Communication Challenges during Prehospital Handoffs: Perspectives of EMS Professionals on Barriers and Facilitators to Effective Patient Transitions

Sultan R. Alanazi¹, Mohammed S. Alshalawi², Bandar K. Albaqami³

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Abstract

Background: Effective communication during Emergency Medical Services (EMS) to Emergency Department (ED) handoffs is critical for patient safety and care continuity. However, communication challenges such as environmental distractions, lack of standardized protocols, and time constraints often compromise information transfer.

Objective: This study aimed to explore the experiences and perceptions of EMS and ED staff regarding handoff communication in a tertiary hospital setting, identifying barriers, facilitators, and potential improvements.

Methods: A qualitative phenomenological approach was used, involving semi-structured interviews and focus groups with 20 participants (10 EMS professionals and 10 ED staff). Data were analyzed using thematic analysis.

Findings: Three main themes emerged: (1) Barriers to effective communication, including environmental factors, inconsistent protocols, and time pressures; (2) Facilitators, such as structured tools (e.g., SBAR) and a collaborative mindset; and (3) Suggested improvements, including joint training, technology integration, and feedback mechanisms.

Conclusion: Addressing communication barriers through standardized tools, interprofessional training, and technology can enhance EMS-to-ED handoff practices, improving patient safety and outcomes. Future research should explore these interventions in diverse healthcare settings.

Keywords: EMS handoffs, ED communication, patient safety, structured communication tools, interprofessional collaboration, qualitative study

Introduction

Effective communication during the handoff of patients from Emergency Medical Services (EMS) to Emergency Department (ED) teams is vital for ensuring patient safety and continuity of care. However, this process often encounters significant challenges, which can compromise patient outcomes. Research has shown that discrepancies in handoff communication can result in adverse events and delayed care (Maddry et al., 2021).

IJIRMPS2401231819 Website: www.ijirmps.org Email: editor@ijirmps.org 1

One major issue is the variability in communication protocols between EMS providers and ED staff. Differences in training, experience, and expectations often lead to misunderstandings and loss of critical information during handoffs (Meisel et al., 2015). For example, EMS personnel may communicate patient information to ED nurses, who then relay it to physicians, introducing a risk of errors through secondary interpretation (Bigham et al., 2020).

Environmental factors within the ED further complicate the process. The fast-paced, high-stress environment, coupled with frequent interruptions, makes it challenging for EMS professionals to convey comprehensive patient information effectively. Such conditions increase the likelihood of critical details being overlooked (Troyer and Brady, 2020).

The lack of standardized handoff protocols exacerbates these challenges. Without a structured framework, the quality and completeness of information transfer can vary significantly, potentially leading to gaps in patient care. Implementing standardized tools, such as the SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) protocol, has been recommended to improve the clarity and efficiency of communication during transitions (Troyer and Brady, 2020).

Addressing these barriers is essential for enhancing patient safety and outcomes during the critical transition from prehospital to hospital care. Future research should focus on developing and implementing effective strategies to facilitate seamless information exchange between EMS and ED teams.

Literature Review

Effective communication during the handoff process between Emergency Medical Services (EMS) and Emergency Department (ED) teams is recognized as a critical component of patient safety and continuity of care. Several studies have highlighted the challenges, strategies, and outcomes associated with prehospital-to-hospital transitions.

Importance of Effective Communication

Research consistently underscores the importance of structured and efficient communication during EMS handoffs. A systematic review by Maddry et al. (2021) found that communication breakdowns during patient handoffs often result in adverse events, delayed diagnoses, and compromised patient outcomes. Effective communication is essential for transferring vital information, such as patient history, current condition, and prehospital interventions, to ensure timely and appropriate care.

Barriers to Effective Handoffs

Several studies have identified barriers to effective handoff communication. Troyer and Brady (2020) highlighted the chaotic and fast-paced ED environment as a significant obstacle, with frequent interruptions and competing priorities detracting from the quality of information exchange. Moreover, variability in EMS training, experience, and communication styles contributes to inconsistencies in how patient information is relayed (Meisel et al., 2015).

Standardization in Handoff Practices

Standardized communication tools have been proposed as a solution to address these challenges. The SBAR

(Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) protocol, for example, has been widely adopted in healthcare settings to improve the clarity and consistency of handoffs. Troyer and Brady (2020) found that using SBAR during EMS-to-ED transitions significantly improved the perceived quality of information exchange among ED staff. Similarly, structured reporting formats, such as prehospital care reports (PCRs), have been shown to enhance information retention and reduce errors during handoffs (Meisel et al., 2015).

Implications for Patient Safety

The implications of effective handoffs on patient safety are profound. Maddry et al. (2021) demonstrated that streamlined communication between EMS and ED teams reduces the risk of adverse events and improves clinical outcomes. Additionally, fostering an environment of collaboration and mutual understanding between prehospital and hospital teams can further mitigate the risks associated with transitions of care.

Gaps in Research

Despite the growing body of literature, gaps remain in understanding the long-term impact of standardized handoff protocols on patient outcomes. Additionally, there is limited research on the perspectives of EMS providers regarding the barriers and facilitators to effective communication. Understanding these perspectives is critical for developing tailored interventions to improve handoff practices.

The literature highlights the significance of effective communication during EMS-to-ED handoffs, identifies key barriers, and underscores the benefits of standardized practices. Addressing the challenges in this critical transition requires a multifaceted approach, including the implementation of structured communication tools, training programs, and collaborative strategies to bridge the gap between prehospital and hospital care.

Methodology

Study Design

This qualitative study employed a phenomenological approach to explore the experiences and perceptions of Emergency Medical Services (EMS) professionals and Emergency Department (ED) staff regarding communication during patient handoffs. The study was conducted at a tertiary hospital with a high volume of EMS and ED interactions, providing a rich context for examining handoff communication.

Study Setting

The research was conducted atTertiary Hospital, a large urban healthcare facility with a Level 1 trauma center. The hospital handles over 100 EMS-to-ED handoffs daily, making it an ideal setting to investigate communication practices and challenges.

Participants

A purposive sampling strategy was used to recruit participants. The study included:

- EMS Professionals: Paramedics and emergency medical technicians (EMTs) with at least one year of experience.
- ED Staff: Nurses, physicians, and administrative staff directly involved in receiving patients from EMS.

A total of 20 participants (10 EMS professionals and 10 ED staff) were selected to ensure diverse perspectives.

Data Collection

Data were collected using semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions. The interviews lasted approximately 30–45 minutes and were conducted in a private meeting room at the hospital. An interview guide was developed based on existing literature and expert input, covering topics such as:

- Experiences with handoff communication.
- Perceived barriers and facilitators.
- Suggestions for improving the handoff process.

Focus group discussions were conducted separately for EMS and ED staff to encourage open and honest dialogue. These discussions lasted approximately 60 minutes and were moderated by a trained facilitator.

Data Analysis

Interviews and focus group discussions were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, and analyzed using thematic analysis. The analysis followed Braun and Clarke's six-step framework:

- 1. Familiarization with the data.
- 2. Generating initial codes.
- 3. Searching for themes.
- 4. Reviewing themes.
- 5. Defining and naming themes.
- 6. Producing the report.

Two researchers independently coded the data to ensure reliability, and discrepancies were resolved through discussion.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee. Participants provided informed consent before data collection and were assured of confidentiality. Pseudonyms were used in transcripts to protect participant identities.

Quality Assurance

To enhance the credibility and trustworthiness of the study:

- Member checking was conducted to ensure the accuracy of interpretations.
- Peer debriefing sessions were held with researchers to validate the findings.

• A detailed audit trail was maintained to document the research process.

Limitations

The study was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of findings to other settings. Additionally, self-reported data are subject to recall bias.

This methodology provides a comprehensive framework for understanding communication practices during EMS-to-ED handoffs in a tertiary hospital setting.

Findings

The analysis of interviews and focus group discussions revealed three main themes and several sub-themes that highlight the experiences and perceptions of EMS professionals and ED staff regarding communication during handoffs. Participant responses are included to illustrate these findings.

Theme 1: Barriers to Effective Communication

Sub-theme 1.1: Environmental Factors Participants frequently cited the chaotic ED environment as a significant barrier to effective handoffs. Noise levels, interruptions, and the urgency of other ongoing tasks often disrupted communication.

- "The ED is always noisy and chaotic. Sometimes, I feel like I'm shouting to get my point across, but they're too busy to listen properly." (EMS Participant 4)
- "We're often multitasking when EMS arrives, and it's hard to give them our full attention." (ED Nurse 3)

Sub-theme 1.2: Lack of Standardized Protocols Both EMS and ED staff reported inconsistencies in how patient information is conveyed. A lack of structured handoff protocols led to incomplete or unclear communication.

- "Every EMS crew has their own way of giving handoffs. Sometimes we get a lot of details, and sometimes it's just the basics." (ED Physician 1)
- "We're not trained in using specific tools for communication, so we just say what we think is important at the time." (EMS Participant 7)

Sub-theme 1.3: Time Constraints The time-sensitive nature of emergencies often forced rushed handoffs, increasing the likelihood of information loss.

- "In critical cases, we barely have time to talk. We just point out the obvious and move on." (EMS Participant 2)
- "Sometimes the patient's condition is so bad that we have to start working on them immediately, and we miss important details from EMS." (ED Nurse 6)

Theme 2: Facilitators of Effective Communication

Sub-theme 2.1: Use of Structured Tools Participants noted that structured communication tools, such as SBAR, helped ensure all critical information was conveyed clearly and consistently.

- "When I use SBAR, I feel more confident that I've covered everything they need to know." (EMS Participant 9)
- "It's easier to process the information when it's presented in a structured format like SBAR." (ED Physician 2)

Sub-theme 2.2: Collaborative Mindset Mutual respect and collaboration between EMS and ED teams were viewed as essential for effective communication.

- "When the ED staff treats us like part of the team, it makes it easier to communicate openly." (EMS Participant 5)
- "We need to remember that EMS is under a lot of pressure too. A little patience goes a long way." (ED Nurse 8)

Theme 3: Suggested Improvements

Sub-theme 3.1: Training and Education Participants recommended joint training sessions for EMS and ED staff to improve communication skills and foster teamwork.

- "If we trained together, we'd understand each other's challenges better and communicate more effectively." (EMS Participant 1)
- "Workshops on effective handoffs would be helpful, especially for new staff." (ED Nurse 4)

Sub-theme 3.2: Implementation of Technology The use of technology, such as electronic handoff tools or mobile apps, was suggested to streamline communication and ensure critical details are documented.

- "An app where we could quickly input patient details and share it with the ED team would save time and reduce errors." (EMS Participant 6)
- "Having a digital record of what EMS tells us would make follow-ups easier and more reliable." (ED Physician 3)

Sub-theme 3.3: Feedback Mechanisms Both EMS and ED staff expressed the need for feedback systems to improve communication practices continuously.

- "We rarely get feedback from the ED. Knowing what we're doing right or wrong could help us improve." (EMS Participant 8)
- "Feedback from EMS could help us understand what information they think we need." (ED Nurse 7)

Summary of Findings

The findings highlight the multifaceted challenges of communication during EMS-to-ED handoffs, including environmental barriers, lack of standardization, and time pressures. However, they also identify actionable facilitators, such as the use of structured tools and collaborative mindsets, alongside suggestions for improvement, such as joint training and technological integration. These insights can inform interventions to enhance the quality and efficiency of handoff communication.

IJIRMPS2401231819 Website: www.ijirmps.org Email: editor@ijirmps.org

6

Discussion

The findings of this study provide valuable insights into the communication challenges and opportunities for improvement during EMS-to-ED handoffs in a tertiary hospital setting. The themes identified align with existing literature, emphasizing the critical role of effective communication in ensuring patient safety and continuity of care.

Barriers to Effective Communication

The chaotic and fast-paced environment of emergency departments was a significant barrier to communication, consistent with previous studies highlighting the disruptive nature of ED settings (Troyer and Brady, 2020). Frequent interruptions and competing priorities during patient handoffs often lead to incomplete or misunderstood information transfer. These findings reinforce the need for strategies to minimize environmental distractions during critical transitions.

The lack of standardized communication protocols further exacerbates these challenges. Variability in handoff practices among EMS providers has been reported in other studies as a source of inconsistent information transfer (Meisel et al., 2015). Implementing structured handoff tools such as SBAR can address this gap by ensuring a clear and systematic approach to communication.

Time constraints during emergencies also emerged as a significant challenge, with both EMS and ED staff noting that critical cases often require rushed handoffs. This issue mirrors findings from Maddry et al. (2021), who identified time pressure as a common factor contributing to information loss. These challenges highlight the need for efficient yet comprehensive handoff practices that can adapt to time-sensitive situations.

Facilitators of Effective Communication

Structured communication tools, such as SBAR, were identified as a facilitator of effective handoffs. This aligns with previous research demonstrating the positive impact of standardized tools on the clarity and completeness of information exchange (Troyer and Brady, 2020). The study participants reported that structured tools not only improved their confidence but also helped ensure critical details were conveyed.

A collaborative mindset between EMS and ED teams was another facilitator. Mutual respect and recognition of each other's roles are essential for fostering open and effective communication. These findings echo the work of Meisel et al. (2015), who noted that positive interprofessional relationships enhance the quality of handoffs.

Suggestions for Improvement

Participants suggested several actionable strategies to improve handoff communication. Joint training sessions for EMS and ED staff were highlighted as a means to build mutual understanding and enhance communication skills. Such interprofessional training has been advocated in the literature as a way to bridge gaps between prehospital and hospital care teams (Maddry et al., 2021).

The implementation of technology, such as electronic handoff tools or mobile applications, was another recommendation. Technology can streamline communication, reduce errors, and create a reliable record of

information shared during handoffs. This aligns with studies emphasizing the potential of digital tools to enhance handoff practices (Troyer and Brady, 2020).

Feedback mechanisms were also suggested as a way to foster continuous improvement in communication practices. Regular feedback can help EMS and ED teams identify strengths and areas for improvement, ultimately enhancing patient care. The literature supports the role of feedback in improving team performance and fostering a culture of learning (Meisel et al., 2015).

Implications for Practice

The study findings underscore the need for a multifaceted approach to improve handoff communication. Hospitals should prioritize the implementation of standardized tools and provide joint training opportunities for EMS and ED teams. Additionally, leveraging technology and establishing feedback mechanisms can further enhance the quality and efficiency of handoffs.

Limitations and Future Research

This study was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other settings. Future research should explore handoff communication practices in diverse healthcare environments to validate and expand upon these findings. Additionally, quantitative studies could assess the impact of specific interventions, such as structured tools or technology, on patient outcomes.

Conclusion

Effective communication during EMS-to-ED handoffs is critical for patient safety and care continuity. While challenges such as environmental factors, lack of standardization, and time constraints persist, structured tools, collaborative mindsets, and targeted interventions offer promising solutions. By addressing these issues, healthcare organizations can enhance the quality of care delivered during critical transitions.

References

- 1. Meisel, Z. F., Shea, J. A., Peacock, N. J., Dickinson, E. T., Paciotti, B., Bhatia, R., ... & Cannuscio, C. C. (2015). Optimizing the patient handoff between emergency medical services and the emergency department. *Annals of emergency medicine*, 65(3), 310-317.
- 2. Maddry, J. K., Simon, E. M., Reeves, L. K., Mora, A. G., Clemons, M. A., Shults, N. M., ... & Walrath, B. D. (2021). Impact of a standardized patient hand-off tool on communication between emergency medical services personnel and emergency department staff. *Prehospital emergency care*, 25(4), 530-538.
- 3. Troyer, L., & Brady, W. (2020). Barriers to effective EMS to emergency department information transfer at patient handover: a systematic review. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, *38*(7), 1494-1503.

IJIRMPS2401231819 Website: www.ijirmps.org Email: editor@ijirmps.org

8