Comparing the Effectiveness of Automated Blood Donation Systems vs. Manual Methods in Maintaining Blood Component Quality: A Quantitative Analysis

Bayan A. Bafrhan[1], Manar O. Alanazi[2], Ali H. Alanazi[3], Fayha T. Almutairi[4], Wael A. Almutairi[5]

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Abstract

Background: Blood donation methods, whether automated or manual, impact the quality and shelf life of blood components. This study evaluates the effectiveness of automated apheresis systems compared to manual whole blood donation in maintaining the quality of red blood cells (RBCs), platelets, and plasma.

Methods: A quantitative comparative analysis was conducted on 200 blood donors at a tertiary hospital. Donors were randomly assigned to either automated or manual blood donation. Blood component quality was assessed through key parameters such as RBC hemolysis, platelet aggregation, and plasma coagulation factor stability over standardized storage periods.

Results: RBCs collected via automated methods exhibited significantly lower hemolysis levels and better preservation of pH and oxygen-carrying capacity compared to manual methods ($p < 0.05$). Platelets from automated systems demonstrated higher aggregation responses and counts throughout the 5-day storage period. Plasma collected via automated methods showed greater stability in coagulation factors over 30 days.

Conclusion: Automated blood donation systems maintain superior blood component quality and extend the shelf life of RBCs, platelets, and plasma compared to manual methods. These findings support the broader use of automated systems to enhance blood product safety and efficacy.

Keywords: Automated blood donation, manual blood donation, blood component quality, red blood cells, platelets, plasma, blood storage

Introduction

Blood donation plays a critical role in healthcare systems worldwide, providing the essential components needed for transfusions in a variety of clinical settings, including surgeries, trauma care, and chronic disease management. Blood components such as red blood cells (RBCs), platelets, and plasma must meet stringent quality standards to ensure patient safety and treatment efficacy. The method of blood collection—whether automated or manual—can have significant implications for the quality and shelf life of these components (Maitta, 2018).

Two primary methods of blood donation are commonly used: manual whole blood donation and automated apheresis. In manual donation, the donor gives a unit of whole blood, which is then separated into its

constituent components—RBCs, platelets, and plasma—through laboratory processing. In contrast, automated systems (apheresis) allow for the direct collection of specific blood components, such as platelets or plasma, while returning the remaining components to the donor. These systems are believed to offer advantages in terms of component purity, processing time, and donor safety (van der Meer et al., 2020).

While automated systems are increasingly being adopted due to their efficiency, questions remain regarding the comparative quality of blood components collected via automated versus manual methods. Research has shown that blood component quality is influenced by factors such as storage conditions, processing time, and the method of collection (Murphy et al., 2011). However, limited studies have directly compared how these methods affect the quality and shelf life of specific blood components, particularly under standard storage conditions.

This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of automated blood donation systems compared to manual methods in maintaining blood component quality. By analyzing key metrics such as RBC integrity, platelet function, and plasma stability, this research will provide insights into whether automated systems offer measurable advantages in preserving component quality and extending shelf life. Understanding these differences is critical for optimizing blood collection protocols and improving the overall safety and efficacy of blood transfusion practices.

Research Objectives
- To assess the quality of blood components (RBCs, platelets, and plasma) collected through automated versus manual donation methods.
- To compare the shelf life of these components under standard storage conditions.
- To provide evidence-based recommendations for blood donation practices based on component quality.

Literature Review

1. Overview of Blood Donation Methods

Blood donation is essential to ensuring an adequate supply of blood components for transfusion, with two primary methods used in clinical practice: manual whole blood donation and automated apheresis. Manual donation involves the collection of whole blood, which is later separated into components such as red blood cells (RBCs), platelets, and plasma. Automated apheresis, on the other hand, directly collects specific blood components from the donor, allowing for a more targeted approach to blood collection (Maitta, 2018).

Automated apheresis systems have been shown to offer several advantages over manual donation, including the ability to collect larger quantities of specific components, reduced processing time, and increased donor safety by returning unused components to the donor (van der Meer et al., 2020). These advantages make automated systems increasingly popular in blood banks, especially for the collection of platelets and plasma. However, the impact of these systems on the quality and shelf life of collected blood components remains an area of active research.

2. Quality of Blood Components

The quality of blood components is a critical factor in determining their effectiveness in transfusion therapy. Several studies have focused on assessing the impact of different collection methods on the integrity of blood components. For instance, RBC quality is typically assessed through measures such as hemolysis levels, oxygen-carrying capacity, and metabolic stability during storage (Pavenski et al., 2012). Platelet

quality is evaluated based on parameters like clotting function, platelet count, and responsiveness to agonists, while plasma is analyzed for its coagulation factors and protein stability.

Research comparing automated and manual methods has suggested that automated systems may produce higher-quality blood components due to reduced handling and faster processing times (Murphy et al., 2011). A study by van der Meer et al. (2020) found that platelets collected via automated systems exhibited improved functionality and longer storage life compared to platelets derived from whole blood donations. Similarly, Maitta (2018) noted that automated apheresis systems led to reduced platelet activation, potentially preserving clotting efficiency during storage.

However, some studies suggest that the quality advantages of automated systems are not universal across all blood components. A study by Murphy et al. (2011) reported no significant differences in the quality of RBCs collected via manual versus automated methods, suggesting that the impact of the collection method may vary depending on the specific blood component in question. More comprehensive, head-to-head comparisons are needed to clarify these mixed findings.

3. Shelf Life of Blood Components

The shelf life of blood components is an essential consideration for blood banks, as it directly impacts inventory management and the ability to provide safe and effective transfusions. The storage life of RBCs, platelets, and plasma varies, with RBCs typically having a shelf life of 42 days, platelets lasting 5-7 days, and plasma being stored for up to a year when frozen (Green et al., 2015).

Automated apheresis systems have been suggested to improve the shelf life of certain components, particularly platelets and plasma. Studies have demonstrated that platelets collected via automated methods exhibit less metabolic deterioration and reduced activation during storage compared to those collected manually (van der Meer et al., 2020). This preservation of platelet function is crucial, as platelet viability and functionality decline significantly during storage, affecting their therapeutic efficacy.

In terms of RBCs, research has shown mixed results regarding the impact of automated systems on storage quality. While some studies report that RBCs collected through apheresis exhibit lower levels of hemolysis during storage, others suggest that there is no significant difference in RBC shelf life between manual and automated methods (Pavenski et al., 2012). This highlights the need for further investigation into the long-term storage outcomes of RBCs collected through different methods.

4. Factors Affecting Blood Component Quality and Shelf Life

Several factors influence the quality and shelf life of blood components, regardless of the collection method used. These factors include donor variability, processing time, storage conditions, and handling procedures. Donor characteristics such as age, gender, and overall health can affect the quality of the collected components (Murphy et al., 2011). Additionally, delays in processing or inadequate storage conditions, such as temperature fluctuations, can significantly impact component integrity.

Handling procedures during collection and processing also play a role in determining the quality of blood components. Automated systems are designed to minimize handling and reduce the time between collection and storage, potentially reducing the risk of contamination and mechanical damage to cells (Maitta, 2018). Manual methods, while effective, may involve more handling steps, which can introduce variability in the quality of the final product.

Moreover, the anticoagulants used during collection and storage can influence the quality of blood components. For example, RBCs stored in citrate-phosphate-dextrose-adenine (CPDA-1) tend to have better metabolic stability than those stored in alternative anticoagulants, and similar factors must be considered when assessing platelet and plasma quality (Green et al., 2015).

5. Gaps in the Literature

While several studies have investigated the differences between automated and manual blood collection methods, there is still a need for more direct comparisons of component quality and shelf life under standardized conditions. Most existing studies have focused on specific blood components, such as platelets or plasma, leaving gaps in our understanding of how RBCs fare under automated versus manual methods. Additionally, there is limited data on the long-term storage outcomes of blood components collected through these methods, particularly in real-world settings where variations in processing and storage practices may affect results (van der Meer et al., 2020).

Future research should focus on conducting comprehensive, multicenter studies that compare blood component quality across different donation systems, accounting for factors such as donor variability, processing protocols, and storage conditions. Such research would provide valuable insights into the optimization of blood donation practices and ensure the highest quality of blood components for transfusion purposes.

In summary, the literature indicates that automated blood donation systems may offer advantages in terms of platelet and plasma quality, while the benefits for RBCs remain less clear. While automated methods reduce handling time and minimize donor risk, further research is needed to fully understand the long-term effects of collection methods on blood component quality and shelf life. This study aims to fill these gaps by providing a direct comparison of automated and manual blood donation methods, with a focus on evaluating component quality and storage outcomes.

Methodology

1. Study Design

This study employed a quantitative, comparative analysis to evaluate the effectiveness of automated blood donation systems versus manual methods in maintaining blood component quality. The study was conducted at Tertiary Hospital, where both automated apheresis and manual whole blood donation systems are routinely used. The primary focus was on comparing the quality and shelf life of red blood cells (RBCs), platelets, and plasma collected via these two methods.

2. Study Setting

The research took place in the blood donation center of a tertiary care facility with a dedicated blood bank. The center processes thousands of blood donations annually, using both manual and automated collection systems. The facility is equipped with the latest technologies for blood component analysis, ensuring accurate measurements of component quality and storage parameters.

3. Participants

A total of 200 healthy blood donors participated in the study. Donors were randomly assigned to either the manual whole blood donation group (n=100) or the automated apheresis group (n=100).

Inclusion Criteria:
- Healthy adults aged 18-65 years.
- Eligible for blood donation as per the hospital's guidelines.
- No history of blood-borne infections or chronic conditions affecting blood quality.
- Consent to participate in the study.

Exclusion Criteria:
- Individuals with recent illness or infection.
- Donors with pre-existing conditions that could affect blood component quality (e.g., anemia, coagulation disorders).
- Pregnant or breastfeeding women.

4. Data Collection
Data were collected through laboratory analysis of blood components immediately after donation and during storage at the blood bank.

Blood Collection Methods:
- Manual Whole Blood Donation: Donors in this group gave one unit of whole blood (approximately 500 mL), which was then processed into its components (RBCs, platelets, plasma) using standard laboratory centrifugation and separation techniques.
- Automated Apheresis Donation: Donors in this group underwent apheresis, where specific components (e.g., RBCs, platelets, or plasma) were directly collected, and the remaining components were returned to the donor. This method reduces handling and processing time compared to manual donation.

Quality Parameters Analyzed:
- Red Blood Cells (RBCs): Hemolysis levels, mean corpuscular volume (MCV), pH, and oxygen-carrying capacity were measured on days 1, 14, 28, and 42 of storage.
- Platelets: Platelet count, aggregation function, and response to agonists (e.g., ADP, collagen) were measured on days 1, 3, and 5 (maximum shelf life).
- Plasma: Coagulation factor stability (fibrinogen, Factor VIII, Factor IX) and protein concentration were measured on days 1, 7, and 30 (when frozen).

Shelf Life Analysis:
- RBCs were stored for up to 42 days, the standard shelf life for red blood cells, and assessed for signs of degradation (e.g., hemolysis, reduced oxygen transport capacity).
- Platelets were stored for 5 days, and plasma was frozen and analyzed for stability over 30 days.

5. Data Analysis
All data were analyzed using SPSS for statistical comparison. The following analyses were conducted:

- Descriptive Statistics: Mean and standard deviation for quality parameters (e.g., hemolysis levels, platelet aggregation, coagulation factors) were calculated for both automated and manual donation groups.
- Comparative Analysis: Independent t-tests were used to compare the quality metrics of RBCs, platelets, and plasma between the two groups at each time point (e.g., day 1, day 14).
- Kaplan-Meier Survival Analysis: A survival analysis was conducted to compare the shelf life of components between automated and manual donation methods, focusing on the degradation rate over time.

- Significance Level: A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

6. Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics Committee. The study adhered to ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Informed Consent:

All participants were provided with detailed information about the study, its objectives, and any potential risks. Written informed consent was obtained from each donor before participation. Donors were assured of the confidentiality of their data, and all personal identifiers were removed during data analysis to ensure privacy.

Safety and Monitoring:

Throughout the study, donor safety was prioritized. Donors underwent routine health checks before and after donation, and any adverse reactions were promptly addressed. For the apheresis group, monitoring protocols were followed to ensure safe and efficient collection of blood components.

7. Trustworthiness and Rigor

To ensure the validity and reliability of the findings, the following strategies were implemented:

- Sample Size Calculation: A power analysis was conducted prior to the study to determine an adequate sample size for detecting statistically significant differences in blood component quality.
- Randomization: Donors were randomly assigned to either the manual or automated donation group to minimize selection bias.
- Blinded Analysis: Laboratory technicians conducting the quality assessments were blinded to the donation method to reduce bias in data interpretation.
- Inter-Laboratory Validation: Key measurements (e.g., hemolysis levels, platelet function tests) were validated across multiple laboratories to ensure the accuracy and consistency of the results.

Findings

This study compared the quality and shelf life of blood components collected through automated apheresis and manual whole blood donation methods. The results are presented in three key areas: red blood cells (RBCs), platelets, and plasma. The findings demonstrate differences in component quality and storage stability between the two collection methods.

1. Red Blood Cells (RBCs)

Red blood cell quality was assessed based on hemolysis levels, mean corpuscular volume (MCV), pH, and oxygen-carrying capacity over the 42-day storage period. Table 1 summarizes the results of these parameters at various time points.

Table 1: Red Blood Cell Quality Comparison Between Automated and Manual Methods

Parameter	Method	Day 1	Day 14	Day 28	Day 42
Hemolysis (%)	Automated	0.3 ±0.05	0.6 ±0.07	1.0 ±0.09	1.3 ±0.12

	Manual	0.5 ±0.08	0.9 ±0.10	1.4 ±0.13	1.9 ±0.15
MCV (fL)	Automated	89.5 ±3.2	88.8 ±2.9	88.4 ±3.0	87.9 ±3.1
	Manual	88.7 ±2.9	87.9 ±2.7	87.6 ±2.8	87.1 ±2.9
pH	Automated	7.4 ±0.04	7.3 ±0.05	7.2 ±0.07	7.0 ±0.09
	Manual	7.3 ±0.06	7.2 ±0.08	7.0 ±0.10	6.8 ±0.12
Oxygen-Carrying Capacity	Automated	99.3 ±0.5	98.7 ±0.7	97.9 ±0.9	96.8 ±1.0
	Manual	98.9 ±0.6	98.1 ±0.8	97.1 ±1.0	95.9 ±1.2

Summary of Findings:
Red blood cells collected via automated methods showed lower hemolysis levels throughout the 42-day storage period compared to those collected manually ($p < 0.05$). The pH levels and oxygen-carrying capacity of RBCs were also better preserved in the automated group. These results suggest that automated collection methods may help maintain the quality of RBCs during storage.

2. Platelets
Platelet quality was assessed based on platelet count, aggregation function, and responsiveness to agonists (ADP and collagen) over the 5-day storage period, which represents the maximum shelf life for platelets. Table 2 presents the key findings.

Table 2: Platelet Quality Comparison between Automated and Manual Methods

Parameter	Method	Day 1	Day 3	Day 5
Platelet Count (×10^3/µL)	Automated	256 ±12	240 ±11	230 ±10
	Manual	240 ±14	220 ±13	200 ±12
Aggregation (ADP Response, % Max)	Automated	85 ±3	80 ±4	75 ±5
	Manual	82 ±4	75 ±5	68 ±6
Aggregation (Collagen Response, % Max)	Automated	80 ±3	77 ±4	70 ±4
	Manual	78 ±4	72 ±5	65 ±5

Summary of Findings:
Platelets collected via automated methods demonstrated significantly higher aggregation responses to both ADP and collagen throughout the storage period compared to those collected manually ($p < 0.05$). Platelet counts also remained higher in the automated group. These results suggest that platelets collected via automated systems have superior functionality and a longer shelf life.

3. Plasma
Plasma quality was assessed based on the stability of key coagulation factors (Fibrinogen, Factor VIII, and Factor IX) and protein concentration during the 30-day frozen storage period. Table 3 shows the comparison between the two methods.

Table 3: Plasma Quality Comparison between Automated and Manual Methods

Coagulation Factor	Method	Day 1	Day 7	Day 30
Fibrinogen (mg/dL)	Automated	300 ±10	298 ±9	295 ±8
	Manual	295 ±11	290 ±10	285 ±9
Factor VIII (%)	Automated	110 ±5	105 ±4	100 ±4
	Manual	108 ±6	102 ±5	95 ±5
Factor IX (%)	Automated	115 ±4	112 ±4	105 ±5
	Manual	110 ±5	105 ±5	100 ±6
Protein Concentration (g/L)	Automated	7.0 ±0.2	6.9 ±0.2	6.8 ±0.3
	Manual	6.8 ±0.3	6.7 ±0.3	6.6 ±0.4

Summary of Findings:
Plasma collected via automated methods demonstrated better preservation of coagulation factors (Fibrinogen, Factor VIII, and Factor IX) during frozen storage compared to plasma collected via manual methods ($p < 0.05$). Protein concentration also remained higher in the automated group, suggesting that automated collection methods may better preserve plasma quality during storage.

Discussion
The results of this study highlight important differences in the quality and shelf life of blood components collected through automated and manual blood donation methods. Automated apheresis systems consistently produced higher-quality blood components, with longer shelf lives and superior functional characteristics compared to manual whole blood donation. These findings align with previous research and provide valuable insights for improving blood collection practices to ensure the highest quality components for transfusion.

1. Red Blood Cells (RBCs)
The findings from this study demonstrate that red blood cells (RBCs) collected via automated methods exhibited significantly lower hemolysis levels and better preservation of pH and oxygen-carrying capacity over the 42-day storage period compared to RBCs collected through manual donation. Hemolysis, which reflects the breakdown of red cells, was significantly reduced in the automated group, indicating better cell

integrity. Previous studies have shown that hemolysis can compromise the efficacy of transfusions by reducing the number of viable RBCs, potentially leading to complications for recipients (Murphy et al., 2011). The higher oxygen-carrying capacity of RBCs collected via automated methods also suggests that these cells may be more effective in oxygen transport during transfusion, potentially improving patient outcomes (Pavenski et al., 2012).

These findings are consistent with existing research suggesting that automated systems reduce mechanical stress on RBCs during collection and processing, thereby preserving cell quality (Maitta, 2018). However, it is noteworthy that both methods produced RBCs with acceptable quality for clinical use, though automated systems appear to offer a significant advantage in maintaining cell integrity over time.

2. Platelets

The results for platelet quality further underscore the benefits of automated donation methods. Platelets collected through automated apheresis exhibited significantly higher aggregation responses to both ADP and collagen throughout the 5-day storage period, compared to those collected manually. Platelet functionality, as measured by aggregation response, is critical for ensuring that platelets can effectively support clot formation in transfusion recipients (van der Meer et al., 2020). Additionally, platelet counts remained higher in the automated group, which may further enhance the clinical utility of these platelets.

These findings suggest that automated methods preserve platelet functionality more effectively, potentially due to reduced handling and processing time. Studies have previously shown that platelet activation and deterioration can be exacerbated by prolonged processing or mechanical stress, both of which are minimized in automated systems (Green et al., 2015). This superior preservation of platelet function supports the broader adoption of automated methods for platelet collection, particularly in settings where high-quality platelets are essential for patient care.

3. Plasma

Plasma collected via automated methods also demonstrated better preservation of key coagulation factors (Fibrinogen, Factor VIII, and Factor IX) and protein concentration over the 30-day frozen storage period compared to plasma collected manually. Coagulation factors are essential for controlling bleeding and ensuring proper clot formation during surgical procedures and trauma care (van der Meer et al., 2020). The results from this study show that automated plasma collection methods may be more effective in maintaining the stability of these critical proteins, leading to higher-quality plasma products for transfusion.

This finding is particularly important in clinical settings where plasma is used to treat bleeding disorders or during massive transfusion protocols. Plasma with higher levels of functional coagulation factors can significantly improve patient outcomes, making the preservation of these proteins during storage a priority for blood banks (Murphy et al., 2011).

4. Implications for Practice

The results of this study have several important implications for blood donation practices. First, the superior quality and longer shelf life of blood components collected via automated systems suggest that these methods may be more efficient and beneficial in ensuring a reliable supply of high-quality blood products. Blood banks and healthcare providers could optimize their collection protocols by increasing the use of automated apheresis, particularly for components like platelets and plasma, where the quality differences are most pronounced.

Additionally, the improved preservation of RBCs collected via automated methods indicates that this approach could be particularly useful in settings where long-term storage is required, such as in remote or resource-limited environments where blood products need to be stored for extended periods before use.

5. Limitations of the Study

While the findings of this study provide valuable insights, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the study was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the results to other settings. Different blood banks and collection centers may have varying protocols and equipment, which could influence the outcomes of blood component quality.

Second, the study focused on short- to medium-term storage outcomes, with RBCs evaluated over a 42-day period, platelets over 5 days, and plasma over 30 days. Future research could expand on this by assessing longer-term storage outcomes, particularly for frozen plasma and RBCs.

Finally, while this study analyzed key quality metrics for blood components, other factors such as donor variability, processing times, and storage conditions could also affect component quality. Future studies could incorporate a broader range of variables to better understand the full spectrum of factors influencing blood component quality.

6. Future Research

Future research should focus on conducting larger, multicenter studies to confirm the findings of this study and further explore the impact of automated vs. manual collection methods on blood component quality. Additionally, longitudinal studies could provide valuable insights into the long-term stability and functionality of blood components, particularly in the context of evolving technologies in blood banking.

Further investigation into the economic and logistical implications of automated systems, such as cost-effectiveness and donor experience, would also be beneficial for blood collection organizations. Understanding these factors could inform decisions about the widespread adoption of automated systems in blood donation centers.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that automated blood donation systems offer significant advantages over manual methods in maintaining the quality and shelf life of blood components, including red blood cells, platelets, and plasma. These findings highlight the potential benefits of automated systems in ensuring a high-quality blood supply for transfusion purposes. By adopting automated methods, blood banks may improve the safety and efficacy of transfusions, ultimately enhancing patient outcomes.

References:

1. Green, L., Allard, S., & Cardigan, R. (2015). Modern banking, collection, compatibility testing and storage of blood and blood components. *Anaesthesia*, *70*, 3-e2.
2. Maitta, R. W. (2018). Current state of apheresis technology and its applications. *Transfusion and Apheresis Science*, *57*(5), 606-613.
3. Murphy, M. F., Stanworth, S. J., &Yazer, M. (2011). Transfusion practice and safety: current status and possibilities for improvement. *Vox sanguinis*, *100*(1), 46-59.

4. Pavenski, K., Saidenberg, E., Lavoie, M., Tokessy, M., & Branch, D. R. (2012). Red blood cell storage lesions and related transfusion issues: a Canadian Blood Services research and development symposium. *Transfusion medicine reviews*, *26*(1), 68-84.
5. van der Meer, P. F., Klei, T. R., & de Korte, D. (2020). Quality of platelets in stored whole blood. *Transfusion Medicine Reviews*, *34*(4), 234-241.