Addressing Complaints and Resolving Conflicts: A Qualitative Study of Patient Relations Strategies in Tertiary Care

Fatemah A. Alanazi[1], Sarah A. Alanazi[2], Eman H. AlAmri[3], Jehan H. Benhyzaam[4]

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Abstract

Background: Managing patient complaints and resolving conflicts are critical functions of patient relations teams in tertiary hospitals. Effective communication, empathy, and structured resolution strategies are key to ensuring patient satisfaction and maintaining trust between patients and healthcare providers.

Objectives: This study explores the strategies used by patient relations staff to address complaints and resolve conflicts in a tertiary care setting, examining both staff and patient perspectives on the effectiveness of these strategies.

Methods: A qualitative study was conducted at a tertiary hospital, involving semi-structured interviews with 10 patient relations staff and 15 patients who had lodged formal complaints. Thematic analysis was used to identify key themes related to conflict resolution strategies and patient satisfaction.

Results: Four major themes emerged: (1) Communication as a Conflict Resolution Tool, (2) Balancing Patient Expectations with Hospital Policies, (3) The Role of Empathy in Complaint Handling, and (4) Escalation and Mediation Strategies. Effective communication and empathy were critical to resolving conflicts, while balancing patient expectations with hospital constraints remained a significant challenge.

Conclusion: Patient relations teams in tertiary care play a crucial role in managing complaints through effective communication, empathy, and structured resolution strategies. Addressing the balance between patient expectations and hospital policies is key to improving patient satisfaction.

Keywords: Patient relations, conflict resolution, communication, empathy, tertiary hospital, complaint management

Introduction

In healthcare, patient satisfaction and trust are essential components of quality care, particularly in tertiary hospitals where the complexity of medical cases often leads to heightened patient expectations. One of the critical roles in ensuring that these expectations are met falls upon the patient relations teams, who serve as mediators between patients and healthcare providers. These teams handle complaints, resolve conflicts, and work to restore trust in the healthcare system. As patient-centered care continues to gain importance, the strategies employed by patient relations staff to manage conflicts and address complaints are critical to improving patient experiences and outcomes (Barlow & Moller, 2008).

Complaints in healthcare can arise for various reasons, including communication breakdowns, perceived delays in care, dissatisfaction with medical outcomes, or concerns about staff professionalism (Reader et al., 2014). In tertiary hospitals, where the complexity and volume of care are higher, these issues may be amplified, leading to increased tension between patients and providers. When conflicts arise, the effectiveness of the patient relations team's response can significantly influence a patient's overall experience and their long-term trust in the healthcare system (Bismark et al., 2013).

Although conflict resolution in healthcare has been widely studied, there is limited research on the specific strategies used by patient relations teams to manage complaints and resolve conflicts in tertiary care settings. Most existing research has focused on general patient satisfaction or system-level interventions rather than the interpersonal dynamics of conflict resolution (Reader et al., 2014). This study aims to fill this gap by exploring the strategies employed by patient relations staff in a tertiary hospital setting and examining the perceived effectiveness of these strategies from both staff and patient perspectives.

Research Objectives
- To investigate the strategies used by patient relations teams to address complaints and resolve conflicts in a tertiary hospital setting.
- To explore the perceived effectiveness of these strategies from both staff and patient perspectives.

Literature Review
1. The Role of Patient Relations in Healthcare
Patient relations departments play a critical role in healthcare organizations, acting as intermediaries between patients and healthcare providers. Their primary responsibility is to manage complaints, resolve conflicts, and restore trust between patients and the healthcare institution (Harrison & Walton, 2016). These departments are integral in upholding patient-centered care, which emphasizes the importance of communication, respect for patient preferences, and collaboration between patients and healthcare providers (Epstein & Street, 2011). In tertiary hospitals, where the complexity of care and patient volume are high, the role of patient relations becomes even more crucial, as the likelihood of miscommunication and dissatisfaction increases (Bismark et al., 2013).

2. Common Complaints and Conflicts in Tertiary Care Settings
Complaints in healthcare settings can arise from various factors, including communication breakdowns, perceived delays in care, issues with treatment outcomes, and concerns about staff behavior. Studies have shown that poor communication is often the most significant driver of patient complaints, particularly in complex tertiary care environments where multiple healthcare professionals are involved in patient care (Reader et al., 2014). Delays in treatment, long wait times, and a lack of transparency regarding medical procedures or outcomes are additional factors that contribute to patient dissatisfaction (Pichert et al., 2011).

Tertiary care hospitals, due to their specialized and high-volume nature, often experience higher rates of complaints compared to smaller healthcare facilities (Gillespie and Reader, 2018). The complexity of patient cases, the involvement of numerous specialists, and the heightened expectations from patients contribute to an environment where conflicts are more likely to arise (Spittal et al., 2016). Understanding the nature of these complaints is essential for developing strategies to address them effectively and ensuring patient satisfaction.

3. Conflict Resolution Strategies in Healthcare

Effective conflict resolution in healthcare is essential for maintaining positive relationships between patients and providers. A variety of strategies have been employed to manage complaints and resolve conflicts, including mediation, improved communication training, and fostering empathy in healthcare staff (Barlow & Moller, 2008). Mediation, in particular, has been highlighted as an effective tool for addressing disputes, as it allows for open dialogue and the possibility of collaborative problem-solving between patients and healthcare providers (Farini, 2008).

In many cases, patient relations staff act as mediators, helping patients navigate the healthcare system and ensuring their concerns are addressed. Effective communication is key to conflict resolution, as it helps to clarify misunderstandings, provide transparency, and rebuild trust. Studies have shown that patient satisfaction often improves when complaints are handled with empathy, active listening, and timely responses (Pichert et al., 2011). Additionally, patient relations staff often rely on institutional policies to escalate unresolved complaints, allowing for higher-level intervention when necessary (Harrison & Walton, 2016).

Empathy and emotional support are critical components of successful conflict resolution. Research has demonstrated that patients are more likely to feel satisfied with the resolution of their complaints when they perceive that healthcare staff genuinely understand their concerns and emotions (Epstein & Street, 2011). Training healthcare professionals in empathy and communication skills can significantly reduce the occurrence of conflicts and improve the overall patient experience (Levinson et al., 2010).

4. Challenges Faced by Patient Relations Teams in Tertiary Hospitals

Despite the importance of patient relations, these teams face several challenges, particularly in tertiary care settings. The complexity of patient cases, high patient volume, and the involvement of multiple departments can make resolving complaints difficult (Reader et al., 2014). Patient relations teams often find themselves mediating between patients 'expectations and the operational constraints of the hospital, such as resource limitations or policies that may not align with individual patient needs (Gillespie and Reader, 2018).

Furthermore, some complaints may involve medical errors or clinical issues that require careful handling, particularly in cases where legal implications are a concern. In such instances, patient relations teams must work closely with risk management and legal departments to ensure that complaints are addressed appropriately without escalating into litigation (Bismark et al., 2013). Balancing transparency with protecting the hospital's interests can create tension, requiring skilled negotiation and communication by patient relations staff.

5. Gaps in the Literature

While there is significant research on patient satisfaction and conflict resolution in healthcare, there is limited qualitative exploration of the specific strategies used by patient relations teams to resolve complaints in tertiary care settings. Most studies focus on broad patient satisfaction metrics or system-wide interventions, with little attention given to the nuanced interpersonal interactions between patients and patient relations staff (Levinson et al., 2010). Additionally, while empathy and communication training are acknowledged as critical, there is a need for further research into how these skills are implemented in practice by patient relations teams in high-pressure hospital environments (Harrison & Walton, 2016).

By exploring the perspectives of both patient relations staff and patients in tertiary hospitals, this study aims to fill this gap, offering insights into the strategies used to manage complaints and conflicts, as well as their perceived effectiveness.

The role of patient relations in tertiary care is increasingly recognized as essential in ensuring patient satisfaction and maintaining trust between patients and healthcare institutions. While numerous strategies, such as mediation and improved communication, are known to be effective in resolving conflicts, the challenges faced by patient relations teams in tertiary hospitals highlight the need for a deeper understanding of the interpersonal dynamics at play. This literature review underscores the importance of empathy, communication, and the need for qualitative insights into patient relations strategies to address the complex nature of complaints in tertiary healthcare settings.

Methodology

1. Study Design

This study utilized a qualitative research design to explore the strategies employed by patient relations teams to address complaints and resolve conflicts in a tertiary hospital setting. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with both patient relations staff and patients who had filed complaints, aiming to capture a comprehensive understanding of conflict resolution strategies from both perspectives.

2. Study Setting

The research was conducted at a large, multispecialty tertiary care hospital with a dedicated patient relations department. The hospital receives a high volume of patient complaints annually, making it an ideal setting to explore how conflicts are managed and resolved. The patient relations team is responsible for handling complaints, mediating between patients and hospital staff, and ensuring patient satisfaction.

3. Participants

Patient Relations Staff:

A purposive sampling method was used to select patient relations staff members who regularly handle patient complaints. A total of 10 staff members participated, representing varying roles within the department, including patient relations officers, mediators, and department managers. These participants were selected based on their experience in conflict resolution and their ability to provide insights into the strategies used in complaint management.

Patients:

Patients who had lodged formal complaints within the last six months were also invited to participate in the study. A total of 15 patients were selected using purposive sampling, ensuring diversity in the types of complaints raised (e.g., communication issues, delays in care, medical outcomes). Inclusion criteria for patients included:

- Aged 18 years and older.
- Filed a formal complaint with the hospital's patient relations department.
- Willingness to participate in an in-depth interview.

Exclusion Criteria:

Patients who had filed complaints related to ongoing litigation or legal disputes were excluded to avoid any legal implications affecting the study.

4. Data Collection

Data were collected through in-depth, semi-structured interviews conducted over a 4-month period. Interviews were conducted in a private setting within the hospital to ensure confidentiality and allow participants to speak freely about their experiences.

Interviews with Patient Relations Staff:

The interviews with patient relations staff focused on the strategies they used to manage and resolve patient complaints. The interview guide included questions such as:

- "Can you describe a typical process for handling a patient complaint?"
- "What strategies do you find most effective in resolving conflicts between patients and healthcare providers?"
- "How do you balance hospital policies with the expectations of patients during complaint resolution?"

Each interview lasted between 45 minutes to 1 hour.

Interviews with Patients:

The patient interviews aimed to explore their experiences with the complaint resolution process, including their perceptions of how well their complaints were handled and whether they were satisfied with the outcomes. Sample questions included:

- "Can you describe the process you went through when filing your complaint?"
- "How did the patient relations team respond to your concerns?"
- "Were you satisfied with how the conflict was resolved?"

Each patient interview lasted between 30 minutes to 45 minutes.

Recording and Transcription:

All interviews were audio-recorded with the consent of participants and were transcribed verbatim for analysis. Transcripts were anonymized to protect participant confidentiality.

5. Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using thematic analysis, following the six-step process outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). The steps included familiarization with the data, coding, theme development, reviewing themes, defining themes, and reporting the findings. NVivo software was used to assist with data organization and coding.

Step 1: Familiarization with the Data

The researchers read through the transcripts multiple times to gain a deep understanding of the data and identify preliminary themes related to complaint handling and conflict resolution.

Step 2: Generating Initial Codes

Initial coding involved identifying key phrases, strategies, and actions described by both patient relations staff and patients. Codes were developed to capture recurring patterns, such as "empathy and listening," "communication breakdowns," and "escalation of complaints."

Step 3: Searching for Themes

Once the data had been coded, the researchers grouped related codes into broader themes. For example, codes related to "empathy" and "active listening" were grouped under the theme "Effective Communication Strategies."

Step 4: Reviewing Themes
The themes were reviewed and refined to ensure they accurately captured the strategies and experiences described by participants. Themes that were similar were merged, and any themes that did not have enough supporting data were discarded.

Step 5: Defining and Naming Themes
The final themes were clearly defined, and sub-themes were created where applicable. Four major themes emerged: (1) Communication as a Conflict Resolution Tool, (2) Balancing Patient Expectations with Hospital Policies, (3) The Role of Empathy in Complaint Handling, and (4) Escalation and Mediation Strategies.

Step 6: Writing Up the Findings
The findings were organized into a coherent narrative, with direct quotes from participants used to illustrate key points.

6. Ethical Considerations
Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics Committeeat a Tertiary Hospital. All participants provided informed consent prior to their interviews, and they were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without consequence.

Confidentiality and Anonymity
All personal identifiers were removed from the transcripts to ensure confidentiality. Audio recordings and transcripts were stored securely, and only the research team had access to the data. Participant anonymity was maintained in all reports and publications related to the study.

Emotional Support
Given the potentially sensitive nature of discussing complaints and conflicts, participants were provided with access to emotional support services within the hospital if needed. Patients who had negative experiences were offered additional follow-up from the patient relations team.

7. Trustworthiness and Rigor
To ensure the trustworthiness of the findings, the following strategies were implemented:

- Credibility: Member checking was used, where participants were given the opportunity to review their interview transcripts to confirm the accuracy of their statements and provide additional insights if necessary.
- Transferability: Thick descriptions of both patient and staff experiences were provided to enable other researchers or practitioners to assess the applicability of the findings to similar contexts.
- Dependability: An audit trail was maintained throughout the research process, documenting all decisions made during data collection and analysis to ensure transparency and replicability.
- Confirmability: Reflexivity was practiced by the research team, acknowledging any potential biases and ensuring that the analysis remained focused on the participants' perspectives.

Findings
The thematic analysis of interviews revealed four major themes related to the strategies used by patient relations staff in resolving complaints and conflicts. These themes reflect both the perspectives of the patient relations team and patients who had lodged complaints. The key themes include: (1) Communication as a

Conflict Resolution Tool, (2) Balancing Patient Expectations with Hospital Policies, (3) The Role of Empathy in Complaint Handling, and (4) Escalation and Mediation Strategies.

Theme 1: Communication as a Conflict Resolution Tool

Effective communication emerged as a central theme in resolving patient complaints and conflicts. Both patient relations staff and patients highlighted the importance of clear, transparent, and timely communication in addressing issues and rebuilding trust.

Sub-theme 1.1: Active Listening and Transparency

Patient relations staff emphasized the importance of active listening and transparency when managing complaints. Staff reported that allowing patients to fully express their concerns without interruption was often the first step in de-escalating conflicts.

- Patient Relations Officer 1:
"The first thing we do is listen. Patients need to feel heard, and once they know we're really listening, it helps calm the situation. Transparency is key—being open about what went wrong and what we can do to fix it."

Patients echoed the importance of communication, noting that feeling heard and receiving clear explanations of the issue made a significant difference in how they perceived the resolution process.

- Patient 7:
"I was really upset at first, but once they took the time to listen and explain things properly, I felt more comfortable. It was important for me to understand what had gone wrong and how they were going to fix it."

Sub-theme 1.2: Timely Updates and Follow-up

Both patients and staff agreed that timely updates and follow-ups during the complaint resolution process were crucial for maintaining trust and satisfaction. Delays in communication or lack of follow-up were seen as major contributors to patient dissatisfaction.

- Patient Relations Officer 4:
"We make sure to give patients regular updates. Even if we don't have a final solution yet, just letting them know we're still working on it makes a big difference."

- Patient 11:
"They kept me informed every step of the way, which really helped. I didn't feel left in the dark, and that made me trust them more."

Theme 2: Balancing Patient Expectations with Hospital Policies

A recurring challenge for patient relations staff was balancing patient expectations with the operational constraints and policies of the hospital. While patients often had high expectations regarding how their complaints should be resolved, staff had to navigate within institutional policies and resources.

Sub-theme 2.1: Managing Unrealistic Expectations

Patient relations officers frequently encountered complaints where patients 'expectations were not feasible

given the hospital's policies or resource limitations. Managing these expectations while maintaining patient satisfaction was a delicate task.

- Patient Relations Officer 2:
"Sometimes patients expect things that we just can't deliver, like immediate surgery or certain treatments that aren't covered. We have to explain why things can't happen the way they want, but in a way that they still feel supported."

Sub-theme 2.2: Policy Flexibility
In some cases, staff described having the flexibility to deviate slightly from standard policies to resolve complaints more effectively. However, this flexibility was often limited, and staff had to ensure that their decisions aligned with the hospital's overall framework.

- Patient Relations Officer 5:
"We can't always bend the rules, but there are times when we can be flexible—like adjusting a billing issue or fast-tracking certain tests—if it helps resolve the complaint and doesn't compromise the system."
Patients, on the other hand, expressed frustration when their expectations were not met, particularly when they felt that hospital policies were rigid or inflexible.

- Patient 3:
"I felt like they were just hiding behind policies. They kept saying, 'That's just how it is,'which didn't help at all."

Theme 3: The Role of Empathy in Complaint Handling
Empathy was identified as a core strategy in resolving patient complaints. Both patients and staff emphasized the importance of demonstrating empathy throughout the resolution process, as it helped to de-escalate conflicts and foster a sense of understanding.

Sub-theme 3.1: Emotional Validation
Patient relations staff reported that acknowledging the emotional aspect of patient complaints—such as frustration, fear, or anger—was a critical step in managing conflicts. By validating these emotions, staff were able to build rapport and create a more constructive dialogue.

- Patient Relations Officer 3:
"Patients are often frustrated or scared, and just saying, 'I understand how you're feeling,'can change the whole conversation. Once they know we care about how they're feeling, they're more open to finding a solution."
Patients confirmed that receiving empathy and emotional validation from staff significantly improved their overall experience with the complaint process.

- Patient 5:
"They really understood what I was going through. It wasn't just about fixing the problem; it was about showing that they cared about how I felt. That made all the difference."

Sub-theme 3.2: Personal Connection
Some patients noted that forming a personal connection with the patient relations staff helped to build trust

and resolve the conflict more effectively.

- Patient 9:

"She was so kind and really made me feel like I mattered. I wasn't just another number or complaint to her, and that made me feel like the hospital actually cared."

Theme 4: Escalation and Mediation Strategies

In cases where initial resolution attempts failed, escalation to higher authorities or formal mediation processes was sometimes necessary. Staff described using escalation strategically to ensure a fair resolution, while patients appreciated when their concerns were taken seriously and addressed at a higher level.

Sub-theme 4.1: Escalation to Management

Patient relations staff explained that certain complaints required escalation to department heads or hospital management, particularly when the issue involved clinical decisions or policy-related concerns.

- Patient Relations Officer 6:

"If it's a clinical issue, we often have to escalate to the medical director or department heads. These cases can't always be resolved at our level, but involving higher management shows the patient that we're taking their complaint seriously."

Sub-theme 4.2: FormalMediation

For more complex conflicts, particularly those involving multiple parties or long-standing disputes, formal mediation was employed. This allowed for a structured dialogue between patients and healthcare providers, facilitated by a neutral third party.

- Patient Relations Officer 7:

"Mediation can be very effective when there's a breakdown in communication between patients and staff. Having a third party guide the conversation helps both sides feel heard and come to a mutual understanding."

Patients who participated in formal mediation often reported positive outcomes, though some felt that the process was lengthy.

- Patient 12:

"It took a while to get everything sorted, but in the end, the mediation helped clear up the misunderstandings. It was good to have someone neutral there to make sure both sides were heard."

Discussion

This study explored the strategies employed by patient relations staff to resolve complaints and conflicts in a tertiary hospital setting, with insights from both staff and patients. The findings reveal the central importance of communication, empathy, and structured conflict resolution strategies. These themes reflect the complex nature of patient relations work and offer key lessons for improving conflict management in healthcare.

1. Effective Communication as a Core Conflict Resolution Tool

The role of communication emerged as a fundamental tool in resolving patient complaints, echoing findings

from previous studies that underscore communication as the cornerstone of patient-centered care (Epstein & Street, 2011). Both patients and staff highlighted the importance of active listening, transparency, and timely follow-up, reinforcing the idea that when patients feel heard and informed, conflicts are more easily de-escalated. Research suggests that communication failures are often at the heart of healthcare complaints (Reader et al., 2014), and this study reinforces the need for clear, transparent, and ongoing communication to ensure patient satisfaction.

The findings align with existing literature emphasizing that poor communication exacerbates patient dissatisfaction, especially in complex healthcare settings like tertiary hospitals (Gillespie and Reader, 2018). By implementing regular updates and clear explanations, patient relations staff can reduce misunderstandings and help patients feel more in control of their care experience.

2. Balancing Patient Expectations with Hospital Policies
One of the more complex challenges identified in this study was balancing patient expectations with the limitations of hospital policies and resources. Patient relations staff often found themselves navigating between the rigid operational constraints of the hospital and the sometimes-unrealistic expectations of patients. This tension between institutional policies and patient needs has been previously highlighted in the literature, particularly in tertiary care environments where medical complexity and administrative procedures often lead to delays and dissatisfaction (Bismark et al., 2013).

Interestingly, patients in this study frequently expressed frustration with the hospital's inflexibility, suggesting that hospital policies are often perceived as impersonal barriers. While patient relations staff are tasked with enforcing these policies, the findings suggest that staff who demonstrate flexibility—when appropriate—are more successful in resolving conflicts. As Harrison and Walton (2016) note, hospitals that allow patient relations teams a degree of flexibility can better address patient concerns and improve overall satisfaction. However, in cases where flexibility is not possible, transparent communication about why certain actions cannot be taken is crucial to managing patient expectations.

3. The Critical Role of Empathy in Conflict Resolution
Empathy emerged as a key factor in successfully resolving complaints, reinforcing the importance of emotional intelligence in patient relations work. Both patients and staff described empathy as essential in creating a positive interaction, especially during emotionally charged complaints. This finding aligns with previous research that shows how empathy, combined with active listening, can significantly reduce patient frustration and promote cooperative problem-solving (Levinson et al., 2010).

By acknowledging patients 'emotional experiences, patient relations staff were able to de-escalate conflicts more effectively. Patients who felt emotionally validated were more likely to accept the outcome of the complaint process, even if their expectations were not fully met. This finding supports the view that empathy plays a dual role in healthcare: it not only addresses the emotional needs of patients but also serves as a practical tool for conflict resolution (Pichert et al., 2011). Training healthcare staff to incorporate empathy into their communication skills is therefore essential for improving both patient relations and overall care quality.

4. Escalation and Mediation as Structured Conflict Resolution Strategies
In cases where initial communication and empathy were not sufficient to resolve a complaint, escalation and formal mediation were employed as more structured conflict resolution strategies. Escalation to higher

management or department heads was necessary when complaints involved clinical decisions or when hospital policies were at odds with patient expectations. This finding is consistent with previous research that highlights the importance of having formal escalation pathways for unresolved complaints, as it signals to patients that their concerns are being taken seriously (Bismark et al., 2013).

Formal mediation, used in more complex disputes, was also identified as an effective method for managing long-standing or multi-party conflicts. As Farini (2008) note, mediation offers a neutral platform for patients and healthcare providers to discuss their concerns in a structured environment, facilitating mutual understanding and resolution. Patients in this study generally reported positive experiences with mediation, though some expressed concerns about the length of the process.

The use of these escalation and mediation strategies suggests that patient relations teams in tertiary care settings benefit from a multi-tiered approach to conflict resolution. While not all complaints require formal mediation, having this option available can prevent conflicts from escalating further and preserve the patient-provider relationship.

5. Challenges and Implications for Practice

The findings of this study underscore several challenges faced by patient relations teams in tertiary hospitals. One of the primary challenges is managing the disconnect between patient expectations and institutional realities. Many patients enter tertiary hospitals with high expectations, particularly regarding the speed and level of care they believe they should receive. However, hospital resources, staff limitations, and policy constraints often prevent the fulfillment of these expectations.

To address this challenge, hospitals should invest in communication training that equips patient relations staff with the skills needed to manage patient expectations early in the process. Providing patients with clear, realistic information about what can be achieved within the hospital's framework is essential for reducing complaints related to unmet expectations.

Additionally, hospitals should consider offering more flexibility in how policies are applied, especially in non-clinical matters such as billing or scheduling. When patient relations teams are empowered to make small adjustments within the bounds of hospital policy, patient satisfaction can improve without compromising the hospital's operational integrity.

6. Limitations of the Study

While this study provides valuable insights into patient relations strategies, there are several limitations to consider. First, the study was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other settings. Different hospitals may have varying policies and resources, which could influence how complaints and conflicts are managed.

Second, the sample size, while appropriate for a qualitative study, was relatively small. Future research could benefit from a larger sample size or multi-site study to validate the findings. Additionally, the study relied on self-reported data, which may be subject to bias, as participants may have provided socially desirable responses.

7. Future Research

Future research could explore the long-term outcomes of different conflict resolution strategies, examining

how patients perceive their care after a complaint has been resolved. Additionally, comparative studies across different hospital settings—such as public versus private hospitals or tertiary versus secondary care—could provide further insights into how institutional factors shape patient relations strategies.

Further exploration of the emotional and psychological effects of complaints on both patients and healthcare staff could also yield valuable insights into how hospitals can better support both parties during and after the conflict resolution process.

Conclusion

This study highlights the importance of communication, empathy, and structured conflict resolution strategies in addressing patient complaints in tertiary care settings. While patient relations teams play a crucial role in managing conflicts, balancing patient expectations with hospital policies remains a significant challenge. By enhancing communication practices, fostering empathy, and utilizing escalation and mediation strategies, hospitals can improve patient satisfaction and strengthen their relationships with patients. Future research should continue to explore the evolving role of patient relations in healthcare to ensure that both patient and institutional needs are effectively met.

References:

1. Barlow, J., &Møller, C. (2008). *A complaint is a gift: recovering customer loyalty when things go wrong*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

2. Bismark, M. M., Spittal, M. J., Gurrin, L. C., Ward, M., &Studdert, D. M. (2013). Identification of doctors at risk of recurrent complaints: a national study of healthcare complaints in Australia. *BMJ quality & safety*, *22*(7), 532-540.

3. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, *3*(2), 77-101.

4. Epstein, R. M., & Street, R. L. (2011). The values and value of patient-centered care. *The Annals of Family Medicine*, *9*(2), 100-103.

5. Farini, F. (2008). Intercultural and interlinguistical mediation in the healthcare system: the challenge of conflict management. *Migracijskeietničketeme*, (3), 251-271.

6. Gillespie, A., & Reader, T. W. (2018). Patient-centered insights: using health care complaints to reveal hot spots and blind spots in quality and safety. *The Milbank Quarterly*, *96*(3), 530-567.

7. Harrison, R., Walton, M., Healy, J., Smith-Merry, J., & Hobbs, C. (2016). Patient complaints about hospital services: applying a complaint taxonomy to analyse and respond to complaints. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, *28*(2), 240-245.

8. Levinson, W., Lesser, C. S., & Epstein, R. M. (2010). Developing physician communication skills for patient-centered care. *Health affairs*, *29*(7), 1310-1318.

9. Pichert, J. W., Hickson, G., & Moore, I. (2011). Using patient complaints to promote patient safety.

10. Reader, T. W., Gillespie, A., & Roberts, J. (2014). Patient complaints in healthcare systems: a systematic review and coding taxonomy. *BMJ quality & safety*, *23*(8), 678-689.

11. Spittal, M. J., Bismark, M. M., &Studdert, D. M. (2015). The PRONE score: an algorithm for predicting doctors' risks of formal patient complaints using routinely collected administrative data. *BMJ quality & safety*, *24*(6), 360-368.