Ideal Worlds and Nightmare Realities: A Comparative Study of Utopia and Dystopia from the 16th to the 20th Century

Mohammad Farogh Alam

Independent Scholar
University Department of English.
L.N. Mithila University, India.
0009-0006-1310-1064

Abstract:
The plunge into a dystopian society, Utopia has languished out of Favor for a considerable period. In his 1516 writing "Utopia," Sir Thomas More envisioned a society that was both more civilized and strictly regulated, as a response to the severe power inequalities and violence that existed in his own society. Utopia and dystopia are two opposing concepts that provide valuable perspectives on human imagination & societal reflections throughout history. It represents a conceptual utopian society defined by harmony, equality, as well as abundance. While "utopia" refers to an imagined perfect or ideal society, typically portrayed as existing in the future, "dystopia" refers to an imagined society that is undesirable or frightening. The study contributes to the ongoing discourse on utopian and dystopian literature by examining the evolution of these concepts over several centuries. The study aims to address this ambiguity by conducting a comprehensive analysis of utopian and dystopian narratives from the 16th to the 20th century, exploring recurring themes and narrative frameworks. The study employs descriptive, interpretative, and inductive methods and analysis of secondary data. Data collection involves consulting source materials from libraries, books, journals, articles, and online resources. The study highlighted the dynamic interplay between utopian aspirations and dystopian critiques, reflecting broader historical, sociopolitical, and philosophical contexts.

Keywords: Utopia, Dystopia, Societal Reflections, Idealized Communities, and Human Psychology.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout history, humanity has always sought to anticipate what lies ahead: both in the immediate and far future, in order to forecast the potential transformations in societal organization, individual lifestyles, and personal attributes. The prospect of the future becomes more pressing when humanity confronts the peril of a population with ecological catastrophe, the risk of degradation and moral primitiveness of humans, and even the bleak possibility of being devoid of habitat for the universe itself (NATIA, et al., 2022). In the third millennium, humanity persists in its quest for solutions to enduring and occasionally despairing inquiries regarding the feasibility of earthly happiness and the establishment of a fair society. The recognition that an individual plays a direct role in shaping their future, which is closely connected to both their history and present, is also acknowledged (Beyazoğlu, 2010). Hence, it is crucial to examine the evolution of humanity's perspectives and beliefs regarding the feasibility of establishing happiness and attaining equality, justice, freedom, and wealth on Earth.

Throughout history, civilizations have strived to establish themselves as the paradigm that future generations may emulate to validate their lifestyles. Numerous civilizations have employed diverse techniques to promote their progress and enhance the well-being of their population in all aspects (Law, 2020). Certain societies depended on the leadership of a person possessing unrestricted authority, such as monarchs, autocrats, and sovereigns. Others have utilized more inclusive methods, such as a representative governing body or a collective of authoritative individuals (Suljic & Öztürk, 2013). Irrespective of the method employed, the

prevailing motif among these societies has been the endeavour to establish an ideal society for their populace, commonly referred to as a utopia.

The plunge into a dystopian society Utopia has languished out of favour for a considerable period. Illustrations depicting human catastrophe and struggles for survival have long supplanted it. In his 1516 writing "Utopia," Sir Thomas More envisioned a society that was both more civilized and strictly regulated, as a response to the severe power inequalities and violence that existed in his own society (Abdelbaky, 2016). In this modern time, when power disparities have greatly diminished, utopia is frequently criticized as being irrelevant, emotional, or unrealistic. Utopia and dystopia are two opposing concepts that provide valuable perspectives on human imagination & societal reflections throughout history. The name "utopia" originates from the Greek term's "ou" (meaning not) and "topos" (meaning place), which were originally presented by Sir Thomas More in his 1516 publication 'Utopia' (More, 1904). It represents a conceptual utopian society defined by harmony, equality, as well as abundance.

On the other hand, the term "dystopia," which was coined by John Stuart Mill in 1868, describes a fictional society characterized by widespread suffering, tyranny, and frequently, totalitarian control. Authors and intellectuals use both lenses to examine the potential and dangers of human civilization (Claeys). The term 'dystopia' is the opposite of utopia, and the quote "In the pursuit of an idealized, perfect future, how do we acknowledge the potential danger of a dystopian result, and how do we discover hope - the potential for a utopian society - amidst a system dominated by corporate greed, a depleted environment, and inequality among individuals" encapsulates the ever-changing connection between these two concepts" (Olivi, 2023).

The origins of utopian literature can be traced back to ancient philosophical discussions, exemplified by Plato's 'Republic' (Plato, 1966), which presents an early depiction of an ideal city-state ruled by kings of philosophy (ZENGİN & TANRITANIR, 2023). Nevertheless, it was Sir Thomas More's "Utopia" that brought widespread popularity to the genre throughout the Renaissance. More's book portrayed a utopian society on an island characterized by communal existence, social equity, and justice (Gordin, et al., 2010). During this century, there was a significant growth in utopian literature, which presented alternative ideas on how societies may be organized and the potential of human beings.

Utopian literature has always served as a reflection of societal ambitions and as a means to critique prevailing social institutions. During times of significant social and political change, including the Enlightenment and Industrial Revolution, writers frequently employed utopian stories to imagine several possible futures and criticize existing societal conventions. During the Enlightenment, intellectuals such as Jean-Jacques Rousseau and Francois Voltaire envisioned idealistic communities characterized by rationality, freedom, and egalitarianism. Rousseau's work 'The Social Contract' (Rousseau, 2015) advocated for a democratic society founded on the concept of the universal will. In contrast, Voltaire's 'Candide' (Voltaire, 2013) used the utopian kingdom in El Dorado as a satirical lens to critique European society.

Conformity is a sort of social influence that affects the adoption of acceptable behaviors or beliefs in order to assimilate into a group or society. In a totalitarian state, when individuals' actions, feelings, and words are closely monitored, it can serve as a repressive tool of control. Individuals who fail to comply with set regulations may be removed and subjected to disciplinary measures. The maintenance of control is achieved through instilling fear of consequences, while the attainment of an ideal society is accomplished by dehumanizing individuals and eradicating their ability to make choices freely (Dillman, 2020). This serves as the foundation for the majority of contemporary dystopian novels, which also draw parallels to historical and present-day societal circumstances, such as North Korea during Kim Jong-Un's regime and Germany under Adolf Hitler's rule from 1934 to 1945 (Tulloch, 2009).

The process of achieving conformity may vary slightly from one book to another, often involving the adherence to specific habits, concepts, or beliefs. The dystopian genre is gaining popularity in literature, particularly among young people. The Hunger Games, both in its movie and novel forms, has gained immense popularity, leading to a widespread fascination with dystopian themes. This has prompted us to contemplate a future world that is both unsettling and alarming (Collins & Fournier, 2020).

Some dystopian novels depict technology as the ultimate demise of society. The government uses technology to identify individuals who resist the established authority and to exert influence over the populace who consume it. Individuals in society have a computer-like device known as a 'feed' implanted in their brains. The feed serves as a means of immediate communication with others and also as a tool for retrieving information (Bernat, 2013). This can be likened to possessing the capacity to retrieve information from Google within one's mind. These streams also constantly display adverts for items that can be bought or improved. Our society is conditioned to idolize wealth, material possessions, and amusement above all else, even at the expense of valuing its own citizens (Morgan Swer, 2014). This style of idolization is a common occurrence on contemporary social media platforms. Although the advent of these "feeds" is greeted with enthusiasm and approval, the surrounding ecosystem is deteriorating, and women are no longer capable of natural reproduction.

The advent of the Industrial Revolution resulted in significant societal and economic transformations, ultimately giving rise to the emergence of dystopian literature. Mary Shelley's "Frankenstein" as well as Charles Dickens' "Hard Times" portrayed bleak and pessimistic views of industrial society characterized by the loss of humanity, the abuse of power, and social disparities (Tatar, 2021; Raj, 2012). These literature works functioned as admonitory narratives, alerting readers to the perils of unregulated advancements in technology and societal turmoil.

Utopian and dystopian fiction was greatly impacted by the rise of totalitarian rulers and the occurrence of two world wars throughout the 20th century. George Orwell's "1984" with Aldous Huxley's "Brave New World" depicted unsettling depictions of dystopian futures marked by absolute governmental control, extensive monitoring, and the gradual loss of personal liberty (Orwell, 1984; Huxley, 2022). These works functioned as potent criticisms of current political ideologies and authoritarian regimes.

Utopian and dystopian fiction remains relevant in contemporary times, serving as a reflection of societal fears and hopes. Modern writers examine topics such as the deterioration of the environment, progress in technology, and disparities in society by using utopian and dystopian stories as perspectives. Margaret Atwood's "The Handmaid's Tale" (Atwood, 2004) along with Octavia Butler's "Parable of the Sower" (Oaks, 2005) present bleak depictions of societies devastated by authoritarian rule and environmental catastrophe, whereas Kim Stanley Robinson's "Mars Trilogy" imagines idealistic futures centered on sustainability and social equity (Robinson, et al., 2004).

This study aims to investigate the development of utopian and dystopian literature from the 16th to the 20th century, examining the long-lasting human interest in idealized communities and grim futures. The study seeks to illuminate the prevailing cultural values, anxieties, and aspirations of each historical period by examining and contrasting utopian and dystopian views. An in-depth comprehension of the fundamental themes and narrative frameworks of utopian and dystopian books can offer useful insights into the intricacies of human psychology, cultural dynamics, and the socio-political settings that influence our impressions of ideal societies and nightmarish realities. This comparative study is crucial for understanding how literature mirrors and shapes public dialogue and collective imagination throughout the ages.

The paper is structured into distinct segments. It commences with an introduction to the study, presenting the foundational concepts and objectives without relying on enumerated sections. Subsequently, a thorough examination of pertinent literature concerning Utopia and Dystopia is conducted, offering insights into existing scholarship without numerical categorization. The research inquiries guiding the study are delineated following the literature review, affording clarity on the study's investigative aims without specifying section numbers. A detailed exposition of the research methodology is provided subsequently, elucidating the approach employed in the study without reference to section counts. The ensuing section engages in a discourse on the findings, interpretations, and implications emerging from the study's analysis, avoiding enumeration. Concluding remarks, encompassing implications, limitations, and recommendations for further research, are articulated in the subsequent section, eschewing numerical division. Lastly, a compilation of

references is presented, offering a comprehensive listing of sources utilized in the paper, without explicit mention of section enumeration.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Social justice, in its ideal form, aims to provide fair and equal access to income, opportunities, and resources. VELLA (2023) sought to bridge the divide between the idealistic concept of social justice and its negative implementation by analyzing the mechanisms by which the idealistic vision of social justice is corrupted into dystopian practices. Processes characterized by bias, unfair treatment, unequal distribution of resources, and various forms of unfairness, deeply rooted in growing gaps in poverty and exclusion, as well as repressive and exploitative actions within both national and global contexts. In their critique, Zengin & Tanritanir (2023) argued that the post-war governments' attempt to establish a unified human model resulted in industrialization and servitude. The implementation of mechanization has beneficial origins and consequences for governments. In George Orwell's novel 1984, individuals' thoughts are suppressed by entities such as the Thought Police.

Shekhar and Jha (2023) analyzed the concept of protopia as presented in Kevin Kelly's book The Inevitable (2016), which is foreshadowed in Mark Twain's novel A Connecticut Yankee in King Arthur's Court (1889). On the contrary, the protagonist Hank Morgan pretends to accomplish utopia in order to obtain power and privilege, rather than actually realizing utopia. The study also contended that in order to attain the ultimate objective of humanity, protopia seems to be a suitable framework, as utopia is unattainable. Karhu & Ridanpää (2020) examined the ways in which utopian and anti-utopian literature present different perspectives on the relationship between the regulation of space, authority, and well-being. The main emphasis was placed on three renowned works of utopian and dystopian literature: Thomas More's Utopia (1516), Aldous Huxley's Brave New World (1932), and George Orwell's Nineteen Eighty-Four (1949). The study made a valuable contribution to the field of socially critical literary geography by exploring different possibilities for conceptualizing the connection between location and power.

Utopias and Dystopias are not diametrically opposed; rather, they represent distinct perspectives on envisioning societal issues (Rajasekar, 2021). Dystopias seek to portray the dark and undesirable aspects of society that have not been foreseen or imagined by the authors of a utopian existence. The dystopian novel seeks to emphasize the consequences of the current society. Utopia is commonly regarded as the epitome of an ideal society. It is important to comprehend that the literal definition of Utopia is "nowhere," indicating that it refers to a society that does not actually exist. In contrast, Ulaş (2022) specifically examined modern dystopian works in both English and Turkish literature. In Pospíšil's (2016) study, identified the key motifs that are characteristic of dystopian fiction. The study then proceeded to investigate the most prevalent themes in Swift's Gulliver's Travels (1726), Orwell's Nineteen Eighty-Four (1949), and Huxley's Brave New World (1932). The study sought to ascertain the degree to which dystopian concepts in Gulliver's Travels align with those in two other works, which were produced two centuries later.

The underlying concepts of oppression and control are crucial in utopian and dystopian fiction, as they depict alternate-world possibilities. Atasoy (2021) examined how oppression and control are portrayed in utopian and dystopian narratives by analyzing three selected speculative texts: A Modern Utopia by H. G. Wells, Brave New World by Aldous Huxley, and Nineteen Eighty-Four by George Orwell. The analysis includes specific references from relevant secondary sources. Mooney (2020) examined the progression of American political thought by studying dystopian novels. The study employed a combination of historical and political analysis to examine each work's underlying ideology and its integration into the broader American historical narrative. This analysis also examined four aspects of each dystopian piece: culture, governance, sovereignty, and institutions.

The dystopian narrative has remained a widely favored literary genre for almost a century. Sociological scholars are now revisiting the significance of speculative fiction in the field of social analysis. Seeger & Davison-Vecchione (2019) suggested that sociologists should give considerable consideration to dystopian literature. The reason for this is twofold: first, the speculation in dystopian literature is based more on real-world social conditions compared to utopian literature, and second, the literary conventions of dystopia more

effectively depict the connection between an individual's inner life and the broader social and historical context. The pursuit of utopia has typically been carried out in an imperialistic manner, which promotes globalization, standardization, and the elimination of diversity while disregarding the perspectives of marginalized groups regarding their own unique vision of an ideal society. The study by, Kang et al. (2020) asserted that Amitav Ghosh's novel 'The Hungry Tide' effectively portrayed the pursuit of paradise as a productive undertaking. The characters in the story strive for utopia by engaging with the marginalized individuals (utopia-as-person), endeavoring to establish an ideal society (utopia-as-place), and embracing the sacrifice of the oppressed (utopia-as-sacrifice).

Utopia and dystopia literature may lack a consideration of how social justice becomes dystopian in particular socio-political circumstances. Previous studies have examined the theoretical foundations of social justice and its implementation, but more research is needed to understand how the idealistic vision of social justice is corrupted into dystopian realities, especially in the face of growing poverty, exclusion, and repression. Understanding how justice ambitions can lead to oppressive regimes is essential for establishing more effective tactics for promoting equality. Further research should examine how literature, particularly utopian and dystopian narratives, shapes social justice conceptions and societal attitudes toward idealistic ideals vs nightmarish challenges.

3. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

a)   How do psychological, sociological, and philosophical factors influence the shift from utopian ideals to dystopian realities in various historical periods and cultural contexts?

b)   What recurring themes, tropes, and flaws characterize ideal societies in utopian literature across different cultures and time periods, offering insights into societal aspirations, anxieties, and critiques of utopian visions?

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology involves an interpretative and evaluative approach to primary texts from the utopia and dystopia genres. The research employs descriptive, interpretative, and inductive methods and analysis of secondary data. It aims to validate points from primary sources using secondary texts, theories, and experimental results. The study involves an amalgamation of research types, including quantitative research. Thomas Moore is referenced in the study to validate utopian and dystopian ideologies. Data collection involves consulting source materials from libraries, books, journals, articles, and online resources. The study focuses on the origins of utopia, the development of dystopian ideologies, disciplinary control in dystopian societies, individuality and resistance against collective control, and the role of writing in liberation from oppressive regimes.

5. DISCUSSION

The discussion explores humanity's enduring fascination with envisioning the future, particularly in times of ecological crisis and moral degradation. It highlights the historical evolution of societal perspectives on happiness, equality, and justice, emphasizing the role of individuals in shaping their destinies. The study delineates the transition from utopian ideals to dystopian realities, citing historical and literary examples to illustrate contrasting visions of societal organization. While utopia represents an idealized society characterized by harmony and abundance, dystopia portrays a bleak world marked by suffering and tyranny. The discussion elucidates the origins and conceptual differences between utopia and dystopia, tracing their roots to ancient philosophical debates and seminal literary works. Through a comparative study of utopian and dystopian literature, the research aims to shed light on humanity's collective imagination and aspirations throughout history.

There is a common assumption that the term 'utopia', which originated with Thomas More, refers to a society that is significantly improved or even flawless. Conversely, the phrase 'dystopia', which emerged at a later stage, portrays a society that is considerably worse (Claeys, 2013). The term 'Utopia' has been defined in numerous and confusing ways, and there is a lack of agreement across different fields of study regarding how, and even if, one should connect its literary, communal, and ideological aspects (Slotte & Halme, 2015). In the

fields of literature, intentional communities, and intellectual history, there exist distinct areas of focus and interpretation (Claeys, 1994). Dystopia is commonly understood as a reimagined, mirrored, or pessimistic rendition of utopia, representing an imagined realm of adversity in contrast to an imagined realm of goodness. Dystopias are commonly characterized as literary interpretations of locations.

In the year 2008, amidst the ongoing American-led war on terror, John Gray, a prominent British political philosopher, authored a work that explored the concept of utopia's demise (Gray, 2007). Historical evidence has demonstrated the perilous nature of the utopian concept. While globalization has led to the rise of new forms of utopian violence based on faith (Skrimshire, 2008). These forms are organized around a historical grand plan that spans from the past to the present and the future. In opposition to this notion, Gray posited that the eschatological perspective positing that conflict, destruction, violence, and finally apocalypse would culminate in the emergence of a new world and the establishment of a utopia was only speculative and grounded in irrational theological reasoning.

Thomas More is renowned for his account of the significant increase in enclosures during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, which resulted in the conversion of arable areas into pastures and sheep ranching. This transformation had a profound impact on English culture and society (Giglioni, 2016). One notable characteristic of Utopia is the notable juxtaposition that More skillfully established between the desolate actuality and the promising ambition. Utopias and dystopias have a common attribute of being fictional realms or conditions when circumstances deviate significantly from conventional encounters and familiar anticipations (Alzaid, 2016).

Utopias and dystopias are fictional worlds that serve as alternate realities to the creator's current reality, offering either a favorable or unfavorable critique of society (Canavan, 2018). Utopianism comprises both the aspirations and daydreams of individuals, as well as the formalized and dramatized vision of a full alternative. It serves as a manifestation of a yearning or longing for an alternate state. According to Sands (2017), utopias and dystopias serve as distinct narrative manifestations of human longing or desire. Utopian and dystopian solutions are not merely interconnected; rather, they can be perceived as mutually reinforcing. To some extent, the dystopian environment, whether it is a state one desires to avoid or a result of excessive rational planning, is already incorporated into the utopian agenda. Some of the utopian structures, in turn, are so strange and far removed from our anticipations that they can be seen as unsettling or even eerie.

There has been a notable shift from utopia to dystopia during the twentieth century, and this trend has further intensified in the initial years of the new millennium (Featherstone, 2017). With the exception of a short period of renewed interest in utopian literature during the 1960s and 1970s, driven by counter-cultural movements and political action, the last century has seen the emergence of dystopianism as the prevailing cultural and intellectual climate (Vieira, 2022). The departure from utopianism is not completely detached from a postmodern mindset; the gradual erosion of utopia over the last century appears to be closely linked to a critical examination of humanity's position on Earth (Berneri, 2019).

Our study enhances the ongoing discussion on utopian and dystopian literature by analyzing the development of these ideas over several centuries. Utopia and dystopia are commonly seen as diametrically opposed concepts; however, their definitions and multidisciplinary interpretations are subject to ambiguity. The objective of our study is to resolve this uncertainty by undertaking a thorough examination of utopian and dystopian narratives spanning from the 16th to the 20th century. Our study explored reoccurring motifs and narrative structures. Furthermore, our research is in accordance with certain authors' assertions concerning the perils of utopian ideologies and the rise of novel manifestations of utopian violence in the modern day. Using historical and sociopolitical frameworks, our research provided significant contributions to the understanding of the evolving conceptions of utopia and dystopia across different historical periods. In addition, our comparative methodology facilitates a comprehensive comprehension of how utopian and dystopian views mirror wider cultural worries and desires.

6. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the examination of utopia and dystopia over the 16th to 20th century provides unique perspectives on the development of these notions and their importance in the realms of literature, society, and the human imagination. Through a comprehensive examination of a wide array of utopian and dystopian narratives, the study shed light on overarching themes, narrative structures, and societal concerns that have influenced the development of these fictional realms throughout numerous eras. The discussion of the study emphasized the intricate relationship between utopian ideals and dystopian criticisms, which has implications for wider historical, social, and philosophical frameworks.

The study has far-reaching implications for literature, sociology, philosophy, and cultural studies. It offers scholars a more profound comprehension of how utopian and dystopian narratives mirror society goals, worries, and critiques. The study makes a valuable contribution to multidisciplinary conversations on the characteristics of perfect societies and horrific realities by situating utopian and dystopian literature within historical and geopolitical contexts.

Nevertheless, the study is not devoid of limitations. The study is limited by the availability and accessibility of primary sources, despite our thorough investigation of utopian and dystopian studies. Furthermore, the study is confined to the time period spanning from the 16th to the 20th century, hence excluding any current advancements and prospective paths of utopian and dystopian literature.

The study suggests conducting additional research to investigate the ongoing significance and development of utopian and dystopian narratives in the 21st century and beyond. Subsequent research endeavors may delve into the ramifications of technological progress, globalization, environmental emergencies, and social disruptions on the depiction of utopia and dystopia within literary works and popular phenomena.

Overall, the study enhances the understanding of utopian and dystopian ideals, their historical backgrounds, and their consequences for modern society. Through the analysis of ideal worlds and nightmare realities using a comparative perspective, researchers get insight into the intricate relationship between imagination, ideology, and cultural transformation.

REFERENCES:

1. NATIA, A., GVARAMIA, M., & MANUKOVA, L. "Utopia And Dystopia in English Language Literature." Proceeding Book, 2022, pp. 250.
2. Beyazoğlu, M. D. "Zamyatin, Huxley, and Orwell: Utopian Ideals and Dystopian Worlds." Master's thesis, Doğuş Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, 2010.
3. Law, A. "Fear Images and the Eclipse of Utopia." Media Education Journal, no. 66, 2020, pp. 3-7.
4. Suljic, V., & Öztürk, A. S. "Where Dystopia Becomes Reality and Utopia Never Comes." Journal of History Culture and Art Research, vol. 2, no. 2, 2013.
5. Abdelbaky, A. "A Perfect World or an Oppressive World: A Critical Study of Utopia and Dystopia as Subgenres of Science Fiction." International Journal of English Language, Literature and Humanities, vol. 4, no. 3, 2016, pp. 17-33.
6. More, T. Sir Thomas More's Utopia. Clarendon Press, 1904.
7. Claeys, G. Dystopia: A Natural History. Oxford University Press, 2016.
8. Olivi, M. "The (Dystopian) Promise of Happiness: Hope, Happiness and Optimism in Contemporary Feminist Dystopias." DIVE-IN–An International Journal on Diversity and Inclusion, vol. 3, no. 2, 2023, pp. 227-247.
9. Plato, B. C. Plato's Republic. Cambridge University Press, 1966.
10. ZENGİN, E., & TANRITANIR, B. C. "Dystopic Reality in the Utopian Dream in 1984 and A Clockwork Orange." New Era International Journal of Interdisciplinary Social Research, vol. 8, no. 19, 2023, pp. 47-56
11. Gordin, M. D., Tilley, H., & Prakash, G., editors. Utopia/Dystopia: Conditions of Historical Possibility. Princeton University Press, 2010.
12. Rousseau, J. J. The Social Contract. Central Works of Philosophy v2: Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries, vol. 2, 2015, pp. 193.

13. Voltaire, F. Candide, or Optimism. Penguin UK, 2013.
14. Dillman, D. "Resistance in Dystopian Fiction." 2020.
15. Tulloch, R. "Ludic Dystopias: Power, Politics and Play." In Proceedings of the Sixth Australasian Conference on Interactive Entertainment, 2009, pp. 1-4.
16. Collins, S., & Fournier, G. Hunger Games: La Ballade du Serpent et de l'Oiseau Chanteur. 12-21, 2020.
17. Bernat, P. "Technology, Utopia and Dystopia: Modern Technological Change in Early Literary Depictions." Kultura I Wychowanie, no. 5, 2013, pp. 1.
18. Morgan Swer, G. "Determining Technology: Myopia and Dystopia." South African Journal of Philosophy, vol. 33, no. 2, 2014, pp. 201-210.
19. Tatar, S. "The Role of Science, Technology and Apocalypse in the Dystopian Fictions: Mary Shelley's Frankenstein and Aldous Huxley's Brave New World." Cumhuriyet Üniversitesi Fen-Edebiyat Fakültesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, vol. 45, no. 1, 2021, pp. 257-268.
20. Raj, P. E. "Hard Times as Dickensian Dystopia." Rupkatha Journal on Interdisciplinary Studies in Humanities, vol. 4, no. 1, 2012, pp. 91.
21. Orwell, G. George Orwell 1984. G Orwell, 1984.
22. Huxley, A. Brave New World. DigiCat, 2022.
23. Atwood, M. "The Handmaid's Tale and Oryx and Crake in Context." PmLa, vol. 119, no. 3, 2004, pp. 513-517.
24. Oaks, D. H. "The Parable of the Sower." Horizons, vol. 12, 2005.
25. Robinson, K. S., Szeman, I., & Whiteman, M. "Future Politics: An Interview with Kim Stanley Robinson." Science Fiction Studies, 2004, pp. 177-188.
26. VELLA, D. M. G. "A Utopian Dream or Dystopian Nightmare?" Societas. Expert, 2023, p. 33.
27. Shekhar, C., & Jha, S. "From Utopian Dream to Dystopian Nightmare: A Protopian Response to A Connecticut Yankee in King Arthur's Court." Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities, vol. 31, no. 3, 2023.
28. Karhu, M., & Ridanpää, J. "Space, Power and Happiness in the Utopian and Anti-utopian Imaginations." 2020.
29. Rajasekar, R. "Utopian Dreams and Dystopian Nightmares: An Overview of the Seemingly Opposite Societies." Tobacco Regulatory Science (TRS), 2021, pp. 483-486.
30. Ulaş, I. "Visions of Nightmare: An Analysis of Dystopian Fiction in Contemporary English and Turkish Novels." Doctoral dissertation, Bilkent Universitesi (Turkey), 2022.
31. Pospíšil, J. "The Historical Development of Dystopian Literature." Palacky University in Olomouc, 2016.
32. Atasoy, E. "Oppression and Control in Utopian and Dystopian Fiction." 2021.
33. Mooney, G. "American Nightmare: An Examination of Ideology in Political Dystopia." 2020.
34. Seeger, S., & Davison-Vecchione, D. "Dystopian Literature and the Sociological Imagination." Thesis Eleven, vol. 155, no. 1, 2019, pp. 45-63.
35. Kang, M. K., Sarkar, N., & Saha, B. "Sunny Pleasure Domes and Caves of Ice: Utopias and Dystopias in World Literature." 2020.
36. Claeys, G. "News from Somewhere: Enhanced Sociability and the Composite Definition of Utopia and Dystopia." History, vol. 98, no. 330, 2013, pp. 145-173.
37. Slotte, P., & Halme, M., editors. Revisiting the Origins of Human Rights. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
38. Claeys, G., editor. Utopias of the British Enlightenment. Cambridge University Press, 1994.
39. Gray, J. Black Mass: Apocalyptic Religion and the Death of Utopia. Macmillan, 2007.
40. Skrimshire, S. "What Is Anti-Utopianism? Gray, Jacoby, Jameson." 2008.
41. Giglioni, G. "From Thomas More to Thomas Smith: Utopian and Anti-utopian Understandings of Economic Change in Sixteenth-century England." Algemeen Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Wijsbegeerte, vol. 108, no. 3, 2016, pp. 391-415.
42. Alzaid, R. M. "The Ethics of Prophecy, Utopian Dream, and Dystopian Reality: A Comparative Study of Thomas More's Utopia and Kahlil Gibran's The Prophet." 2016.
43. Canavan, G. Utopia. 2018.

44. Sands, P. "Utopias and Dystopias." The Routledge Companion to Imaginary Worlds, 2017, pp. 177-183.
45. Featherstone, M. Planet Utopia: Utopia, Dystopia, and Globalisation. Routledge, 2017.
46. Vieira, P. "Utopia." In The Palgrave Handbook of Utopian and Dystopian Literatures, Springer International Publishing, 2022, pp. 25-38.
47. Berneri, M. L. Journey through Utopia. Routledge, 2019.