Prevalence of Vitamin D Deficiency in Hospitalized Patients: Implications for Chronic Disease Management and Clinical Outcomes

Abdulilah A. Alkolifi[1], Nawaf S. Al Mutairi[2], Ahmed S. Alzahrani[3], Mohammed A. K. Almutairi[4]

Prince Sultan Military Medical City

Abstract

Background: Vitamin D deficiency is prevalent among hospitalized patients and is associated with adverse clinical outcomes. This study aimed to evaluate the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in hospitalized patients and its implications for disease management and clinical outcomes.

Methods: A cross-sectional observational study was conducted in a tertiary hospital, including 400 hospitalized patients. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels were measured, and patients were classified as deficient (<20 ng/mL), insufficient (20–30 ng/mL), or sufficient (>30 ng/mL). Clinical outcomes, including length of hospital stay, infection rates, and mortality, were analyzed.

Results: The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency was 55%, with an additional 25% classified as insufficient. Vitamin D deficiency was associated with longer hospital stays (9.1 vs. 6.2 days, $p = 0.013$), higher infection rates (18% vs. 9%, $p = 0.027$), and increased mortality (15% vs. 6%, $p = 0.041$). Older age, higher BMI, and the presence of cardiovascular disease were associated with an increased likelihood of deficiency.

Conclusion: Vitamin D deficiency is common among hospitalized patients and is associated with adverse clinical outcomes. Routine screening and supplementation for vitamin D deficiency should be considered in hospital settings, particularly for high-risk patients.

Keywords: Vitamin D deficiency, hospitalized patients, prevalence, chronic disease, clinical outcomes, infection rates, mortality

Introduction

Vitamin D plays a crucial role in maintaining bone health, immune function, and overall physiological well-being. It is well-documented that vitamin D deficiency can lead to conditions such as osteoporosis, impaired immune response, and increased susceptibility to chronic diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and respiratory infections (Prentice, 2008). Recent studies suggest that hospitalized patients, particularly those with acute and chronic illnesses, may be at an increased risk of vitamin D deficiency, which could impact their recovery and overall clinical outcomes (Keyfi et al., 2018).

The global prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is rising, with estimates suggesting that approximately 1 billion people worldwide have insufficient levels of vitamin D (Holick, 2017). Hospitalized patients are particularly vulnerable due to factors such as limited sun exposure, pre-existing chronic conditions, and the

potential for malnutrition. In addition, studies have shown that vitamin D deficiency may be associated with worse outcomes in patients suffering from chronic illnesses, prolonged hospital stays, and increased mortality (Pilz et al., 2011).

Despite growing awareness, vitamin D deficiency often goes undiagnosed in hospitalized patients, particularly in settings where routine screening is not part of standard clinical practice. There is limited research on the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in hospitalized patients, and its potential impact on disease management and recovery remains underexplored. This study aims to evaluate the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in hospitalized patients and to investigate its implications for the management of chronic diseases and clinical outcomes.

Literature Review

1. Overview of Vitamin D and Its Role in Health

Vitamin D is a fat-soluble vitamin that is crucial for maintaining calcium and phosphate homeostasis, which in turn supports bone mineralization and skeletal health. Its deficiency can lead to rickets in children and osteomalacia in adults, both of which are characterized by weakened bones (Prentice, 2008). Beyond skeletal health, vitamin D has been implicated in various physiological processes, including the modulation of the immune system, inflammation regulation, and cell proliferation (Pilz et al., 2011). The primary source of vitamin D is through exposure to sunlight, specifically ultraviolet B (UVB) radiation, which converts 7-dehydrocholesterol in the skin to vitamin D3 (cholecalciferol). This is followed by hydroxylation in the liver and kidneys to produce the biologically active form, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (Pilz et al., 2011).

2. Prevalence of Vitamin D Deficiency

Vitamin D deficiency is considered a global public health issue, with estimates suggesting that up to 1 billion people worldwide are deficient or insufficient in this nutrient (Holick, 2017). Populations at the highest risk of deficiency include the elderly, those with darker skin, people living at higher latitudes, and individuals with limited sun exposure. Hospitalized patients are particularly vulnerable to vitamin D deficiency due to multiple factors, such as their medical conditions, prolonged periods indoors, and potentially inadequate nutritional intake (Thacher and Clarke, 2011).

In a study conducted by Holick (2017), it was found that nearly 50% of the global population suffers from vitamin D insufficiency or deficiency. Moreover, hospitalized patients, especially those in critical care units, are often at a higher risk of deficiency due to their underlying health conditions, malnutrition, and lack of exposure to sunlight (Amrein et al., 2014). Research has also demonstrated that deficiency rates tend to be higher in patients with chronic illnesses, including cardiovascular diseases, respiratory disorders, and diabetes (Schöttker et al., 2014).

3. Vitamin D Deficiency in Hospitalized Patients

Vitamin D deficiency in hospitalized patients has been associated with increased risks of morbidity and mortality, particularly in patients with chronic diseases or those requiring intensive care. Amrein et al. (2014) conducted a study in critically ill patients, showing that vitamin D deficiency was associated with a higher mortality rate, longer hospital stays, and increased rates of infections. These findings suggest that vitamin D plays a crucial role in immune function, and deficiency may impair the body’s ability to fight infections and recover from critical illness.

Other studies have shown that vitamin D deficiency is prevalent in patients with conditions such as cardiovascular diseases, respiratory infections, and diabetes, all of which are common comorbidities in hospitalized patients. A study by Pilz et al. (2011) found that patients with cardiovascular disease who had low vitamin D levels were more likely to experience adverse outcomes, including heart failure and increased mortality. Furthermore, vitamin D has been implicated in the regulation of blood glucose levels and insulin sensitivity, making it relevant for patients with diabetes (Schöttker et al., 2014).

4. Implications for Disease Management

Vitamin D's role in managing chronic conditions such as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and respiratory infections is increasingly recognized. Adequate vitamin D levels have been shown to modulate immune responses, reduce inflammation, and improve cardiovascular function (Schöttker et al., 2014). In hospitalized patients, these effects are critical, as vitamin D deficiency may exacerbate underlying chronic conditions, leading to prolonged hospital stays, complications, and higher readmission rates (Thacher and Clarke, 2011).

In patients with respiratory illnesses, such as those hospitalized for pneumonia or COVID-19, vitamin D has been shown to improve lung function and reduce the risk of respiratory infections (Martineau et al., 2017). Vitamin D supplementation has also been studied as a potential adjunct therapy to improve outcomes in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma, conditions that are commonly seen in hospitalized patients (Amrein et al., 2014).

5. Vitamin D Screening and Supplementation in Hospital Settings

Despite the high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency, routine screening for vitamin D levels is not consistently implemented in hospital settings. The lack of standardized guidelines for vitamin D screening in hospitalized patients may contribute to the underdiagnosis of deficiency, even in populations at high risk (Keyfi et al., 2018). Furthermore, while vitamin D supplementation has been shown to improve outcomes in deficient individuals, it is not routinely administered in many hospitals unless patients are identified as being at significant risk (Thacher and Clarke, 2011).

Studies have suggested that early identification and supplementation of vitamin D in hospitalized patients may improve clinical outcomes, reduce complications, and shorten the length of hospital stay (Amrein et al., 2014). In light of this, there is a growing interest in the potential benefits of incorporating routine vitamin D screening and supplementation as part of the standard care for high-risk hospitalized patients.

6. Gaps in Research and Future Directions

While the evidence supporting the importance of vitamin D in hospitalized patients continues to grow, there remain gaps in the research, particularly in terms of its long-term effects on chronic disease management. Most studies have focused on critically ill patients or those with specific chronic conditions, leaving a need for broader research across various hospital populations. Additionally, further research is needed to establish clear guidelines for the screening and supplementation of vitamin D in hospitalized patients, particularly in relation to specific disease outcomes (Keyfi et al., 2018).

The literature strongly suggests that vitamin D deficiency is a widespread issue, particularly in hospitalized patients who are at higher risk due to their medical conditions, lack of sunlight exposure, and possible malnutrition. Given its implications for immune function, chronic disease management, and recovery, the potential benefits of routine screening and supplementation in hospitalized patients are significant. This

study will contribute to the growing body of research by evaluating the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in hospitalized patients and exploring its implications for disease management and clinical outcomes.

Methodology

Study Design

This study employed a cross-sectional observational design to evaluate the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in hospitalized patients and its potential implications for disease management. The study was conducted over a six-month period, at a tertiary care hospital. The primary objective was to assess serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] levels in hospitalized patients and explore associations between vitamin D deficiency, chronic diseases, and clinical outcomes.

Study Population

The study included adult patients (aged 18 years or older) who were admitted to the general medical, surgical, and intensive care units of the hospital during the study period. Patients were recruited consecutively upon admission.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Patients aged 18 years or older.
- Patients hospitalized for at least 48 hours.
- Patients who had not been taking vitamin D supplements in the last three months before admission.
- Patients who provided written informed consent to participate in the study.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Patients with pre-existing metabolic bone diseases (e.g., osteomalacia, rickets).
- Patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) or liver failure.
- Patients who had received high-dose vitamin D supplementation within the last three months.
- Patients with incomplete medical records or missing data on serum vitamin D levels.

A total of 400 patients met the eligibility criteria and were included in the final analysis.

Data Collection

Data were collected from patients ’electronic medical records (EMR) and through laboratory testing. The following information was gathered:

1.Demographic Data:

- Age, gender, body mass index (BMI), ethnicity, and smoking status.

2.Clinical Data:

- Primary diagnosis on admission, comorbid conditions (e.g., diabetes, cardiovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), length of hospital stay, and history of chronic disease.

3.Laboratory Data:

- Blood samples were collected from each patient within 24 hours of admission to measure serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] levels. Serum calcium, phosphorus, and parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels were also measured as secondary parameters related to bone metabolism.

Vitamin D Levels Classification:
- Deficient: Serum 25(OH)D < 20 ng/mL.
- Insufficient: Serum 25(OH)D between 20-30 ng/mL.
- Sufficient: Serum 25(OH)D > 30 ng/mL.

4.Outcome Data:
- The primary outcome was the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among hospitalized patients.
- Secondary outcomes included the association of vitamin D levels with length of hospital stay, recovery time, incidence of infections, and mortality.

Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed using SPSS version. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population. The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency was calculated and stratified by age, gender, BMI, and comorbid conditions.

-Chi-square tests were used to compare categorical variables such as gender, comorbid conditions, and vitamin D status.
-Independent t-tests or ANOVA were used to compare continuous variables (e.g., age, BMI, length of stay) across different vitamin D status groups (deficient, insufficient, and sufficient).
-Pearson correlation was used to assess the relationship between vitamin D levels and length of hospital stay, infection rates, and other clinical outcomes.
-Multivariate regression analysis was performed to adjust for potential confounders such as age, gender, and comorbid conditions when evaluating the impact of vitamin D deficiency on clinical outcomes.

Significance Level: A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations
The study was approved by the ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before enrollment. All data were anonymized to ensure confidentiality, and the study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients were free to withdraw from the study at any point without any effect on their care.

Limitations
Several limitations should be considered when interpreting the results of this study. First, the cross-sectional design does not allow for causal inferences between vitamin D deficiency and clinical outcomes. Second, the study was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other healthcare settings. Finally, while the study focused on the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency, other factors such as dietary intake, sun exposure, and seasonal variations in vitamin D levels were not captured in detail.

Findings

1. Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics
A total of 400 patients were included in the study. The average age of the study population was 64.7 years (SD = 15.2), with 55% male and 45% female participants. The most common comorbidities were hypertension (60%), diabetes (45%), and cardiovascular disease (38%). The mean body mass index (BMI) was 27.6 kg/m² (SD = 4.8).

Table 1: Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

Characteristic	Total (n = 400)	Vitamin D Deficient (n = 220)	Vitamin D Insufficient (n = 100)	Vitamin D Sufficient (n = 80)	p-value
Age (years)	64.7 ±15.2	66.3 ±14.8	62.4 ±14.3	60.1 ±13.9	0.024
Male (%)	55%	57%	52%	51%	0.482
BMI (kg/m²)	27.6 ±4.8	28.3 ±4.7	27.1 ±4.3	26.4 ±4.1	0.031
Hypertension (%)	60%	65%	57%	49%	0.041
Diabetes (%)	45%	48%	42%	38%	0.076
Cardiovascular Disease (%)	38%	44%	36%	30%	0.039

2. Prevalence of Vitamin D Deficiency

The overall prevalence of vitamin D deficiency (serum 25(OH)D < 20 ng/mL) was 55% (n = 220), while 25% (n = 100) of patients were classified as insufficient (20–30 ng/mL). Only 20% (n = 80) of the hospitalized patients had sufficient vitamin D levels (>30 ng/mL).

Table 2: Prevalence of Vitamin D Levels

Vitamin D Status	n	%
Deficient (< 20 ng/mL)	220	55%
Insufficient (20-30 ng/mL)|	100	25%
Sufficient (> 30 ng/mL)	80	20%

3. Association Between Vitamin D Deficiency and Clinical Outcomes

Vitamin D deficiency was significantly associated with longer hospital stays, higher infection rates, and increased mortality. The mean length of hospital stay was 9.1 days in the vitamin D-deficient group, compared to 6.2 days in the sufficient group (p = 0.013). Similarly, patients with vitamin D deficiency had a higher rate of hospital-acquired infections (18%) compared to those with sufficient levels (9%, p = 0.027).

Table 3: Association of Vitamin D Levels with Clinical Outcomes

Outcome	Deficient (n = 220)	Insufficient (n = 100)	Sufficient (n = 80)	p-value
Length of Stay (days)	9.1 ±3.2	7.4 ±2.8	6.2 ±2.6	0.013
Hospital-acquired infections	18%	12%	9%	0.027
Mortality Rate	15%	8%	6%	0.041

4. Subgroup Analysis

Subgroup analysis revealed that older patients (≥65 years) and those with higher BMI were more likely to be vitamin D deficient. Patients with cardiovascular disease were also found to have a higher prevalence of vitamin D deficiency compared to those without cardiovascular conditions.

Table 4: Subgroup Analysis of Vitamin D Deficiency by Age and Comorbidities

Subgroup	Vitamin D Deficient (%)	p-value
Age ≥ 65 years	68%	0.022
Age < 65 years	42%	
BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²	63%	0.031
BMI < 30 kg/m²	48%	
Cardiovascular Disease	44%	0.039
No Cardiovascular Disease	32%	

Summary of Findings

The study revealed a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency (55%) among hospitalized patients. Vitamin D deficiency was significantly associated with longer hospital stays, higher infection rates, and increased mortality. Older patients, those with higher BMI, and individuals with cardiovascular diseases were particularly at risk of deficiency. These findings highlight the potential need for routine screening and vitamin D supplementation in hospitalized patients, particularly in vulnerable subgroups.

Discussion

The results of this study reveal a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among hospitalized patients, with 55% of patients classified as deficient and an additional 25% as insufficient. These findings are consistent with previous research, which has shown that hospitalized patients, particularly those with chronic illnesses, are at greater risk for vitamin D deficiency (Holick, 2017; Amrein et al., 2014). The implications of these findings are significant, as vitamin D deficiency has been linked to a wide range of adverse health outcomes, including increased susceptibility to infections, poor recovery, and prolonged hospital stays.

Prevalence of Vitamin D Deficiency

The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in this study was higher than the global average reported in the general population, which is estimated to affect around 1 billion people worldwide (Holick, 2017). This elevated rate is likely due to the hospital setting and the fact that many hospitalized patients have multiple comorbidities that contribute to reduced vitamin D levels, such as chronic diseases, limited sun exposure, and malnutrition (Amrein et al., 2014). Notably, older age and higher BMI were associated with a greater likelihood of vitamin D deficiency, as shown in the subgroup analysis. This finding supports previous literature indicating that older adults and obese individuals are more prone to vitamin D deficiency due to decreased skin synthesis of vitamin D and its sequestration in adipose tissue (Thacher and Clarke, 2011).

Association with Clinical Outcomes

One of the key findings of this study was the association between vitamin D deficiency and adverse clinical outcomes, including longer hospital stays, higher rates of hospital-acquired infections, and increased mortality. Patients with vitamin D deficiency had an average hospital stay of 9.1 days, compared to 6.2 days for those with sufficient vitamin D levels. This is consistent with other studies that have found an association between vitamin D deficiency and prolonged hospitalization, likely due to impaired immune function and delayed recovery (Amrein et al., 2014; Schöttker et al., 2014).

Hospital-acquired infections were also more common in vitamin D-deficient patients (18%), which may be due to the immunomodulatory effects of vitamin D. Vitamin D has been shown to play a role in the innate immune response by enhancing the pathogen-fighting capacity of monocytes and macrophages and

modulating the inflammatory response (Pilz et al., 2011). Deficiency in vitamin D may therefore increase susceptibility to infections, as evidenced by the higher infection rates in deficient patients.

The observed increase in mortality among vitamin D-deficient patients (15%) is another important finding. Vitamin D deficiency has been linked to worse outcomes in a variety of diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and respiratory infections (Schöttker et al., 2014). The increased mortality in this study aligns with prior research indicating that vitamin D deficiency is associated with higher all-cause mortality in hospitalized patients, particularly those with chronic conditions (Amrein et al., 2014).

Implications for Disease Management

The high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and its association with adverse outcomes underscore the importance of addressing vitamin D status in hospitalized patients. Routine screening for vitamin D deficiency may be warranted, particularly in high-risk populations such as the elderly, patients with high BMI, and those with chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease. Early detection and supplementation could help reduce the risk of complications, shorten hospital stays, and potentially improve survival rates.

Several studies have shown that vitamin D supplementation can improve clinical outcomes in deficient patients. For instance, Amrein et al. (2014) demonstrated that high-dose vitamin D supplementation in critically ill patients with deficiency reduced hospital length of stay and mortality. Implementing similar strategies in routine clinical practice for hospitalized patients, particularly those with chronic illnesses, may lead to improved outcomes.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, it was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other healthcare settings. Additionally, the cross-sectional design of the study does not allow for causal inferences to be made between vitamin D deficiency and clinical outcomes. Longitudinal studies are needed to further explore the potential benefits of vitamin D supplementation in hospitalized patients. Finally, other factors influencing vitamin D levels, such as dietary intake, sun exposure, and seasonal variations, were not considered in this study, which may have affected the results.

Future Directions

Future research should focus on the long-term impact of vitamin D supplementation in hospitalized patients, particularly in those with chronic conditions such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and respiratory infections. Randomized controlled trials are needed to determine whether correcting vitamin D deficiency can improve patient outcomes, reduce hospital-acquired infections, and decrease mortality rates. Additionally, studies exploring the cost-effectiveness of routine vitamin D screening and supplementation in hospitalized patients could provide valuable insights for healthcare systems.

Conclusion

This study highlights the high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among hospitalized patients and its association with adverse clinical outcomes, including longer hospital stays, higher infection rates, and increased mortality. These findings suggest that routine screening for vitamin D deficiency and appropriate supplementation could play a critical role in improving patient outcomes, particularly in high-risk populations. Given the increasing body of evidence supporting the role of vitamin D in health and disease management, addressing vitamin D deficiency should be considered a priority in hospital care.

References

1. Amrein, K., Schnedl, C., Holl, A., Riedl, R., Christopher, K. B., Pachler, C., ... & Dobnig, H. (2014). Effect of high-dose vitamin D3 on hospital length of stay in critically ill patients with vitamin D deficiency: the VITdAL-ICU randomized clinical trial. *Jama*, *312*(15), 1520-1530.
2. Holick, M. F. (2017). The vitamin D deficiency pandemic: Approaches for diagnosis, treatment and prevention. *Reviews in Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders*, *18*, 153-165.
3. Keyfi, F., Nahid, S., Mokhtariye, A., Nayerabadi, S., Alaei, A., & Varasteh, A. R. (2018). Evaluation of 25-OH vitamin D by high performance liquid chromatography: validation and comparison with electrochemiluminescence. *Journal of Analytical Science and Technology*, *9*, 1-6.
4. Martineau, A. R., Jolliffe, D. A., Hooper, R. L., Greenberg, L., Aloia, J. F., Bergman, P., ... & Camargo, C. A. (2017). Vitamin D supplementation to prevent acute respiratory tract infections: systematic review and meta-analysis of individual participant data. *bmj*, *356*.
5. Prentice, A. (2008). Vitamin D deficiency: a global perspective. *Nutrition reviews*, *66*(suppl_2), S153-S164.
6. Pilz, S., Tomaschitz, A., Drechsler, C., Zittermann, A., M Dekker, J., & Marz, W. (2011). Vitamin D supplementation: a promising approach for the prevention and treatment of strokes. *Current drug targets*, *12*(1), 88-96.
7. Schöttker, B., Jorde, R., Peasey, A., Thorand, B., Jansen, E. H., De Groot, L., ... & Brenner, H. (2014). Vitamin D and mortality: meta-analysis of individual participant data from a large consortium of cohort studies from Europe and the United States. *Bmj*, *348*.
8. Thacher, T. D., & Clarke, B. L. (2011, January). Vitamin D insufficiency. In *Mayo Clinic Proceedings* (Vol. 86, No. 1, pp. 50-60). Elsevier.