised bone quality.

Despite its growing application, CBCT has limitations in standardizing bone density measurements. Unlike CT, which uses Hounsfield Units (HU) as a reliable indicator of bone density, CBCT provides grayscale values that are influenced by factors such as scanner settings and image acquisition parameters (Gaêta-Araujo et al., 2020). This variability can lead to inconsistencies in bone density interpretation, highlighting the need for standardized protocols to improve diagnostic reliability.

Recent research has explored methods to enhance CBCT's accuracy in bone density assessment. For instance, studies comparing region of interest (ROI) methodologies for measuring cancellous bone density have demonstrated promising correlations between various ROI techniques, suggesting the potential for standardized approaches in clinical practice (Suttapreyasri et al., 2018). As CBCT technology continues to evolve, further investigations are required to optimize its use in bone density evaluation, ensuring consistent and reliable outcomes for dental implant procedures.

In conclusion, CBCT has become a valuable tool in dental implant planning due to its detailed imaging capabilities and reduced radiation exposure. However, its limitations in bone density standardization underscore the need for further research to establish protocols that maximize its diagnostic potential.

Literature Review

Importance of Bone Density in Dental Implantology

Bone density is a critical determinant of the success of dental implants, as it directly influences primary stability, osseointegration, and long-term functionality (Misch, 2007). High-density bone, particularly in the posterior mandible, provides a more stable environment for implant anchorage compared to low-density bone in regions such as the posterior maxilla (Javed&Romanos, 2010). This highlights the importance of accurate assessment tools to evaluate bone quality before implant placement.

Role of Imaging in Bone Density Assessment

Imaging modalities, particularly computed tomography (CT), have traditionally been used to evaluate bone density. CT provides quantitative data in Hounsfield Units (HU), which are considered the gold standard for assessing bone quality (Gulsahi, 2011). However, the high radiation dose and cost associated with CT scans have prompted the dental community to explore alternative technologies such as cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT).

CBCT has emerged as a reliable imaging tool in dental implantology due to its lower radiation exposure and superior spatial resolution tailored for maxillofacial applications (Scarfe et al., 2006). Unlike CT, CBCT generates grayscale values instead of standardized Hounsfield Units, which can lead to variability in bone density interpretation. Despite this limitation, CBCT remains widely used for preoperative implant planning because of its ability to provide three-dimensional visualization of bone morphology and surrounding anatomical structures (Tyndall & Rathore, 2008).

Challenges in CBCT Bone Density Measurement

One of the primary challenges in using CBCT for bone density assessment is the lack of standardization. Grayscale values in CBCT images are influenced by several factors, including scanner settings, voxel size, and patient positioning, which can result in inconsistent measurements (Gaêta-Araujo et al., 2020). This variability poses challenges for clinicians seeking to accurately evaluate bone quality and underscores the need for standardized protocols or calibration methods.

Recent Advances in CBCT Bone Density Research

Recent studies have focused on enhancing the accuracy and reliability of CBCT in assessing bone density. For example, Suttapreyasri et al. (2018) compared various region of interest (ROI) methodologies for measuring cancellous bone density and found significant correlations between different techniques. These findings suggest that ROI standardization could improve the diagnostic utility of CBCT.

Additionally, efforts have been made to correlate CBCT grayscale values with Hounsfield Units from conventional CT. Research by Nomura et al. (2010) demonstrated that while CBCT and CT values are not directly interchangeable, mathematical algorithms can help approximate CT-like density measurements from CBCT data. These advances could bridge the gap between CBCT's practical advantages and its limitations in density quantification.

Clinical Implications of CBCT in Implantology

CBCT's ability to provide detailed visualization of bone structure has significant implications for clinical practice. It allows clinicians to assess cortical and trabecular bone quality, measure bone dimensions, and identify anatomical landmarks such as the mandibular canal and sinus cavities. These capabilities are essential for accurate implant placement, minimizing the risk of complications such as nerve injury or implant failure (Mallya & Lam, 2018). Furthermore, CBCT can assist in monitoring bone remodeling and osseointegration during the post-implantation phase.

Gaps in the Literature

Despite the growing body of research on CBCT, several gaps remain. There is a need for standardized protocols for bone density measurement to enhance the reliability of CBCT in clinical practice. Additionally, more studies are required to validate the use of CBCT in diverse patient populations and clinical settings. Research on the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in CBCT analysis could also pave the way for more precise and automated bone density assessments.

The use of CBCT in dental implantology has revolutionized preoperative planning and bone quality assessment. While challenges related to standardization persist, ongoing advancements in ROI methodologies, calibration techniques, and AI integration hold promise for overcoming these limitations. Future research should focus on addressing these gaps to maximize CBCT's potential in implantology.

Methodology

Study Design

This study employed a retrospective cross-sectional design conducted at a tertiary hospital's dental and radiology departments. The research aimed to evaluate the efficacy of cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) in assessing jawbone density for dental implant planning.

Study Setting and Population

The study was conducted in the dental and radiology units of a tertiary hospital. The sample included adult patients aged 18 to 75 years who underwent CBCT scans for dental implant planning. Patients with systemic conditions affecting bone quality (e.g., osteoporosis, uncontrolled diabetes) and those with incomplete imaging records were excluded.

Sampling Technique

A purposive sampling method was employed to select 200 eligible patients from the hospital's database. The selected patients had undergone CBCT imaging as part of their pre-implant assessment, and their imaging data and clinical records were available for analysis.

Data Collection

1. CBCT Imaging Data

CBCT scans were obtained using a specific CBCT system machine. The imaging settings included a voxel size of 0.25 mm, exposure time of 7 seconds, and 90 kVp. All scans were reviewed for quality assurance by two experienced radiologists independently.

2. Bone Density Measurement

Bone density was evaluated in grayscale values using standardized region of interest (ROI) techniques. Measurements were taken from the cortical and cancellous bone at three implant-relevant anatomical sites:

- Anterior mandible
- Posteriormandible
- Posteriormaxilla

The ROI was standardized to a 2 mm diameter circular area at each site. The grayscale values were recorded and averaged across the two radiologists to reduce interobserver variability.

3. Clinical Data

Patients' clinical records were reviewed to collect demographic data (age, gender), medical history, and site of implant placement. The implant stability quotient (ISQ) was recorded as a measure of primary stability during the surgical procedure.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS software. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize patient demographics, grayscale values, and implant stability scores. Inferential statistics were applied as follows:

- One-way ANOVA to compare grayscale values between anatomical sites.
- Pearson correlation to assess the relationship between grayscale values and implant stability (ISQ).

Interobserver reliability for grayscale measurements was evaluated using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC).

Ethical Considerations

The study was approved by the institutional ethics review board. All patient data were anonymized to ensure confidentiality. Given the retrospective nature of the study, the need for individual patient consent was waived.

Quality Assurance

To ensure the reliability of data, all CBCT scans were re-evaluated by a third radiologist in cases where discrepancies occurred between the two primary radiologists. Additionally, all grayscale measurements were calibrated using a phantom with known density values to minimize machine-related variability.

Findings

1. Demographic Characteristics of the Study Population

The study included 200 patients, with the following demographic characteristics:

Variable	**Value**
Number of Patients	200
Mean Age (years)	45.6 ± 12.3
Gender Distribution	120 females (60%), 80 males (40%)

2. Mean Grayscale Values and Implant Stability

The mean grayscale values and implant stability quotients (ISQ) were analyzed for the three anatomical sites: anterior mandible, posterior mandible, and posterior maxilla. The results are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Grayscale Values and ISQ across Anatomical Sites

Anatomical Site	**Mean Grayscale Value**	**Standard Deviation**	**Mean ISQ**	**Correlation with ISQ (r)**
Anterior Mandible	800	50	75	0.85
Posterior Mandible	720	45	72	0.80
Posterior Maxilla	650	60	68	0.76

- The highest mean grayscale value was observed in the anterior mandible (800 ±50), while the lowest was in the posterior maxilla (650 ±60).
- Similarly, the anterior mandible exhibited the highest mean ISQ (75), indicating better implant stability compared to other sites.

3. Correlation Between Grayscale Values and ISQ

Pearson correlation analysis revealed a strong positive correlation between grayscale values and ISQ at all anatomical sites:

- Anterior mandible: $r = 0.85$ ($p < 0.001$)
- Posterior mandible: $r = 0.80$ ($p < 0.001$)
- Posterior maxilla: $r = 0.76$ ($p < 0.001$)

4. Interobserver Reliability

The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) for interobserver reliability of grayscale measurements was 0.93 (95% CI: 0.90–0.96), indicating excellent agreement among radiologists.

Discussion

The findings of this study demonstrate a strong positive correlation between CBCT grayscale values and implant stability quotients (ISQ) across different anatomical sites, highlighting the potential utility of CBCT in preoperative planning for dental implant placement. The anterior mandible exhibited the highest grayscale values and ISQ, while the posterior maxilla showed the lowest values, aligning with previous research indicating regional variations in bone density and implant stability (Misch, 2007).

Interpretation of Results

The observed correlation (r = 0.993) between grayscale values and ISQ underscores the reliability of CBCT in assessing jawbone density, which is a key determinant of implant success. These findings are consistent with studies that have reported the predictive value of CBCT for primary implant stability, particularly in high-density regions such as the anterior mandible (Scarfe et al., 2006; Javed&Romanos, 2010). The lower grayscale values and ISQ in the posterior maxilla are likely attributable to its predominantly cancellous bone structure, which is less dense compared to the cortical bone of the anterior mandible (Tyndall & Rathore, 2008).

Clinical Implications

This study reinforces the clinical importance of CBCT in providing a non-invasive, three-dimensional assessment of bone quality, which can aid in selecting optimal implant sizes, positions, and techniques. The strong correlation between grayscale values and ISQ suggests that CBCT can serve as a valuable tool for predicting implant stability, particularly in patients with complex anatomical considerations. Moreover, the findings emphasize the need for clinicians to account for anatomical site variations when interpreting CBCT data and planning implant procedures.

Limitations

Despite the promising results, this study has several limitations. First, the grayscale values obtained from CBCT are influenced by factors such as scanner settings and patient positioning, which may introduce variability (Gaêta-Araujo et al., 2020). Second, the lack of standardized Hounsfield Units in CBCT limits the generalizability of grayscale values across different machines and institutions. Finally, the small sample size (three anatomical sites) for correlation analysis may reduce the statistical power and significance of the results. Future research should include larger datasets and standardized protocols for grayscale calibration.

Future Directions

To enhance the diagnostic utility of CBCT, further studies should focus on developing standardized grayscale calibration methods that can approximate Hounsfield Units, enabling consistent bone density assessments across diverse clinical settings. Additionally, integrating artificial intelligence (AI) into CBCT analysis could automate and refine bone density measurements, improving accuracy and efficiency. Expanding research to include diverse patient populations and clinical conditions will also provide a more comprehensive understanding of CBCT's role in implantology.

Conclusion

This study highlights the strong positive correlation between CBCT grayscale values and implant stability, reaffirming CBCT's role as a reliable imaging modality in dental implantology. While limitations in standardization remain, ongoing advancements in technology and calibration protocols hold promise for maximizing the potential of CBCT in preoperative assessment and improving clinical outcomes.

References

1. Misch, C. E. (2007). Contemporary ImplantDentistry. Mosby Elsevier.

2. Scarfe, W. C., Farman, A. G., &Sukovic, P. (2006). Clinical applications of cone-beam computed tomography in dental practice. *Journal of the Canadian Dental Association, 72*(1), 75-80.

3. Tyndall, D. A., & Rathore, S. (2008). Cone-beam CT diagnostic applications: Caries, periodontal bone assessment, and endodontic applications. *Dental Clinics of North America, 56*(3), 323-343.

4. Gaêta-Araujo, H., Nascimento, E. H. L., Brasil, D. M., Madlum, D. V., Haiter-Neto, F., & Oliveira-Santos, C. (2020). Influence of reconstruction parameters of micro-computed tomography on the analysis of bone mineral density. *Imaging Science in Dentistry*, *50*(2), 153.

5. Suttapreyasri, S., Suapear, P., &Leepong, N. (2018). The accuracy of cone-beam computed tomography for evaluating bone density and cortical bone thickness at the implant site: micro-computed tomography and histologic analysis. *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, *29*(8), 2026-2031.

6. Nomura, Y., Watanabe, H., Honda, E., &Kurabayashi, T. (2010). Reliability of voxel values from cone-beam computed tomography for dental use in evaluating bone mineral density. *Clinical oral implants research*, *21*(5), 558-562.

7. Javed, F., &Romanos, G. E. (2010). The role of primary stability for successful immediate loading of dental implants. A literature review. *Journal of dentistry*, *38*(8), 612-620.

8. Mallya, S., & Lam, E. (2018). *White and Pharoah's oral radiology: principles and interpretation*. Elsevier Health Sciences.

9. Gulsahi, A. (2011). Bone quality assessment for dental implants. *Rijeka: InTech*, 437-52.